Case Report

Discovery of a novel variant of the stylochondrohyoideus muscle
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ABSTRACT

During routine dissection of the suprathyroid region, a duplicate stylohyoid muscle was found in a 65-year-old Caucasian female. This variant muscle arose from the base of the styloid process before proceeding to envelope and insert upon the stylohyoid ligament. While other authors have reported the presence of a stylochondrohyoideus muscle which replaced the stylohyoid ligament or inserted into the stylohyoid ligament distally, this case study presents the first reported finding of a muscle completely enveloping the stylohyoid ligament proximally and then inserting directly into the ligament itself. Due to its association with the stylohyoid ligament, this muscle is being classified as a new variant of the stylochondrohyoideus muscle. This paper also attempts to resolve the nomenclature discrepancies associated with duplicate stylohyoid muscles. © IJAV. 2010; 3: 197–199.
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Introduction

The stylohyoid muscle extends from the base of the styloid process of temporal bone and ends by inserting itself into the body of the hyoid bone, in proximity to the origin of its greater horn, or cornu. In concert with the posterior belly of the digastric muscle, which parallels its course, the suprathyroid muscle functions to fix the hyoid bone, and subsequently the base of the tongue, during swallowing (deglutition) and to elevate and retract the hyoid during mastication, inhalation, exhalation, and speaking [1]. Within the scope of its functions, the stylohyoid muscle has been observed to have a wide range of incarnations within the human body as its orientation, structure, and presence have been shown to vary within sample populations [1,2]. Mori cited three distinct variations in the relationship of the stylohyoid muscle to the intermediate tendon of the digastric muscle and reported their respective prevalence based upon 254 dissections [3]. During its course, the stylohyoid muscle passes medial to the intermediate tendon of the digastric muscle in 70.1% of cases. Diagonally, the stylohyoid muscle passes lateral to the same tendon in 19% of 254 dissections, which was initially reported by German anatomist Samuel Thomas von Sömmering [2,3]. Finally, in 27.9% of cases, the stylohyoid muscle divided into two parts, enveloping the intermediate tendon of the digastric muscle [3,4]. In further accounts of variation, the stylohyoid muscle has been observed to be entirely absent, present in a unilateral capacity, or present in a duplicate or triplicate fashion [1,2,4,5]. Macalister cited work by Hallet and Bohmer in 1702 for recording a complete absence of the stylohyoid muscle [2]. Macalister also credited Hallet with describing the unilateral absence of the stylohyoid muscle occurring approximately “once in two hundred cases” [2]. Moreover, Humphry stated that stylohyoid muscle is “not unusually absent on one or both sides” and has been called “almost supererogatory” [1]. Conversely, the literature presents a plethora of duplicate stylohyoid muscles with disparate arrangements and terminology. If a second stylohyoid muscle is present and maintains the same origin, course, and insertion as the original stylohyoid muscle, it is predominantly called the stylohyoideus profundus (or deep stylohyoid), a term credited to French anatomist Marie Phillibert Constant Sappey [4,5]; however, renowned anatomist Bernhard Siegfried Albinus termed it the “stylohyoideus alter”, or second stylohyoid muscle [6], while Italian anatomist Giovanni Domenico Santorini called it the “stylohyoideus novus”, or new stylohyoid muscle [4]. The presence of a stylohyoideus profundus muscle was cited by Bartolomeo Eustachius, Govard Bidloo, Giovanni Domenico Santorini in 1724, Caspar Wistar in 1823, Albinus, Lawson Tait, and Macalister in his personal dissections [2,7]. Finally, Wenzel Leopold Gruber, a Russian anatomist, documented a triplicate stylohyoid muscle in 1848 [1,2].
Scottish anatomist James Douglas is credited with the eponym associated with the stylochondrohyoideus muscle, yet another variation of a duplicate stylohyoid muscle [4]. Schafer et al. described the stylochondrohyoideus muscle as a “muscular slip…passing from the styloid process to the lesser cornu of the hyoid bone, accompanying or replacing the stylo-hyoid ligament” [8]. This muscle has been shown to accompany the stylohyoid ligament [9], but other documentations of this muscle by Santorini and French anatomist Hyacinthe Gavard fail to discuss its relationship to the stylohyoid ligament [2]. Replacement of the stylohyoid ligament by an “elegant small muscle” was described by British anatomist James Drake, German anatomist Josias Weitbrecht, French anatomist Philippe Frederic Blandin, and Macalister [2]. In 1950, Wang reported bilateral “accessory stylohyoidei” muscles in one cadaver specimen which replaced the stylohyoid ligament on the right side, but accompanied the stylohyoid ligament on the left side [10]. Due to their insertion into the lesser horns of the hyoid bone and association with the stylohyoid ligaments, these muscles would be called stylochondrohyoidei muscles in the current nomenclature. More recently, Joshi et al. confirmed the replacement of the ligament by the stylochondrohyoideus muscle [11]. This study curiously described this bilateral muscle as “not a variant of the stylohyoid muscle”, but it may be more accurate to state this muscle is not a variant of the stylohyoid profundus [11]. The literature creates some confusion when authors use the terms stylochondrohyoideus, stylohyoideus profundus, and accessory stylohyoideus synonymously [4,5,10].

**Case Report**

During routine dissection of the suprahyoid muscles of a 65-year-old Caucasian female in an anatomy laboratory at the University of Louisville’s School of Medicine, a variant muscle associated with the stylohyoid ligament was observed on the left side. This muscle arose from the lateral aspect of the base of the styloid process of the temporal bone and deep to the origins of the stylohyoid and styloglossus muscles. It completely enveloped the proximal aspect of the stylohyoid ligament and inserted into this ligament distally. The stylohyoid ligament inserted onto the lesser horn of the hyoid bone. The total length of this muscle-ligament complex was 7.5 cm. The muscle belly itself was 3.7 cm in length with its circumference being 1.2 cm at its widest point (Figure 1).

**Discussion**

Numerous studies have identified duplicate stylohyoid muscles, and their content highlights the controversy that exists over the nomenclature of these muscles. The variant muscle found in this study was associated with the stylohyoid ligament, so it is not the stylohyoideus profundus [5]. Moreover, this muscle displayed characteristics of the stylochondrohyoideus muscle, especially because the dissected muscle-ligament complex has the same origin and insertion as the stylochondrohyoideus [8]. However, in this study, the variant muscle enveloped and inserted into the stylohyoid ligament itself, contradicting the description of the stylochondrohyoideus muscle as “accompanying or replacing the stylo-hyoid ligament” [8]. In one Chinese cadaver, Wang reported stylochondrohyoidei muscles both accompanying and replacing the stylohyoid ligament, but he identified these muscles as “accessory stylohyoidei” [10]. Clearly, the nomenclature discrepancies concerning duplicate stylohyoid muscles make of the reported cadaveric findings tedious. However, the authors feel they have identified a new variant of the stylochondrohyoideus muscle, which completely envelopes the stylohyoid ligament proximally and then inserts directly into the stylohyoid ligament itself.

Variation of the suprahyoid muscles are important to acknowledge, study, and understand because of their relevance in diagnostic studies and clinical landmarks [12]. In particular, variant suprahyoid muscles may be...
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confused for metastatic masses during radiologic imaging of the neck and may create difficulties in establishing surgical landmarks during functional neck dissections to investigate metastatic cervical lymph nodes [13]. Furthermore, variant suprathyroid muscles may induce or contribute to idiopathic facial, neck, and ear pain which overlap with other orofacial problems, such as myofascial and neuralgiform pain [14]. Another study suggests variant suprathyroid muscles may be vulnerable to injury during trauma, such as acute stretch during whiplash injuries, [15]. Therefore, knowledge of variations in the suprhyoid region is crucial for clinicians.
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