Case Report

A case of a unilateral unusual genicular branch of the common peroneal nerve with bilateral high division of sciatic nerves and unusual bilateral thickness of peroneal communicating nerve
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ABSTRACT

On dissection of an adult male Filipino cadaver, an unusual genicular branch from the left common peroneal nerve was found terminating in the capsule of the knee joint. Extra care by the surgeon is required to separate this variant nerve from the small saphenous vein and intraneural ganglion during knee operation. A bilateral higher division of the sciatic nerve was observed below the lower border of piriformis muscle. In the cases of popliteal blockage, the knowledge of the variations in the bifurcation of the sciatic nerve is significant. Bilateral thick communicating peroneal nerves were dissected. The sural nerves were very much reduced in size and communicating peroneal nerves largely replaced the innervations in the lower legs. This finding is important because of its use in nerve transplantation. In this case, the presence of an unusual genicular branch is a rare finding. In combination with the other stated findings in the same cadaver makes it interesting. © IJAV. 2010; 3: 33–35.

Key words [sciatic nerve] [genicular branch] [sural nerve] [common peroneal nerve] [nerve transplantation]
Figure 1. Photograph of dissection of the right gluteal region & back of the thigh showing high bifurcation (arrow) of the right sciatic nerve (SN) by 1 cm below the lower border of piriformis muscle (PM). The nerve is divided into tibial nerve (TN) and common peroneal nerve (CPN). (QF: quadratus femoris muscle; IG: inferior gemellus muscle)

Figure 2. Photograph of dissection of the left gluteal region & back of the thigh showing high bifurcation (arrow) of the left sciatic nerve (SN) by 2 cm below the lower border of piriformis muscle (PM). The nerve is divided into tibial nerve (TN) and common peroneal nerve (CPN). (QF: quadratus femoris muscle; SG: superior gemellus muscle; IG: inferior gemellus muscle)

Figure 3. Photograph of dissection of the back of the left thigh and popliteal fossa showing a long genicular branch (Gb) originated from the common peroneal nerve (CPN), and terminated in the knee joint capsule. (Black arrowhead: origin of the genicular branch; white arrowhead: termination of the genicular branch; TN: tibial nerve; Su: sural nerve; PCN: peroneal communicating nerve)

Figure 4. Photograph of dissection of back of the left popliteal fossa and leg showing a small sural nerve (Su) originating from the tibial nerve (TN) accompanying the small saphenous vein (SV). Common peroneal nerve (CPN) gives thick peroneal communicating nerve (PCN) that joins the sural nerve distally. (GM: gastrocnemius muscle; LCN: lateral cutaneous nerve of the calf)
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Figure 3. Photograph of dissection of the back of the left thigh and popliteal fossa showing a long genicular branch (Gb) originated from the common peroneal nerve (CPN), and terminated in the knee joint capsule. (Black arrowhead: origin of the genicular branch; white arrowhead: termination of the genicular branch; TN: tibial nerve; Su: sural nerve; PCN: peroneal communicating nerve)

The common peroneal nerve (CPN), usually gives off three genicular (articular) branches. In our present case, a long variant genicular branch on the medial aspect of CPN in the middle of the left thigh was noticed during routine dissection. Its clinical significance in knee surgery may be very much appreciated.

The peroneal nerve is the most common site of intraneural ganglia and the communication proved to extend through the articular branch of the CPN. It arises from the superior tibiofibular joint and are connected to it by the articular branch [5]. The CPN is most frequently damaged as a result of complete or partial division during varicose vein operations and in cases of complication of small saphenous vein surgery [6,7].

Classically, the sural nerve (Su) is formed by the joining of the medial sural cutaneous nerve (MSCN) and the peroneal communicating nerve (PCN) or by the MSCN or PCN alone [8]. There had been some debate on the contribution of the Su. In a significant study, it is reported that the contribution of the PCN (or LSCN) was greater than that of the MSCN [9]. The bilateral thick peroneal communicating nerves in our present case were directly continuing from the common peroneal nerves. They were supplying those areas normally covered by sural nerve. In addition to this, it is also noted that the divided 2 cm below lower border of the piriformis muscle on both sides above the gemellus superior. The high bifurcation of this nerve is of clinical importance. The piriformis syndrome occurs secondary to the compression of the SN as it exits the greater sciatic foramen in the gluteal region [4]. The anatomical variations in the level at which the sciatic nerve divides into the tibial nerve and common peroneal nerve have been suspected as a possible cause for incomplete block of the sciatic nerve in the popliteal fossa [1].

The common peroneal nerve (CPN), usually gives off three genicular (articular) branches. In our present case, a long variant genicular branch on the medial aspect of CPN in the middle of the left thigh was noticed during routine dissection. Its clinical significance in knee surgery may be very much appreciated.

The peroneal nerve is the most common site of intraneural ganglia and the communication proved to extend through the articular branch of the CPN. It arises from the superior tibiofibular joint and are connected to it by the articular branch [5]. The CPN is most frequently damaged as a result of complete or partial division during varicose vein operations and in cases of complication of small saphenous vein surgery [6,7].

Classically, the sural nerve (Su) is formed by the joining of the medial sural cutaneous nerve (MSCN) and the peroneal communicating nerve (PCN) or by the MSCN or PCN alone [8]. There had been some debate on the contribution of the Su. In a significant study, it is reported that the contribution of the PCN (or LSCN) was greater than that of the MSCN [9]. The bilateral thick peroneal communicating nerves in our present case were directly continuing from the common peroneal nerves. They were supplying those areas normally covered by sural nerve. In addition to this, it is also noted that the
sural nerves here were quite thin and joining with the above-mentioned thick peroneal communicating nerves distally in the legs on both sides. In the case of nerve grafts and tissue biopsies, the proper selection of the size and the site is critical. The Su is the most frequent site of the nerve graft and peripheral nerve biopsy [8]. However, sacrificing the Su causes clinical problems. In order to reduce such problems, it is reported that the PCN (or LSCN) can be a good substitute for the Su [10].
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