

WORLD CONGRESS ON CARDIAC NURSING AND CARDIOLOGY

&

6th INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON GLOBAL HEALTHCARE

November 04-05, 2019 | Tokyo, Japan

The proof and reasons that Starling's law for the capillary-interstitial fluid transfer is wrong: Advancing the hydrodynamics of a porous orifice (G) tube as the real mechanism

Khaled A Ghanem¹ and Ahmed N Ghanem

Mansoura University, Egypt

In 1886, Starling proposed a hypothesis for the capillary–interstitial fluid (ISF) transfer, in which the capillary was thought a tube of a uniform diameter that is impermeable to plasma proteins. The flow of fluid across its wall was thought dependent upon a balance between the hydrostatic pressure within its lumen causing ‘filtration’, and the osmotic pressure of plasma proteins causing ‘absorption’. The physical basis on which LP of a capillary was thought positive and responsible for filtration was Poiseuille’s work on long Brass tubes of uniform diameters. Later discoveries demonstrated that the capillary is a porous orifice tube with totally different hydrodynamics that is reported here.

Material and Methods: The hydrodynamics of an inlet tube was studied in order to demonstrate the negative Side Pressure (SP) gradient exerted on its wall. We then studied the porous orifice (G) tube akin to capillary and later enclosed it in a chamber (C), akin to interstitial fluid space, making the G-C apparatus demonstrating the G-C circulation phenomenon. The effect of proximal (arterial) pressure (PP), distal (venous) pressure (DP) and inlet diameter on the SP and CP of the G-C model are reported.

Results: The PP induces the negative SP in the G tube which is responsible for absorption. The orifice has an inverted bell shaped effect on SP and CP. The DP augments filtration. The G tube enclosed it in a chamber (C), making the G-C apparatus demonstrating the G-C circulation phenomenon.

Conclusions: Hydrodynamic studies on G tube, based on capillary ultrastructure, demonstrate results which differ from Poiseuille’s in a strait tube, challenge the role attributed to arterial pressure as a filtration force in Starling’s hypothesis. A perspective literature review shows that the oncotic pressure force has been previously cancelled and the Starling’s hypothesis has failed to explain the capillary–ISF transfer in most parts of the body.

A concept based on a new hydrodynamic of the G-C model phenomenon is proposed for the capillary–ISF circulation. An autonomous dynamic magnetic field-like G–C circulation occurs between fluid in the G tube’s lumen and a surrounding fluid compartment C. Based on results of studies on a circulatory model incorporating the G–C apparatus, factors which initiate, regulate and affect the G–C circulation, its physiological and haemodynamic relevance and its clinical importance to the pathogenesis of oedema and shock are discussed.

Biography

Ghanem was educated in Egypt and qualified in 1968, Mansoura University, Egypt. He gained postgraduate experience in UK where he was promoted in posts up to the consultant level. He practiced as consultant Urologist in UK, Saudi Arabia and Egypt. During his career he reported over 60 articles. He discovered two new types of vascular shocks, proved that one physiological law is wrong and provided an alternative. He resolved the puzzles of 3 clinical syndromes; TURP syndrome, the LPHS and ARDS. He is now on an editorial board member of many journals while he is happily retired in Egypt.

e: anmghanem1@gmail.com