

Genomics, transcriptomic and micro RNA target side prediction of CRKs and their role under cotton leaf curl disease provide deep insight in cotton plant

Athar Hussain1,2*, Naila Asif1, Abdul Rafay Pirzada1, Azka Noreen2, Javeria Shaukat1, Akif Burhan1, Madiha Zaynab3, Ejaz Ali4, Koukab Imran1, Ayesha Amin5, Muhammed Arsalan2, Aquib Nazar1, M. Shahid Mukhtar6

1Genomics Lab, Department of Life Sciences, School of Science, University of Management and Technology (UMT), Lahore, 54000, Pakistan

2National Institute of Biotechnology and Genetic Engineering (NIBGE) constituent College of Pakistan Institute of Engineering and Applied Sciences (PIEAS), Faisalabad, 38000, Pakistan

3Shenzhen Key Laboratory of Marine Bio resource & Eco-Environmental Sciences, College of Life Sciences and Oceanography, Shenzhen University, Shenzhen 51807, China

4Center of Excellence in Molecular Biology, University of Punjab, 54000, Lahore, Pakistan

50ffice of Research Innovation and Commercialization, University of Management and Technology (UMT), Lahore, 54000, Pakistan

6Department of Biology, University of Alabama at Birmingham, 1300 University Blvd., Birmingham, AL, 35294, USA

*Corresponding author: <u>Atharmutahari@gmail.com</u>

Abstract

Cysteine-rich receptor-like-kinases (CRKs) are a transmembrane subfamily of receptor-like kinase, play important roles in plant adaptation. Cotton is the major source of fiber for textile industry. But environmental stresses are limiting its growth and production. Here, we have performed deep computational analysis of CRKs in five Gossypium species, including *G. arboreum* (60 genes), *G. raimondii* (74 genes), *G. herbaceum* (65 genes), *G. hirsutum* (118 genes) and *G. barbadense* (120 genes). All identified CRKs were classified into 11 major classes and 43 subclasses with finding of several novel CRK-associated domains including *ALMT*, *FUSC_2*, *Cript*, *FYVE* and *Pkinase*. Of these, *DUF26_DUF26_Pkinase_Tyr* was common and had high expression under different biotic and abiotic stresses. The 35 land plants comparison identified several new CRKs domain-architectures. Many SNPs and InDels observed in CLCuD resistant *G. hirsutum*. The miRNA target side prediction and their expression profiling of CRKs identified multiple clusters with co-expression under certain stresses. The expression analysis under CLCuD highlighted role of *GhCRK057*, *GhCRK059*, *GhCRK058 and GhCRK081* in resistant accession. The results provided primary data for functional analysis as well as a reference study for other important crops.

Keywords: Genome-wide, CRKs, Cysteine-rich receptor like-kinases, expression profiling, miRNA, PPI, RT-qPCR, Docking, CLCuD

Introduction

The number of people globally affected by hunger has been since 2014 (FAO et al.,2020). Almost 690 millionpeople—8.9% of the world population—are estimated to have been

Abstract Vol. 3, Iss. 1 2022

2019. Without change, the worldis on track to reach 840 million undernourished in by Genome2030 undernourished people (FAO et al.,2020). The for reasons an increasinglyundernourished population are manifold including unequalresource distribution, food waste, and crop loss arising fromclimate change (Barrera & Hertel, 2021; Hasegawa et al., 2016; Janssens et al., 2020). While an integrated approachis vital to successfully curb this alarming trend, climate-change-resilient crops are needed to counter more frequentand extreme weather events that affect mostly populations with already high rates of undernourishment (FAO et al.,2020). During recent decades, significant technological progresshas been made in plant breeding to develop new cultivarsincluding marker-assisted selection (MAS), which has been in use since the early 1990s (Dudley, 1993; Ribaut & Hois-ington, 1998). In MAS, genomic markers are identified insilico and used to select individuals for use in specializedbreeding programs (Hillel et al., 1990; Tanksley & Nelson, 1996). Originally, trait-associated genomic markers targeted by these approaches were identified as quantitative trait loci(QTL) (Geldermann, 1975), which in their earliest iterationcould contain many genes within the same locus (Beckmann Soller, 1983; Westman & Kresovich, 1997). The ability toidentify candidate genes was accelerated by the construction of reference assemblies representing the DNA sequence of anindividual's genome. Reference genomes were used to iden-tify single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), copy-numbervariations (CNVs), and insertion-deletions (InDels). Thesemarkers became the foundation for genome-wide associationstudies (GWAS) and genomic selection (GS), where diversitysequencing datasets are compared with reference genomesand the identified variations statistically associated with phe-notypes (Crossa et al., 2017; Hayes & Goddard, 2010; Ozakiet al., 2002; Varshney et al., 2005, 2009). The identification offraitassociated alleles and genes has also been used to drive arevolution in green biotechnology. The first genetically modi-fied crop, the tomato (Solanum lycopersicumL.) 'FlavrSavr', was released to U.S. markets in the 1990s (Kramer & Reden-baugh, 1994). Since then, commercialization and distribution of genetically modified and, now, gene-edited crops have the potential to increase yield and improve traits such as bioticand abiotic stresses tolerance (Liu et al., 2021; Shi et al., 2017; Singh et al., 2018; Singh et al.,2020; Varshney et al.,2011; Wang et al.,2014; Zeng et al.,2019). The approaches described above require the identification f candidate genes or sequences for modification; however, they usually rely on a single reference genome, which doesnot contain the full extent of genetic variation present in thespecies, especially in polyploidy crops (Bayer et al., 2020; Golicz et al., 2016a). This limitation has led to the rise ofpangenomes, which combine the genomic data derived frommultiple accessions and cultivars to detail the full extent of sequence variation within a species, finding genes and alle-les to accelerate crop breeding. The pangenome concept wasCore Ideas Pangenomes allow integration of many types of DNA variants in a single reference. Pangenome studies highlight the importance ofstructural variations affecting agronomical traits. Many structural variations occur in regulatoryregions and affect gene expression. Adopting pangenome graphs will help understandcoding and noncoding variation.first proposed by Tettelin et al. (2005) to describe aStrepto-coccus agalactiae, or group BStreptococcus, reference com-bining datasets derived from eight different bacterial isolates(Tettelin et al., 2005). The genes present in all individualswere defined as 'core' genes, while the variable fraction wastermed 'dispensable' (also referred to as 'accessory' or 'vari-able'). Functional characterization of group BStreptococcuscore genes highlighted their involvement in essential pro-cesses, while a significant portion of disposable genes wasfound to be the cause of newly acquired traits such as antibioticresistance (Tettelin et al., 2005). Later pangenomics studiesconfirmed the critical roles of several variable genes in theorganism's adaptation to a certain environment, making themeffectively indispensable to the specific strain or cultivar fit-ness (Scheben et al., 2016). Tettelin et

Abstract Vol. 3, Iss. 1 2022

al. (2005)alsonotedthat increasing the sample size would expand the pangenomesize indefinitely, making it an 'open' pangenome as opposed to a 'closed' one, whereby after inclusion of a sufficient num-ber of samples, the addition of further datasets would notresult in the identification of novel sequences (Tettelin et al., 2005). Since then, the adoption of high-throughput and cost-effective DNA sequencing technologies has resulted in theproliferation of pangenomes, including major crop speciessuch as rice (Oryza sativaL.), maize (Zea maysL.), soy-bean [Glycine max(L.) Merr.], and rapeseed (Brassica napusL.) (Hirsch et al., 2014; Li et al., 2014; Song et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2018; Yao et al., 2015). Compared with single-reference genomes, pangenomes enable more accurate identification and representation of complex DNA polymorphisms within a species including large insertions, deletions, inver-sions, duplications, translocations, presence-or-absence vari-ations (PAVs,) and copynumber variations (CNVs). Struc-tural variations (or structural variants, SVs), ranging fromfew base pairs to several megabase pairs are the result of avariety of mechanisms including transposable elements (TEs)insertion, recombination, and double-strand breaks repair(Saxena et al., 2014). Structural variations are one of themajor determinants of phenotypic variability within a species almost 50% of them absent from the 'Darmor-bzh' reference(Hurgobin et al., 2018). Dispensable resistance genes includeBnaA03g43460.1D2, a potential orthologue of a clubrootresistance gene inBrassica rapaL. ,CRa(Ueno et al.,2012).A later study by Dolatabadian et al. (2020) expanded on thisdiscovery, identifying 753 variable resistance gene analogs(RGA) in the rapeseed pangenome, with 106 resistance geneanalog candidates predicted to contribute to blackleg resis-tance, one of the major diseases affectingBrassicaspecies(Dolatabadian et al., 2020; Howlett et al., 2001).