Diagnostic value of cardiac computed tomography angiography in patients with left bundle branch block and abnormal single-photon emission computed tomography findings
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BACKGROUND: The presence of septal perfusion defect on myocardial perfusion single-photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) in patients with known left bundle branch block (LBBB) can present a major diagnostic dilemma.

METHODS: Thirty-nine patients with known LBBB underwent both SPECT and coronary computed tomography angiography (CCTA), a median of 65 days after SPECT. Patients underwent SPECT; CCTA was performed on the basis of abnormal SPECT and presence of clinical symptoms. Exclusion criteria included a history of myocardial infarction, previous coronary artery bypass surgery or percutaneous coronary intervention.

RESULTS: Of the study population (n=39), 22 (56%) patients were female and the mean (± SD) age was 63±13 years; all patients were known to have LBBB diagnosed by electrocardiogram. SPECT results showed 24 (61%) patients had a reversible septal defect and 15 (39%) had a fixed septal defect. CCTA revealed that 27 (69%) patients had normal coronary artery or nonobstructive coronary artery disease (CAD); only 12 (31%) had abnormal coronary artery (>50% luminal stenosis). There was no relationship between patterns of SPECT perfusion abnormalities and CCTA results.

CONCLUSION: The presence of abnormal SPECT in patients with LBBB did not necessarily indicate the presence of CAD. Approximately 70% of patients with LBBB and abnormal SPECT had normal CCTA. The authors strongly believe CCTA must be considered the first noninvasive test in patients without history of CAD and LBBB. Normal CCTA excludes CAD, but patients with obstructive CAD >50% on CCTA will benefit from conventional coronary angiography.
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Spontaneous left bundle branch block (LBBB) is associated with increased cardiovascular-related overall mortality (1-3). LBBB is characterized by a delay in electrical activity and, accordingly, mechanical activation of the left ventricle resulting in intra- and interventricular asynchrony eventually leading to systolic and diastolic dysfunction (4,5). LBBB results in a septal perfusion defect on myocardial perfusion single-photon emission computed tomography (SPECT), which may be mistaken for myocardial infarction or ischemia depending on whether the defect is fixed or reversible, respectively. The presence of septal perfusion defect in patients with known LBBB can present a major diagnostic dilemma; differentiation between coronary artery disease (CAD) versus artefact-related LBBB as the etiology of septal defects cannot be established in many cases and invasive coronary angiography may be needed. Cardiac computed tomography angiography (CCTA) is a promising noninvasive technique to detect and characterize stenotic and nonstenotic CAD (6-12). Recent reports have shown that CCTA has excellent diagnostic accuracy in patients with known LBBB. A normal CCTA in this clinical setting is a robust tool to act as a filter and avoid invasive diagnostic procedures. The aim of present study was to evaluate CCTA and abnormal SPECT findings in patients with LBBB.

METHODS

Study population
From November 2011 to May 2015, 39 subjects with known LBBB underwent SPECT followed by CCTA (within a median of 65 days). Patients underwent SPECT on appropriate clinical indications. CCAC was performed either on the basis of abnormal SPECT or the presence of clinical symptoms. Exclusion criteria included a history of myocardial infarction, previous coronary artery bypass surgery or percutaneous coronary intervention, previous cardiomyopathy or known valvular heart disease. The study was approved by the authors’ hospital review board.

SPECT acquisition and analysis
Patients underwent rest-stress myocardial perfusion studies with either a separate-day protocol or a same-day stress-rest sequence. The choice of tracer and same-day or separate-day protocol was based on logistic requirements. The rest dose in patients who underwent a separate-day rest-stress protocol was 10 MBq to 370 MBq of either technetium-99 (Tc-99m) sestamibi or tetrofosmin. The stress dose in patients who underwent the rest-stress same-day protocol was 1100 MBq of either Tc-99m sestamibi or tetrofosmin. Tc-99m sestamibi or Tc-99m tetrofosmin was injected during peak pharmacological vasodilation with adenosine (140 μg/kg/min) or dipyridamole. SPECT imaging was started 30 min following pharmacological vasodilation. Rest SPECT myocardial perfusion imaging was initiated at approximately 60 min following injection. SPECT imaging was performed with line source attenuation correction at 90° dual-detector gamma camera (Cardio MD, Philips Medical System, USA) equipped with attenuation correction and truncation compensation. The acquisition parameters and post processing were performed according to the most recent guidelines of the American Society of Nuclear Cardiology for nuclear cardiology procedures (13).

All images were reoriented in short, vertical and horizontal views using Auto SPECT (Cedars-Sinai Medical Center, Los Angeles, California) for visual interpretation by an experienced nuclear medicine physician. The reader was not biased by clinical information.
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Stress and rest perfusion images were scored using 17 tomographic segments, which included six segments each for the basal and midventricular slices, and four segments for the apical short-axis slices. The final segment is located on the most apical part of the left ventricle (14). Finally, gated short-axis images were processed with quantitative SPECT software to measure the ejection fraction. In the visual analysis, the 17 segments were scored for perfusion defects on a four-point grading score (normal, mild [<50%], moderate [50% to 69%], severe [≥70%]) for both stress and rest images. From this analysis, ischemia was defined as perfusion and functional data (Figure 1 represents a typical example of septal perfusion abnormalities in patient with LBBB).

CCTA
Patients received metoprolol (targeting a heart rate of ≤65 beats/min) and nitroglycerin 0.8 mg sublingually before image acquisition. A bolus tracking technique was used to calculate the time interval between intravenous contrast (Visipaque 320, GE Healthcare, USA) infusion and image acquisition. Final images were acquired using a triphasic protocol (100% contrast, 40%/60% contrast/saline and 40 mL saline). The contrast volume and infusion rate (5 mL/s to 6 mL/s) were individualized according to scan time and patient body habitus. Retrospective electrocardiogram (ECG)-gated data sets were acquired with the GE high-definition CT (GE Healthcare, USA) with 64 mm × 0.625 mm slice collimation and a gantry rotation of 350 ms (mA=300 to 800, kV=120). Pitch (0.16 to 0.24) was individualized to the patient’s heart rate. The CCTA data sets were reconstructed with an increment of 0.4 mm using the cardiac phase with the least cardiac motion.

CCTA image analysis
Images were processed using the GE Advantage Volume Share Workstation (GE Healthcare, USA) and visually interpreted by two expert observers blinded to all clinical data. A 17-segment model of the coronary arteries and four-point grading score (normal, mild [<50%], moderate [50% to 69%], severe [≥70%]) was used for the evaluation of coronary stenosis; obstructive CAD was defined as coronary diameter stenosis ≥50%.

LBBB criteria
LBBB was recorded on a 12-lead ECG and defined as set by the Criteria Committee of the New York Heart Association (15): QRS interval ≥120 ms; slurred/notched wide and predominant R waves in leads I, aVL, V5 and V6; slurred/notched and broad S waves in V1 and V2 with absent or small R waves; mid-conduction delay defined as notching or a plateau in the mid-QRS wave; ventricular activation time ≥50 ms at the onset of the QRS interval; M-shaped QRS variants with occasionally wide R waves in V5 and V6; no initial Q-wave over the left precordium; and absence of pre-excitation.

Statistical analysis
Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS version 13.0 (IBM Corporation Inc, USA) for Windows (Microsoft Corporation, USA). Continuous variables were expressed as mean ± SD, or median and range where appropriate, and categorical data were expressed as percentages. Student’s t-test with Levene test for assessing homoscedasticity or Mann-Whitney U test and χ² test or Fisher’s exact test were used to compare continuous and categorical variables between groups, respectively. All P values refer to two-tailed tests of significance. P<0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

RESULTS
Patients’ baseline characteristics are reported in Table 1. Of the 39 study patients, 22 (56%) were female and the mean age was 63±13 years; all patients had LBBB diagnosed using ECG and confirmed by a cardiac electrophysiologist. SPECT results showed 24 (61%) patients had a reversible septal defect and 15 (39%) had a fixed septal defect. An analysis of the CCTA findings revealed 27 (69%) patients had a normal CCTA (normal coronary arteries or nonobstructive CAD) and 12 (31%) had an abnormal CCTA result (>50% luminal stenosis). There was no relationship between patterns of SPECT perfusion abnormalities (fixed or reversible defect) and CCTA results (P=0.323).
DISCUSSION

The main finding in the present study was that approximately 70% of patients with LBBB and abnormal SPECT findings had normal CCTA findings. The presence of septal perfusion abnormalities in patients with LBBB is common (either reversible or fixed), but is not necessarily due to CAD. Normal CCTA is known to have excellent negative predictive value and acts as a filter for further cardiac testing or imaging.

Vasodilator SPECT is more accurate than exercise in excluding left anterior descending (LAD) CAD in patients with LBBB (16,17). However, false-positive results are still a concern. The severity of the septal perfusion or anterior wall defect does not provide enough additional information to identify LAD disease. Subsequently, invasive coronary angiography is necessary after a positive dipyridamole study to identify LAD disease as a major progressive factor in patients with LBBB. Although vasodilator SPECT is more specific than exercise, no randomized studies have been performed.

The exact prevalence of septal perfusion defects in patients with LBBB is unknown, but some studies (17), which used vasodilator SPECT imaging, reported decreased false-positive reversible septal rates, from 40% to 4%. As seen in our study, both reversible and fixed defects can occur in patients with LBBB, although reversible defects are more common and neither abnormality is predictive for CCTA (18). The mechanism for the apparent defect has not been completely established but likely results from compromise of diastolic blood flow due to the delayed septal contraction. This compromise is accentuated by increasing heart rate and, thus, is less common when using vasodilator stress with dipyridamole or adenosine than with exercise stress (19). In a recent study, Koepfl et al (20) concluded that the apparent relative septal underperfusion during exercise in LBBB patients with normal coronary arteries is due to a lateral hyperperfusion rather than due to a manifest septal flow decrease. The fact that this phenomenon is inducible and reversible by short-term right ventricular pacing suggests a mainly functional (but not structural) alteration as the underlying mechanism of this finding. Subsequently, the authors suggested that quantification of myocardial blood flow using positron emission tomography may help avoid misinterpretation of septal perfusion defects in patients with LBBB (20).

At the present time, there are limited data in the literature regarding CCTA for patients with LBBB. Ghoshtine et al (21) reported that accuracy, sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value and negative predictive value of 64-slice computed tomography for detecting significant stenosis was 97%, 72%, 99%, 91% and 97%, respectively; positive and negative predictive values were 85% and 92%, respectively, for detection of significant CAD (21). Two more studies reported that CCTA can be used as a noninvasive diagnostic method for patients with LBBB, to evaluate CAD (22,23).

Our study is the first to investigate the role of CCTA in patients with LBBB and abnormal SPECT findings. Based on our data, approximately 70% of patients with LBBB and abnormal SPECT had normal CCTA findings. CAD can be excluded with high confidence and no further testing is needed for such patients based on the very high negative predictive value of CCTA. However, patients with abnormal CCTA will need further work-up, most likely invasive coronary angiography.

Study limitations

Our study had some limitations. First, it was conducted retrospectively and involved a relatively small number of patients. Based on inclusion and exclusion criteria, many patients were excluded, including patients with a history of CAD and patients with a time interval >65 days between SPECT and CCTA. Second, there was no correlation between CCTA and invasive coronary angiography. Nevertheless, abnormal CCTA (>50% stenosis) is considered to be abnormal in real clinical practice. We believe further prospective studies must be performed to investigate this challenging area.

| TABLE 1 |
| Patient characteristics (n=39) |
| Men | 17 (44) |
| Women | 22 (56) |
| Age, years | 63±13 |
| Heart rate, beats/min | 62±24 |
| Body mass index, kg/m² | 32±6 |
| Left ventricular ejection fraction, % | 48±16 |
| Hypertension | 14 (35) |
| Diabetes | 19 (49) |
| Smokers | 10 (25) |
| Hypercholesterolemia | 16 (41) |

Data presented as n (%) or mean ± SD

CONCLUSION

Abnormal SPECT results (either reversible or irreversible defects) are common in patients with LBBB. This does not necessarily indicate the presence of CAD. Approximately 70% of patients with LBBB and abnormal SPECT have normal CCTA. We strongly believe CCTA must be considered the first noninvasive test in patients without history of CAD and LBBB. Normal CCTA excludes CAD with high confidence and further testing for CAD is not warranted. However, patients with abnormal CCTA will need more testing for CAD and, most likely, invasive coronary angiography.
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