INTRODUCTION

For a long time penicillin group of antibiotics have been the mainstays for the management of variety of infections caused by the genus Staphylococcus. During the course of time, resistance to antibiotic was acquired by the genus and a proportion of organisms have become resistant to Methicillin and Cloxacillin. The incidence of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) has gradually increased, with strains shown to cause up to 21% of skin infections and 59.6% of nosocomial pneumonia [1,2].

Methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) has gained much attention in the last decade, as MRSA is a major cause of hospital acquired infections. The preferred drugs against Staphylococcus aureus infections are the β-lactam antibiotics. S. aureus has developed resistance to the β-lactam antibiotics due to the production of chromosomal or plasmid mediated β-lactamases. This results in the limitation of the therapeutic options to a few antimicrobials, which are not only toxic and complicated to administer but also expensive [3,4]. As a consequence, patients have to be hospitalized for a longer duration, treatment costs are increased, and mortality also rises. This has a major impact on individual patients and institutions [5]. An additional concern of great significance is the emergence of vancomycin intermediate Staphylococcus aureus (VISA) and more recently vancomycin resistant S. aureus (VRSA) [6]. In addition to this, MRSA has become established outside the hospital environment and is now appearing in community populations. Thus, the number of effective exogenous antibiotics is declining; therefore concerted efforts are to be made to identify antimicrobial materials which could be used for the treatment of such pathogens. The research papers read in local forums in Pakistan claimed around 35% MRSA in Pakistan [7].

However all of these studies were done in an isolated setting where the overall picture of Beta lactamase producing MRSA in Pakistani population was not available hence a prospective study was planned. Regional laboratories in Pakistan were requested to participate in the study with the intention of generating local data regarding the prevalence of Beta lactamase producing MRSA in Pakistan and its sensitivity to Amoxicillin clavulanate.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A prospective laboratory based study was designed with eight laboratories participating from Karachi, Peshawar, Lahore, Sukkur, Islamabad, Quetta, and Mirpur Azad Kashmir. These laboratories received clinical samples from various parts of the city and adjoining areas. These satellite laboratories were requested to collect all Staphylococcus aureus isolates received for testing and send them to the Central Laboratory for confirmation, beta lactamase production and their sensitivity to Amoxicillin clavulanate.

Clinical samples received and identified were screened for Staphylococcus aureus. All consecutive Staphylococcus aureus isolates based on cultural, morphological and biochemical characteristics were collected along with the clinical report form. The isolates were from Pus, blood, urine, aspirates and ear and eye swabs. These isolates were subcultured, checked for purity, rechecked and the identification of the isolates was confirmed in accordance with the standard protocol [8,9]. The antibiotic susceptibility pattern was determined by Kirby Bauer disc diffusion method according to CLSI guidelines [10], against Cefoxitin and Amoxicillin-clavulanate. The isolates found to be Methicillin Resistant and Amoxicillin clavulanate resistant were based on disc susceptibility producing a zone of inhibition ≤22 mm with 30 µg cefoxitin and ≤18 mm with 20/10 µg amoxicillin clavulanate respectively. Nitrocefin strip was used for beta lactamase production according to the standard protocol [10].

RESULTS

A total of 875 isolates of Staphylococcus aureus were received from the collaborating Laboratories, out of which 792 were viable. Methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) accounted for 42% (n=332) of the isolates as shown in Table 1, out of which 87.9% (n=292) were positive for Beta lactamase production 52.1% of these Beta lactamase producing MRSA were sensitive to amoxicillin-clavulanate and the remaining (47.9%) were resistant.

Conclusion: If beta lactamase producing Staphylococcus aureus are tested against beta-lactam antimicrobial agents in combination with clavulanic acid or sulbactam (Beta-lactamase inhibitors), they become susceptible to the Beta-lactam antimicrobial agents. This might have therapeutic and epidemiological implications in near future.
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MRSA that were sensitive to amoxicillin-clavulanate accounted for 52.1% (152/292) and the remaining 47.9% (140/292) were resistant.

**Figure 1:** Frequency of β-lactamase production in MRSA.

### Table 1: Isolation of Staph aureus and MRSA by Location.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SL No.</th>
<th>Laboratory No.</th>
<th>Specimen</th>
<th>Total Inpatients</th>
<th>MRSA Staph. aureus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Lab Karachi</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Lab Karachi</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Lab Lahore</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Lab Islamabad</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Lab Peshawar</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Lab Quetta</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Lab Azad Kashmir</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Lab Sukkur</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>455</td>
<td>875</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DISCUSSION**

In non-Beta lactamase producing MRSA, altered PBP2a was considered as the sole cause of methicillin/cephoxin resistance as depicted by amoxicillin-clavulanate resistance by disc method.

On the other hand, Beta lactamase producing MRSA were further categorized into two types,

1. Amoxicillin-clavulanate resistant strains
2. Amoxicillin-clavulanate sensitive strains

Current CLSI guidelines suggest that Oxacillin resistant *Staphylococcus* must be reported as cephems and other beta-lactams resistant. Therefore, another factor to be considered is the role of beta-lactamase inhibitors used in combination with other beta-lactam antimicrobial agents to treat infections caused by *S. aureus* with borderline susceptibility or resistance. Clavulanic acid in combination with amoxicillin is now commercially available. Since clavulanic acid and sulbactam inhibit staphylococcal beta-lactamase, theoretically these combinations could be used to treat MRSA infections.

There is limited information on the use of beta-lactamase inhibitors in combination with Beta-lactam antimicrobial agents for treating MRSA infections. Lacey has indicated that the role of these drugs is uncertain, [11] but the successful use of clavulanic acid and amoxicillin in treating staphylococcal soft tissue infections has been reported for animal models [12] and for children [13]. The important question on therapy for infections caused by these borderlines.

(Non-heteroresistant) *S. aureus* is whether they have to be treated with vancomycin, the drug that is recommended to treat methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* infections in Pakistan and in other parts of the world. Vancomycin is known for its potential toxicity and cost. We emphasize, however, that vancomycin is the drug of choice for inherently resistant *S. aureus* infections. These questions of therapy cannot be answered until appropriate clinical trials are conducted to compare the efficacy of different regimens of therapy.

Another important consideration is whether to stick to isolation precautions for hospitalized patients who are infected or colonized with these borderline, non-heteroresistant *S. aureus*.
Until more data are gathered, one has to confine to the conservative approach which is to consider the isolates of these patients as methicillin-resistant S. aureus and to implement the isolation precautions as advocated by the Centers for Disease Control guidelines.

Until many of the therapeutic and epidemiological questions are answered, the most conservative approach is to treat the isolates as intrinsically resistant methicillin-resistant S. aureus and not be concerned about the mechanisms.

If a different decision is to be made, it will be based on individual discretion and it should be made because of a high incidence of the beta-lactamase- mediated oxacillin-resistant strains. This decision can therefore be taken by the appropriate hospital personnel, e.g., microbiologist, infectious disease physician, and epidemiologist. If laboratory workers wish to determine whether a borderline culture is heteroresistant, they could do an MIC (minimum inhibitory concentration) test that includes a beta-lactamase inhibitor in combination with the beta-lactam antimicrobial agent, they could also do a disk diffusion test with amoxicillin-clavulanic acid [14], or they could use the 24-h agar screen test [15].

The terms methicillin resistant, intrinsically resistant, occult resistant [16] and heteroresistant are used interchangeably to refer to those strains with chromosomally mediated resistance not only to methicillin but also to oxacillin, nafcillin, cloxacillin, flucloxacillin, cephalosporins, and probably all beta-lactams. This resistance is related to altered or low-affinity targets, since the PBPs (penicillin binding proteins) appear to be involved [17]. Acquired-resistant S. aureus would be those strains that are resistant to a PRP because of the beta-lactamase they produce which is probably always acquired and plasmid mediated. If S. aureus isolate is found to be resistant to oxacillin, for example, it could be further tested as described above and further designated as either intrinsically or acquired-resistant S. aureus. If these acquired resistant S. aureus are tested against these beta-lactam antimicrobial agents in combination with clavulanic acid or sulbactam (Beta-lactamase inhibitors), they become susceptible to the Beta-lactam antimicrobial agents. Additional studies are needed to determine the therapeutic and epidemiological implications of these findings. Several new antibiotics showing promising activity may be used against these multidrug-resistant bacteria. However, as the history of bacterial resistance has taught us, it will be a matter of time until these organisms adopt mechanisms of resistance to these new drugs. The key then lies in preventive measures. Surgeons and physicians must adhere to the precautionary guidelines recently set forth by the CDC and HICPAC: chief among these guidelines being the elimination of inappropriate antibiotic usage, especially inappropriate vancomycin use.
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