Editorial Policies

Pulsus Group aims to inform and help physicians, scientists, health care providers and those in related professions advance quality and innovation in patient care. Pulsus Group is committed to fostering education, and meaningful exchange of information and commentary to help create an environment for constructive criticism, free exchange of ideas, and earnest contribution to the field and the scientific literature. Pulsus Group expects authors to adhere to the highest standards of integrity in research, and the communication of research results and findings. Pulsus Group is committed to the protection of intellectual property. Reviewer team members will not use ideas from or show another individual(s) the manuscript or supplementary materials they have been asked to review without the explicit permission of the manuscript’s author, obtained through the Editors-in-Chief or Associate Editors. Pulsus Group expects all submissions to include data, analysis and commentary that are honestly and accurately reported according to the accepted best practices of scholarly publishing, and congruent with Pulsus’s mission statement to publish the work of medical/scientific researchers in a manner that exemplifies the highest standards in research integrity.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST
Conflicts of interest may arise in a variety of situations and, therefore, the author is required to inform the Editor-in-Chief of such conflict. A conflict of interest may exist when a manuscript under review puts forth a position contrary to the reviewer’s published work, or when a manuscript author or reviewer has a substantial direct or indirect financial interest in the subject matter of the article. All authors must disclose any commercial associations or other arrangements (eg, financial compensation received, patent-licensing arrangements, potential to profit, consultancy, stock ownership, etc) that may pose a conflict of interest in connection with the article. This information will be made available to the editor and reviewers, and may be included as a footnote at the editor’s discretion because it is the Journal’s policy to engage in a double-blind review process, a conflict of interest may also exist when a reviewer knows the author of a manuscript. The reviewer should consult the appropriate Editor-in-Chief in such situations to decide whether to review the manuscript. A conflict of interest does not exist when an author disagrees with a reviewer’s assessment that a problem is unimportant or disagrees with an editorial outcome.

ETHICS OF HUMAN AND ANIMAL EXPERIMENTS
If human subjects are involved, the text must indicate that experiments or testing were conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki <http://www.wma.net/en/30publications/10policies/b3/>; that all participants provided informed consent; and that the protocol was approved by the institution’s ethics review committee. If experimental animals are used, provide a statement in the text to indicate that all procedures followed were in accordance with institutional policies.