Complications in body contouring stratified according to weight loss method
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BACKGROUND: Body contouring procedures following massive weight loss have become increasingly common and, unfortunately, continue to be associated with a high complication rate.

OBJECTIVE: To evaluate how weight loss method affects complications following abdominally based body contouring procedures.

METHODS: Patients undergoing abdominally based contouring procedures were retrospectively evaluated over an 11-year period and stratified into two groups based on method of weight loss: diet and exercise; or bariatric surgery. Complications, including seroma, wound dehiscence, skin necrosis, infection, hematoma and venous thromboembolism, were included if they required intervention. An adjusted logistic model was used to examine the effect of weight loss method on aggregate complication rates.

RESULTS: A total of 307 patients were included: 77 (25%) lost weight through diet and exercise; and 230 (75%) through bariatric surgery. Results from the logistic model showed no difference in complication rates between weight loss methods (OR 1.01 [95% CI 0.51 to 2.02]). However, there was a strong correlation between body mass index at the time of surgery and complication rates (OR 1.05 [95% CI 1.02 to 1.08]; P<0.01).

CONCLUSIONS: The findings reveal no difference in complication rates following abdominal body contouring procedures attributable to method of weight loss. Rather, there was a proportional rise in complication rates in patients with a higher body mass index at the time of surgery. Surgeons and patients should be aware of this trend, and it should be part of any discussion of abdominal body contouring procedures and informing patients of their risk profile.
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Les complications du remodelage de l’abdomen stratifiées selon la méthode de perte de poids

HISTORIQUE : Les interventions de remodelage du corps après une perte de poids massive sont de plus en plus courantes. Malheureusement, elles continuent d’être associées à un taux de complication élevé.

OBJECTIF : Évaluer l’influence de la méthode de perte de poids sur les complications après des interventions de remodelage de l’abdomen.

MÉTHODOLOGIE : Les chercheurs ont fait l’évaluation rétrospective de patients qui avaient subi des interventions de remodelage sur une période de 11 ans et les ont stratifiés en deux groupes, selon la méthode de perte de poids : régime et exercice ou chirurgie bariatrique. Ils ont inclus les complications qui avaient nécessité une intervention, y compris la séreuse, la déhiscence de la plaie, la nécrose cutanée, l’infection, l’hématome et la thromboembolie veineuse. Ils ont utilisé un modèle logistique rajusté pour examiner l’effet de la méthode de perte de poids sur les taux de complication globaux.

RÉSULTATS : Au total, 307 patients ont été inclus dans l’étude, dont 77 (25 %) ont perdu du poids par suite d’un régime et d’exercice et 230 (75 %) après une chirurgie bariatrique. Les résultats du modèle logistique n’ont révélé aucune différence dans les taux de complications selon la méthode de perte de poids (RC 1,01 [95 % IC 0,51 à 2,02]). Cependant, ils ont constaté une forte corrélation entre l’indice de masse corporelle au moment de l’opération et les taux de complications (RC 1,05 [95 % IC 1,02 à 1,08]; P<0,01).

CONCLUSIONS : Les résultats n’ont révélé aucune différence dans les taux de complication attribuables à la méthode de perte de poids après des interventions de remodelage abdominal. Ils ont plutôt démontré une augmentation proportionnelle des taux de complication chez les patients dont l’indice de masse corporelle était plus élevé lors de l’opération. Les chirurgiens et les patients devraient connaître cette tendance, qu’il faudrait intégrer aux discussions sur les interventions de remodelage abdominal et à l’information aux patients sur leur profil de risque.
METhODS

Patients who underwent abdominal contouring procedures between January 1, 2003 and December 31, 2013 at the University of Michigan (Ann Arbor, USA) were identified. Current Procedural Terminology codes 15830 (panniculectomy), 15847 (excision of excess abdominal skin), 15834 (contouring of the hip) and 15835 (contouring of the buttocks) were used to identify 307 consecutive patients who comprised the study population. Inclusion criteria were age >18 years and weight loss of ≥50 pounds (22.7 kg). Patient demographics, comorbidities and clinical outcomes were evaluated from hospital and office records. Characteristics, comorbidities and potential risk factors that comprised the adjustment variables included age, diabetes, tobacco use, body mass index (BMI) at time of surgery, type of procedure (panniculectomy with or without anterior wedge resection), and additional procedures performed in addition to abdominally based body contouring. The key predictor variable was the method of weight loss: diet and exercise versus bariatric surgery. The outcome variable was the aggregate of postoperative complications that warranted intervention or required a higher level of monitoring or additional therapies. Postoperative complications that counted toward the aggregate included the following: seroma or hematoma requiring evacuation; dehiscence or necrosis requiring additional wound care or debridement; infection requiring oral or intravenous antibiotic therapy or operative drainage of infected fluid collection; and venous thromboembolism requiring anticoagulation. Aggregate postoperative complications were used because the rates of individual complications were too low to permit meaningful analyses.

RESULTS

Of the 307 patients included in the study, 77 (25%) lost weight through diet and exercise, and 230 (75%) lost weight through bariatric surgery. Patient characteristics according to weight loss type are summarized in Table 1. Results from the logistic model (Table 2) demonstrated no difference in overall complication rates attributable to weight loss method (OR 1.01 [95% CI 0.51 to 2.02]). However, BMI at the time of surgery had a significant effect on complication rates (OR 1.05 [95% CI 1.02 to 1.08]; P<0.01). Additionally, probabilities predicted from the logistic model showed that complication rates increased in proportion to BMI; patients with BMI ≥35 kg/m² had complication rates greater than the study average rate (30.9%) (Figure 2, Table 3).

DISCUSSION

Body contouring procedures after MWL carry a high risk for complications (5,7). Understanding factors that contribute to increased morbidity is important to guide interventions aimed at improving outcomes. Our findings show no significant difference in complication rates in patients who lost weight as a result of a bariatric surgery compared with those who lost weight from diet and exercise. Rather, complication rates tended to correlate with BMI at the time of surgery. The results demonstrated that a higher BMI carried a higher complication rate, with a 5% increase in the risk for complications for each additional BMI point (Figure 2). The reason for this focus on weight loss method is based on results from studies comparing restrictive to malabsorptive surgical approaches, which suggest that patients who undergo bariatric surgery have an altered digestive physiology (8) as well as deficiencies in various nutritional markers (9), although the significance of these deficiencies has not been fully explored. Surgery increases the protein-calorie demand by as much...
as 25% (19-20) and, with marginal nutrient reserves, the added physiological requirements introduced by a surgical procedure could shift the reserves into a relatively deficient state leading to impaired wound healing and increased complication rates.

Unfortunately, results from studies comparing body contouring procedures following MWL are difficult to interpret because many of them compare a heterogeneous group of contouring procedures comparing different body sites such as brachioplasty, mastopexy, abdominoplasty and thighplasty (7,11-14). Another limitation to most of these studies is the generally low number of subjects in the diet and exercise weight loss category, with groups ranging from as low as 10 to as high as 29 (7.2% and 6.5% of their study cohorts, respectively) – essentially too few to perform meaningful adjusted analyses (11,14). Despite these limitations, a common observation is that performing contouring procedures at a higher BMI leads to a higher risk for complications (12-14,21), which is consistent with the results of our study.

The average complication rate for the entire study population was 30.9%. Patients with BMI >35 kg/m² at the time of body contouring surgery surpassed the average complication rate (Figure 2). This trend is consistent with previous studies. Arthurs et al (22) found that patients undergoing panniculectomy after MWL had three times higher risk for wound complications if their BMI was >25 kg/m². Similarly, Vastine et al (17) observed a direct significant adverse effect of BMI at the time of contouring procedures. Additionally, the correlation between BMI and aggregate complication rates in MWL procedures following MWL is consistent with previous studies. Arthurs et al (22) found that patients undergoing panniculectomy after MWL had three times higher risk for wound complications if their BMI was >25 kg/m². Similarly, Vastine et al (17) observed a direct significant adverse effect of BMI at the time of contouring procedures.

**TABLE 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effect of weight loss method, demographics and comorbidities on complication rates</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weight loss method</td>
<td>1.01 (0.51–2.02)</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diet/exercise</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bariatric surgery</td>
<td>1.01 (1.00–1.01)</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>1.01 (0.55–0.92)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex*</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procedure type</td>
<td>0.77 (0.50–1.18)</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panniculectomy only</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ Vertical wedge</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional procedure</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking*</td>
<td>1.15 (0.66–2.04)</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>1.05 (1.02–1.08)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Unable to determine OR for sex or smoking status because all smokers and all male patients experienced complications

This observation was independent of method of weight loss. Other studies have also correlated higher BMI to greater risk for complications (12,23). These studies, along with our data, support the concept that BMI at the time of contouring procedures has a more direct effect on postoperative outcomes than method of weight loss.

The present study had several limitations. First, although our overall complication rate was 30.9%, complication rates in the individual categories were relatively low, making meaningful analysis difficult if assessed separately. Additionally, patients in the diet and exercise group represent only 25% of the overall study sample. Due to this relatively small population, event rates of individual complications were low, especially for seroma, necrosis, deep vein thrombosis and hematoma, making it difficult to have sufficient power to detect differences in the rates of these complications between the groups. Finally, the study population represented the experience from a single institution and our findings should be generalized with caution.

Despite these limitations, our study provides evidence of a strong correlation between BMI and aggregate complication rates in MWL patients undergoing body-contouring procedures. Additionally, the study adds to the growing body evidence that weight loss method does not appear to influence complication rates in these patients.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Contouring procedures have the potential to dramatically improve quality of life in patients who have experienced MWL. Our findings reveal a proportional rise in complication rates in patients with higher BMI at the time of their contouring procedure following MWL. Surgeons and patients should be aware of this trend and it should be part of any discussion of abdominal body contouring procedures and informing patients of their risk profile. Lowering BMI to decrease postoperative complication risk must, however, be balanced against the loss of productivity and quality of life MWL patients experience.
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