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J Mahoney. Cumulative trauma disorders and carpal tunnel syndrome: Sorting out the confusion. Can J Plast Surg 1995;3(4):185-189. Chronic work injury will be implicated as a cause of upper extremity musculoskeletal disorders in increasing numbers of patients. A wide variety of conditions, some with a specific diagnosis (eg, carpal tunnel syndrome) and a known response to treatment in contrast to more generalized disorders (eg, tendonitis) where the prognosis is uncertain form part of the spectrum. Experience has demonstrated that as the diagnosis becomes less specific, job related and emotional factors can significantly affect the patient's presentation, response to treatment and timing to return to work. Care needs to be exercised in implicating work in the etiology. General terms such as `cumulative trauma disorder' and `repetitive strain injury' need to be avoided.
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Troubles traumatiques cumulatifs et syndrome du tunnel carpien : de la lumière au bout du tunnel

RÉSUMÉ : Les blessures professionnelles chroniques risquent d'être de plus en plus incriminées dans les troubles musculo-squelettiques des membres supérieurs. Une grande variété de maladies, certaines accompagnées d'un diagnostic spécifique (par ex. syndrome du tunnel carpien) et une réponse connue au traitement, par opposition à des troubles de type plus généralisés (dont le pronostic reste incertain). Selon l'expérience, à mesure que le diagnostic se fait moins spécifique, des facteurs liés au travail et aux émotions peuvent affecter de façon significative le tableau clinique, la réponse au traitement et le moment du retour au travail. Les soins doivent donc être établis en fonction du poste de travail et de l'étiologie. Les thèmes généraux comme << traumatismes cumulatifs >> et << blessures causées par des gestes répétitifs >> sont à éviter.
The performance of frequent, forceful or awkward activities on an improperly positioned upper extremity creating stress are implicated in the development of diverse musculoskeletal disorders affecting the upper limb. They have been broadly grouped as 'cumulative trauma disorders' (CTDs) and are defined as disorders of the nerves, muscles, tendons and bones that are precipitated or aggravated by repeated exertions or movement (1,2). It is suggested that they account for more than 50% of all occupational illnesses in the USA today (3) and in some states the frequency is alarming (4).

Injuries of the upper extremity may be classified as acute or chronic. 'Acute' injuries occur suddenly, ie, during a single supramaximal load event, accident or sudden change in pattern of use. Cumulative trauma disorders on the other hand are 'chronic' injuries and are suggested to develop gradually over a long period of time from overactivity exceeding the physical limit of tissue, perhaps as a result of heavy load, high frequency or rapidity resulting in repeated physical stress. The same work task will not produce the problem in everyone (5). Different types of manual work have been inferred as the source of chronic injury (Table 1) on the basis of an increased frequency of these disorders, most involving rapid forceful hand movements with wrist flexion and rotation.

A pathologic clinical condition is produced that results in persistent symptoms and signs of a localized or diffuse nature. The role of work activity as the most important factor in causation as opposed to being coincidental or an aggravating factor continues to be controversial and the etiology remains to be defined. Similar conditions are recognized in sports related injuries and in musicians where overuse is easier to understand due to the specific repetitive activity involved.

### TABLE 1: Occupations associated with an increased frequency of upper limb disorders affecting the upper extremity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disorder</th>
<th>Job</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tenosynovitis</td>
<td>Food packer, buffer/grinder, cashier, data entry, musician</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wrist tendonitis</td>
<td>Assembler, packager, meat packer, keyboard operator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>De Quervain's disorder</td>
<td>Sewer, cutter, packer, electronic assembler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trigger finger</td>
<td>Labeller</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epicondylitis</td>
<td>Musician, construction, butcher, electrician</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carpal tunnel syndrome</td>
<td>Butcher, meat packer, assembly, postal worker, sewing, rock driller, cake decorator, grocery checker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ulnar nerve and elbow (cubital tunnel)</td>
<td>Glass cutter, telephone operator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vibration syndrome</td>
<td>Forestry, chipper/grinder, rock drilling, jack hammer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Modified from Rempel (3)*

The terminology concerning these disorders is extremely confusing. Many forms of occupational arm pain have been suggested to be interrelated, including myofascial pain disorders, chronic pain syndrome, cervical spondylosis, thoracic outlet syndrome and other nerve compression syndromes (1,6). Some authors stress the need to be
specific. Lockwood (7) defines an ‘overuse syndrome’ as an injury in musicians caused by the cumulative effect on tissues from repeated physical stress that exceeds physiologic limits but differentiates localized disorders such as tendonitis, tenosynovitis and peritendonitis crepitans (intersection syndrome) (8). Stern separates an ‘overuse syndrome’ from more specific disorders, such as tendonitis. He identifies the response to rest as the key component in overuse and states that surgical intervention is not indicated (9).

CONTRIBUTING FACTORS

Present social values affect the frequency and presentation of these problems. In general, although people are healthier they do not necessarily feel that way, even though physical and mental health is increasingly emphasized. Individuals are bothered more by trivial symptoms and disabled more by minor ailments than before. Pain that is believed to be treatable is thereby unnecessary but can hurt more than pain that is unavoidable and inevitable (10). Society has become more accepting of injury and disability and feels that compensation is increasingly justified. For the individual, work disability is associated with helplessness and dependency, and can be combined with a fear of jeopardizing the compensation claim (11). Various factors such as emotional, substance abuse and family environment can amplify body symptoms, playing a role in the presentation of the problem and the response to treatment.

Injury, whether or not related to work, can predispose to difficulty when followed by repetitive stress to the injured area. Substance abuse can affect the patient and the response to disability. A sports or exercise-induced injury, not work related, may only become evident by the work task.

Work skills (skilled versus unskilled), manual requirements (manual versus sedentary), language barrier, education level and financial remuneration will influence the patient's presentation and response to treatment. The job, company, industry and union are all important. Some patients may not like their job, be approaching retirement or feel threatened and will respond negatively to a physical complaint or lack motivation in their desire to return to work. Alternative financial support by Workman's Compensation or litigation in the presence of persisting symptoms for greater than six months represents a cycle which is difficult to break. The support of the employer during the period of work absence and especially when returning to work may significantly facilitate convalescence, eg, modified work.

PATHOPHYSIOLOGY

These disorders (CTDs) have focused on muscles, tendon units and nerve compression and are to be distinguished from muscle fatigue, occupational cramp and exertional compartment syndrome. Many are localized to the friction interface between tendon and soft tissue or the origin of the muscle group, ie, tenosynovitis, tendonitis and epicondylitis, although mechanical studies of tissues involved do not offer insight into the etiology of this problem (12).

Pathologic findings have been described in different tissue types with some of the specific disorders. In tendonitis, swelling around the tendon sheaths on magnetic resonance imaging (13) and metaplasia of the tendon sheath (14) may be present. In carpal tunnel syndrome diffuse swelling or synovitis (15) has been seen. Muscle overuse
is associated with histological change (16). Vibration, a specific form of trauma, is associated with the development of nerve change (17,18). Generalized forms of the CTDs have been compared to fibrositis, a psychosomatic disorder, and a model based on neurogenic pathways has been proposed (19).

**EPIDEMIOLOGY**

The highly repetitive nature of some occupations is suggested as the reason for the statistically increased incidence of these problems (3) in an ever-increasing number of occupations, including dentists (20) and computer operators (21). Every manual occupation may be associated with such an entity. On the other hand, careful epidemiological analysis of the work relatedness of carpal tunnel syndrome, one of the most common hand problems implicated in a wide variety of occupations (22), has raised serious questions about the validity of implicating work in its etiology (23). A surveillance case definition for work-related carpal tunnel syndrome has been developed to facilitate the collection of data using recognized symptoms and signs associated with work (Table 2) (24). A recent study from Katz (25) investigated the validation of the surveillance case definition and indicated that effective screening of CTS awaits improved diagnostic techniques.

**TABLE 2: Surveillance case definition for work related carpal tunnel syndrome**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symptoms</th>
<th>Physical findings</th>
<th>Electrodiagnostic findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Paraesthesia</td>
<td>Tinel's sign</td>
<td>Evidence of work relatedness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypaesthesia</td>
<td>Positive Phalen's test</td>
<td>(1) Frequent repetitive use of the same or similar movements of the hand or wrist on the affected side</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain</td>
<td>Diminished sensation to pin prick</td>
<td>(2) Regular tasks requiring the generation of high force by the hand on the affected side</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Numbness</td>
<td></td>
<td>(3) Regular sustained tasks requiring awkward hand positions on the affected side</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In a review of 54 articles evaluating work related carpal tunnel syndrome (23), three met the required criteria (26-28) and a causal relationship between repetitive forceful work and the development of carpal tunnel was demonstrated. However, even the best study was limited by the sensitivity and specificity of the diagnostic criteria for carpal tunnel syndrome. The need for careful experimental design and objective measurement is to be emphasized and the importance of objective measures in such studies is to be stressed (29).
PREVENTION
In many of the jobs that have developed in recent years, the worker is required to carry out less stimulating repetitive jobs. Ergonomics is the study of work, equipment, procedures and their environment so that people can optimize their maximum performance. It is a multidisciplinary approach that adapts the man-made world to the worker. The frequency of certain problems and the factors in their development is initially determined. Alterations of the work task to minimize problem development, eg, tools, exercise, rest periods and work modifications, are possible solutions. Employers with small companies occasionally cannot provide the appropriate or recommended job modification, and incentive programs that encourage small businesses to improve as well as general surveillance for larger companies is important.

DIAGNOSTIC AND TREATMENT CONSIDERATIONS
It is the physician's role to establish a specific musculoskeletal diagnosis, treatment plan and prognosis with expected duration of time off work based on the history, examination and investigations. Pertinent history is listed in Table 3. In general, investigations are performed more frequently in the working patient to document and verify the diagnosis and when there is difficulty in making a specific diagnosis.

TABLE 3: Pertinent aspects in the history which can help is establishing possible work relatedness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predisposing medical conditions</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes thyroid</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arthritis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pain</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Localized versus diffuse</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relationship to work</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Time</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Specific task</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Hand position</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Work history</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Detailed job type</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency, force, repetition, awkward</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vibration exposure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nonoccupational history</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hand activity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vibration exposure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Logically if the disorder is precipitated by work, a reduction of activity, ie, rest, will improve the symptoms. Splintage, modified work and even temporary work cessation is of benefit (6) and may be the sole method of treatment in patients with generalized disorders (30). Relaxation training, attention to posture, manipulation, electrotherapy, acupuncture, chiropractic and naturopathy have been suggested (31).
Other modalities known to improve inflammation and swelling, such as alternating ice
with heat, ultrasound followed by stretching and later by strengthening, may assist but
not always (3). Medicinal agents such as nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs,
acetylsalicylic acid (ASA) and local injection of steroids (32) can be considered. Surgery
can be recommended for failures of conservative treatment for a specific diagnosis with a
high expectation of improvement, eg, carpal tunnel syndrome (33,34).

When patients return to the original job, they have the same factors working on
them once again and it is suggested carpal tunnel syndrome associated with physically
strenuous activity has a poorer outcome when measured by the ability to return to
previous work (35). Recommendations for permanent work modification may be
necessary. Vibration exposure requires special mention (eg, jack hammers). It is
associated with carpal tunnel syndrome (18,36,37) but patients are not as responsive to
treatment (38) requiring restriction from this form of harmful stimulation.

As the presentation is often clouded with diffuse findings affecting different
anatomical areas or types of conditions, it is important to rule out generalized disorders of
upper extremity function, eg, thoracic outlet, rheumatoid arthritis, cervical radiculopathy,
syringomyelia. Assessment and treatment can be very difficult (39) and careful follow-up
evaluation of the response to treatment can help to verify the diagnosis. Prognosis both
with the improvement in symptoms and time off work should be discussed and
documented. One should also be cognizant of the positive reinforcement to the patient
when treatment is recommended.

The Australian experience of repetitive strain injury highlights the problem of a
generalized diagnosis (40). In the 1980s Australia experienced an epidemic of patients
complaining of symptoms affecting the upper limbs including weakness, paraesthesia,
swelling and pain. The pain, although consistent in a given patient, was not consistent
among different patients and did not conform to any known neurological pathway,
anatomic structure or physiological pattern. There were no primary objective physical
findings and there were no positive findings on investigation. The condition affected
young to middle-aged, predominantly female employees engaged in low paying
monotonous, low prestige occupations. Once the entity was described it spread and the
incidence of this condition rapidly increased to approximately 30% of the work force.
There were no data and no pathological description (41,42). It stopped when the Workers’
Compensation Board stopped paying. It is now felt that repetitive strain injury should be
classified as a sociopolitical phenomena rather than a medical condition (43,44). Similar
complaints have been seen in other countries (39).

SUMMARY

Generalized terms such as cumulative trauma syndrome, disorder or injury,
implicating work as the source of the condition, have created confusion and need to be
avoided (45,46). There are few well designed studies identifying occupations or tasks
which carry a high incidence of musculoskeletal problems involving the upper extremity.
Similarly, there is little information as to what job modifications are required to minimize
their development, the most appropriate treatment or how to prevent the problem from
recurring. Caution needs to be exercised before initiating any form of treatment if the
diagnosis is not specific, especially if other significant factors are identified.
At present, when one is confronted with a patient with a condition possibly related to work, one must have an awareness of the other personal, financial and job related factors as well as the general medical status which may affect the situation. One must not hesitate to use allied health care workers such as specialists, therapists, vocational counsellors, etc, when necessary. The recommended form of treatment and the ultimate desired outcome with the patient returning to work are dependent on their interaction. The tendency for these disorders to affect the whole patient and not just the upper limb should be remembered.
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