
EDITORIAL

Geologic Research and Assessments for Carbon Sequestration by the U.S. Geological Survey

Sebastian Hart¹, Ananya Shukla², George Millner¹

Hart S, Shukla A, Millner G. Geologic research and assessments for carbon sequestration by the U.S. geological survey.. *J Environ Geol* 2022;6(5):35-41.

ABSTRACT

The US Geological Survey (USGS) was given permission by the US Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007 to conduct a national assessment of geologic storage resources for anthropogenic Carbon Dioxide (CO₂) and to assess the national technically recoverable hydrocarbon resources as a result of CO₂ injection and

storage through CO₂-Enhanced Oil Recovery (CO₂-EOR). The USGS is also conducting research in a number of other areas related to carbon sequestration, such as the economics of CO₂ storage and CO₂-enhanced oil recovery, as well as induced seismicity related to CO₂ geologic storage. These other areas include the study of natural CO₂ and helium reservoirs as analogues for anthropogenic CO₂ storage.

Key Words: *Energy Independence and Security Act (EISA), U.S. Geological Survey (USGS), Carbon Sequestration - Geologic Research and Evaluations (CS-GRA), CO₂-Enhanced Oil Recovery (CO₂-EOR).*

INTRODUCTION

The U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) has a long history of evaluating groundwater, surface water, geologically based energy, and mineral resources on a national and international scale. The USGS was given permission to perform a nationwide study of geologic storage resources for anthropogenic carbon dioxide by the U.S. Energy Independence and Security Act (EISA) in 2007. (CO₂) [1]. The USGS conducted a nationwide storage evaluation for CO₂ between 2008 and 2013 and created an assessment methodology [2,3]. According to the assessment's findings, the United States has the geological capability to store 3,000 gigatons of CO₂ [4-6]. Additionally, the USGS was tasked by the EISA to assess the national technically recoverable hydrocarbon resources as a result of CO₂ storage and injection (CO₂-EOR). The USGS was instructed to work with the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) and State geological surveys to analyse the availability of recoverable natural helium (He) and associated CO₂ found in natural gas reservoirs in the United States under the Helium Stewardship Act of 2013 (HSA) [7]. In order to create the necessary datasets for evaluating natural He and CO₂ resources, the USGS intends to collaborate with these organisations. The USGS Carbon Sequestration - Geologic Research and Evaluations (CS-GRA) project will conduct research to develop the framework required to enhance future assessments of the Nation's

geologic CO₂ storage capacity as mandated by EISA. The USGS will build on previous studies to examine natural CO₂ reservoirs in order to comprehend the effects of long-term anthropogenic CO₂ storage, study the economics of CO₂ storage, assess the storage potential of CO₂ in unconventional reservoirs (primarily coal), and assess the potential for induced seismicity related to geologic storage of CO₂. In the domain of geologic carbon sequestration, the USGS will continue its engagement with State, Federal, and international entities. The USGS study will support these organisations' continued work.

OBJECTIVES

During the next four years, the USGS will address the following six research and assessment topics. An outreach activity is also planned. The objectives of each topic are discussed below.

Methodology development and evaluation of linked CO₂ storage potential and national CO₂-enhanced oil recovery

The USGS intends to create a methodology for assessment and carry out a nationwide evaluation of recoverable hydrocarbons related to CO₂ injection. A thorough database of reservoir technical and geologic information has been created, and it may be used to screen reservoirs to determine if miscible or immiscible CO₂-EOR techniques are applicable.

¹Department of Physics, Editorial Office. *Journal of Environmental Geology*, Southampton,UK; ²Department of Biotechnology, Graphic Era Deemed to be University,Deharadune, India

Correspondence: Sebastian Hart, Department of Physics, Editorial Office. *Journal of Environmental Geology*, Southampton,UK

Received: 22-August-2022, Manuscript No. puljeg-22-5650; Editor assigned: 23-August-2022, PreQC No. puljeg-22-5650 (PQ); Reviewed: 16-October-2022, QC No. puljeg-22-5650 (Q); Revised: 28-October-2022, Manuscript No. puljeg-22-5650 (R); Published: 30-October-2022, DOI: 10.37532/puljeg.22.6(5).35-41



This open-access article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (CC BY-NC) (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits reuse, distribution and reproduction of the article, provided that the original work is properly cited and the reuse is restricted to noncommercial purposes. For commercial reuse, contact reprints@pulsus.com

The goal of the CO₂-EOR research effort is to create a probabilistic assessment methodology based on geology and reservoir engineering that can be used to calculate the potential volumes of technically recoverable oil in the United States' onshore and state waters oil fields using CO₂-EOR and associated CO₂ sequestration.

USGS intends to use the assessment approach to carry out a nationwide assessment of recoverable oil after it has received a rigorous scientific review by specialists from industry using CO₂, academia, and government.

Geological studies of CO₂ storage capacity of selected basins' reservoirs and seals

In order to more clearly identify the distribution of the geologic storage resources for CO₂, this research project aims to reevaluate a number of national regions and storage assessment units (SAUs) that were established during the 2013 national storage assessment [4,5,6]. The distinctive geopressure and geothermal gradients in a number of sedimentary basins in the middle of the United States are being studied. In the subsurface, pressure and temperature change with depth depending on the location of the basin, the tectonic history, and the depositional history. Regional models must be developed to assist understand the geologic controls on over- and under-pressure development in basins since reservoir pressure directly affects CO₂ storage potential. To better understand the characteristics of ground water and the subsurface geochemical conditions in some SAUs, which are crucial in the evaluation of CO₂ storage project viability and probable environmental effects.

About 25% of the SAUs identified by the USGS in the 2013 storage assessment study [4,5,6] are carbonate reservoirs, which are distributed across the country in different sizes and lithologic compositions. These carbonate reservoirs are frequently regarded as excellent options for CO₂ storage because of their location, depth below the surface, high porosity and permeability, salinity, and seal integrity. However, because to variations in depositional environments, sedimentation, diagenetic processes, and subsequent rock modifications, differences in carbonate reservoirs can be large, and the characteristics of these rocks can vary greatly, even within a single formation. It is necessary to gain a deeper comprehension of these carbonate reservoirs and how they might be used to store CO₂.

Natural resources for CO₂ and Helium (He) as well as analogues for storing anthropogenic CO₂

To address the EISA and HSA legislation, the primary objectives of this activity are: to evaluate the geologic risks of long-term geologic storage of anthropogenic CO₂, and to work with BLM and State geological surveys to assess the He and CO₂ resources of the nation [1,7]. To evaluate the potential geologic risks associated with CO₂ storage, the project plans to study natural gas reservoirs that contain high amounts (greater than 10 percent) of CO₂. Samples of gas and produced water from wells producing gas from high- CO₂ reservoirs will help to define the origin, migration history, and ultimate fate of natural CO₂ and associated He. The geochemical impacts of atmospheric CO₂ on reservoir fluids and the rate of CO₂ dissolution into the reservoir formation waters will be the main topics of these field and laboratory research. By using geochemical and isotopic investigations of the gas and reservoir rocks, this research seeks to identify the source of CO₂ that is present in natural gas reservoirs. The amount and rate of CO₂-enhanced diagenesis (mineralization,

recrystallization, dissolution, and bleaching) that has taken place in the reservoir rocks will be assessed using field and rock core investigations. Using the findings of field and laboratory research, potential analogues for anthropogenic CO₂ storage reservoirs will be created. The second objective is to work with BLM and State geological surveys to evaluate the distribution of discovered natural He and CO₂ resources in the United States. The BLM, State surveys, and USGS have geochemical databases that, if merged, would become the most comprehensive publicly available database to provide natural gas composition and isotopic information. This comprehensive gas geochemistry database would be maintained by USGS as part of the Energy Resources Program Energy Geochemistry Database Laboratory Information Management System, and could be used to evaluate the distribution of discovered natural He and CO₂ resources in the United States.

Economics of CO₂ storage and enhanced oil recovery

The USGS intends to use economic models of representative storage projects that combine geologic and engineering data to examine the economic implications of the USGS national assessment results for CO₂ storage resources [4,5,6]. Costs for a variety of tasks, such as site assessment, CO₂ injection, storage management, and other economic factors that can affect a particular CO₂ storage project's profitability, will also be calculated. Collecting the data necessary to use economic models to calculate the costs and economic repercussions of risks related to CO₂ storage will be one of the activities. In addition, information will be gathered to use published and created type curves to forecast the performance of injection and production wells for an economic analysis of CO₂-EOR and related carbon storage. The CO₂-EOR economic model will be used to evaluate the potential for additional economically extractable oil for assessed fields.

Storage of CO₂ in unconventional geologic reservoirs

With regard to the use of coal beds and shale as possible reservoirs for the long-term storage of CO₂, this project aims to gather pertinent data summarising the current state of knowledge. National maps displaying the location and other pertinent information (such as thickness) for potentially CO₂ storage-ready deep (>300 m) coal beds and organic-rich shale will be among the initial offerings. Coal beds deeper than 900 m may be available for super-critical CO₂ injection, while coal beds between 300 and 900 m may be viable for increased gas production utilising injected CO₂. The USGS intends to create a preliminary approach to assess the CO₂ storage capability in coal beds, as mandated by the EISA [1]. Any research initiatives to quantify the potential effects of CO₂ injection into organic-rich intervals will be coordinated with relevant State and Federal agencies as well as international organizations. The USGS will also compile research and demonstration-project reports related to CO₂ storage in basaltic rocks

Outreach

The USGS CS-GRA project outreach task's goals are to collaborate with other research groups at the USGS and outside organisations to address issues related to geologic carbon sequestration and to effectively communicate the results of our research with USGS and other organisations. It is necessary to create channels of communication to share information with Congressional, State, and worldwide groups working in the field of geologic carbon

sequestration, as well as State and Federal authorities, the general public, and the greater scientific community.

SUMMARY

The USGS CSGRA project is to assess the national technically recoverable hydrocarbon resources as a result of CO₂ injection and storage through CO₂-EOR after the national assessment of geologic storage resources for anthropogenic CO₂. The project is also examining a number of other carbon sequestration-related topics, such as the analysis of natural CO₂ and helium reservoirs as analogues for anthropogenic CO₂ storage, the economics of CO₂ storage and CO₂-EOR, and induced seismicity related to CO₂ geologic storage.

The results of this research will be covered in a number of reports. The USGS's study on carbon storage will contribute to the development of the knowledge base required to enhance future evaluations of the nation's geologic storage capacity for CO₂ produced by humans. The USGS's continuing research efforts will be complemented by new and ongoing exchanges and collaborations with industry, international organisations, state and federal agencies, and businesses that are engaged in or conducting research on geologic carbon sequestration.

REFERENCES

1. Warwick PD, Verma MK, Freeman PA, et al. US geological survey carbon sequestration-geologic research and assessments. *Energy Procedia*.2014;63:5305-9.
2. Brennan ST, Burruss RC, Merrill MD, et al. A probabilistic assessment methodology for the evaluation of geologic carbon dioxide storage. *US Geological Survey Open-File Report*. 2010;1127(2010):31.
3. Brennan ST, Merrill MD, Buursink ML, et al. National assessment of geologic carbon dioxide storage resources: methodology implementation. Blondes MS, editor. *US Department of the Interior, US Geological Survey*; 2013.
4. Warwick PD, Blondes MS, Brennan ST, et al. *US Geological survey geologic carbon dioxide storage resource assessment of the United States*. *Energy Procedia*. 2013;37:5275-9.
5. Warwick PD, Blondes MS, Brennan ST, et al. *US Geological survey geologic carbon dioxide storage resource assessment of the United States*. *Energy Procedia*. 2013;37:5275-9.
6. Merrill M, Hunt A. *Farnham Dome and Grassy Trails Fields, Utah*. 2007.
7. Kaven JO, Hickman SH, McGarr AF, et al. Seismic monitoring at the Decatur, IL, CO₂ sequestration demonstration site. *Energy Procedia*. 2014;63:4264-72.