Bilateral bitruncular venous malformation of the thigh: Case report
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SUMMARY

We present a case with bitruncular venous malformations of popliteal and femoral veins, bilaterally and we observed more than one connection which were described as femoral and popliteal connections in the gluteal region and lower extremity dissection of the formalin-fixed, 64-year-old male cadaver. On both sides, the small saphenous vein, anterior tibial, lateral genicular and one of the posterior tibial (PTV) veins drained into the lateral root of the popliteal vein. However, the other PTV and medial genicular vein drained into the medial root of the popliteal vein. In the left anterior thigh region, we observed three femoral connections between the lateral and medial roots of the femoral vein while two connections were observed among them on the right side. Additionally, we encountered unusual connections which were described ‘Z’ & ‘M’ shaped between perforant branches of the deep femoral vein and inferior gluteal vein. Having knowledge about the deep vein variations in the lower limb will guide the radiological, surgical and clinical evaluation of the patients with deep vein thrombosis.
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INTRODUCTION

Primitive vascular channels are formed during the third week of the intrauterine period. According to Uhl et al. (1) three developmental stages of vascular formation are classified as undifferentiated (the first stage), reformat (the second stage), and maturation (the third stage) stages. During the first two stages, capillary networks and large plexiform structures are formed. At the last stage, larger channels are formed and maturations of the arteries, veins and lymphatics are shaped into their final forms (1,2) by biochemical factors (vascular endothelial growth factor & epinephrine family) (3-5).

The venous development of the lower limb in the embryonic period is determined by the femoral nerve (FN), greater sciatic nerve (SN) and small sciatic nerve (SSN) which are called the angioguiding nerves. The axial venous plexus is formed by the axial nerve or greater sciatic nerve, preaxial venous plexus is formed by the preaxial or femoral nerve and postaxial venous plexus formed by the SSN or sural nerve. The femoral vein (FV) and the great saphenous vein (GSV) are derived from preaxial venous plexus while the small saphenous vein (SSV) is developed from postaxial venous plexus formed. The main venous drainage system of the lower limb takes its final shape as a result of the venous channels that disappeared and their anastomoses. The venous anatomy is not as stable as the anatomy of the arteries and especially the venous anatomy of the lower limbs shows highly variative formation (1,6,7). It has been described in the literature that the number of femoral trunks and various anatomic variations in their venous diameter at the thigh level may have clinical importance in case of a disease such as deep vein thrombosis (DVT) (8-11).

In this report, morphometrical features of a case with duplicated femoral and popliteal veins were used to evaluate their impact on developmental and clinical aspects.

CASE REPORT

The present case, displaying bilaterally duplicated femoral and popliteal veins, accompanied with high division of SN and connection between inferior gluteal vein (IGV) and 2nd perforant branch of the deep femoral vein (DFV) was encountered during a routine gluteal region and lower extremity dissection (10% formalin-fixed, 64-year-old male cadaver) in the Human Anatomy Department at the Near East University.

In the popliteal fossa, we encountered bitruncular venous malformations of popliteal and femoral veins (as lateral and medial roots), bilaterally. We observed more than one connection which were described as femoral (FC) and popliteal (PC) connections.

The evaluation of the popliteal venous networks/connections on both sides

On both sides, small saphenous vein (SSV), anterior tibial (ATV), lateral genicular (GVM) and one of the posterior tibial veins (PTV) drained into the lateral root of the popliteal vein (PVL). However, the other PTV and medial genicular vein (GVM) drained into the PVM (Figure 1). The PVL (9 mm in diameter) was ascending anterolateral to the popliteal artery (PA) while PVM (4 mm in diameter) was posteromedial to the PA, bilaterally (Figure 1). On the both sides, we encountered two connections between PVL and PVM before they reached the adductor hiatus (AH). These two connections were crossed the PA anteriorly. On the left side, these connection lengths were 14 mm and 36 mm while they were 24 mm and 36 mm on the right side.

Figure 1) Photographs show the left (A-B-C) and right (D) posterior of the thigh region and popliteal fossa. (B) and (C) illustrate the ‘M’ and ‘Z’ shape connections. AH: adductor hiatus, 2PF and 3PF: 2nd and 3rd perforating branch of the deep femoral vein, CM: connection between inferior gluteal vein and 2PF, ST: semitendinosus muscle, SM: semimembranosus muscle, BF: biceps femoris muscle, TN: tibial nerve, CPN: common peroneal nerve, PA: popliteal artery, PVL: lateral root of the popliteal vein, PVM: medial root of the popliteal vein, GVM: medial genicular veins, red stars: connections between PVM and PVL, red dashed line: cut connection between right PVM and PVL.
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In addition, these two roots passed through the adductor hiatus (AH) and continued as lateral root of the femoral (FVL) and medial root of the femoral vein (FVM) on both sides (Figures 2 and 3).

**Figure 2** Schematic drawing shows the right (A) and left (B) venous malformations. CFV: common femoral vein, GSV: greater saphenous vein, FA: femoral artery, LCFA: lateral circumflex femoral artery, CFV: lateral circumflex femoral vein, DFV: deep femoral vein, DFA: deep femoral artery, I 2-3 PF: first, second and third perforating branch of DFV, IGV: inferior gluteal vein, red star: connection between 2PF and IGV, FVM: medial root of the femoral vein, FVL: lateral root of the femoral vein, AH: adductor hiatus, FC 1-2-3: femoral connections (distances from the AH were given in parentheses in the figure) between FVM and FVL, PA: popliteal artery, PVL: lateral adductor hiatus, FC 1-2-3 PF: first, second and third perforating branch of the DFV (Figures 1A and 2B). On the left side, the sciatic nerve (SN) had a high division and divided into its terminal branches (TB) in the pelvic region, however, on the right side, TB were separated in the gluteal region. There was no connection between the VGI and DFV on the right side.

**DISCUSSION**

The main cause of venous variation in the lower limbs is congenital venous malformations occurring during the late developmental stage of the embryo, which is the most important period for venous malformations (1,6,7).

Various venous malformations of the femoral vein can be observed from agenesis to multiplication. Uhl et al. (1) reported that 88% of the saphenous veins had modal anatomy while 12% of them had truncular malformation. In addition, these malformations were divided in two main classes as unitruncular or bitruncular types (mainly based on the number of channels that carry the blood from distal to the proximal). These two configurations have various formations (1,6,7,12). The present case both PV and FV had two separated roots which are called medial and lateral roots of the popliteal veins. We encountered connections between 2nd (2PF) and 3rd PF (3PF) and IGV and 2nd PF of DFV. Before the perforation of the adductor muscle fibers, the diameters of the 2PF and 3PF were measured as 3.5 mm and 4.8 mm, respectively. A connection was detected between 2PF and 3PF and appeared like the letter “Z” (Figures 1 and 2). At this point, 2PF appeared like the letter “M”. A branch (diameter 2 mm) separated from the IGV suspends the “M” shape of the 2PF (Figures 1A and 2B). On the left side, the sciatic nerve (SN) had a high division and divided into its terminal branches (TB) in the pelvic region, however, on the right side, TB were separated in the gluteal region. There was no connection between the VGI and DFV on the right side.

The prevalence of anatomical variations in the veins of the lower limb was assessed with venographic studies by Gordon et al. (10) as 25%, by Quinlan et al. (11) as 32%, and by Screaton et al. (13) as 46%. Agenesis was observed at 0.3% in the Parks et al. (14) study. Multiple PV was reported as 6%-38% and the variations that presented as duplicated femoral veins was 12% to 46% in the literature including venographic ultrasonographic and cadaveric studies (1,14).

Lower extremity DVT is a disease that can sometimes be seen in healthy individuals with patients in the postoperative period (15). Maki et al. (15) reported that 77.7% of thrombi of the lower limb was observed either the CFV or PV or both of them while 22.3% of thrombi was observed in superficial PV. According to the results of another study, 90% of patients had widespread femoral venous or popliteal veins acute deep venous thrombosis, only 1% had superficial femoral varicose acute DVT (16). DVT is also a systemic side effect of sclerotherapy, also known as a safe method for the treatment of superficial venous insufficiency. If any of these patients have an unknown variation of the femoral and popliteal vein, there could be an increased risk for DVT. Additionally, the risk of DVT, which develops as a complication of sclerotherapy, may change in cases with variations in the PV and PV. In the literature, there is not enough information about drainage patterns of the SSV on duplicated PV cases. Therefore, in patients who go through sclerotherapy, the question of whether more or less complications may arise in the presence of duplicated PV and PV should be investigated.
important for DVT, as the risk of thrombus formation in one channel of different diameter and duplicated variant veins is more than the risk of thrombus formation in normal anatomic vessels (9). It may be explained by decreasing flow velocity because of duplicated and different in diameter veins (9). The M and Z shaped vein formations and femoral/popliteal connections in our case should be considered as variant structures that can influence flow velocity.

In the light of the findings, duplicated popliteal and femoral veins and connections between duplicated veins itself should be considered in the interpretation of radiological images and assessment of sclerotherapy complications for deep vein thrombosis, and sciatic nerve blockage applications.
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