Human embryonic stem cell-derived mesenchymal stem cells have potential of high differentiation into hepatocyte-like cells through increased level of hepatocyte growth factor

Ji-Seon Lee¹, Dajeong Yoon¹, Changhwan Yeo¹, Dogeon Yoon¹, Wook Chun^{2*}

Lee JS, Yoon D, Yeo C, et al. Human embryonic stem cell-derived mesenchymal stem cells have potential of high differentiation into hepatocyte-like cells through increased level of hepatocyte growth factor. J Histol Histopathol Res.2021;5(4):01-08.

Background: As the aging society progresses, more patients are waiting for liver transplantation. However, the function of existing bio artificial liver is insufficient. Recently, research has been focused on stem cells to regenerate damaged liver. Most of all, the obstacle to the commercialization of widely used human Adipose Tissue-derived Stem Cells (hASCs) therapy is the limited supply of cells with consistent quality although most cell source of current stem cell therapy is hASCs. In this study, we attempt to differentiate human Embryonic Stem Cell-derived Mesenchymal Stem Cells (hESC-MSCs) into hepatocyte-like cells and tested whether hESC-MSCs have a potential of liver regeneration as a source of cell therapy, considering that they have characteristics of high differentiation rates, unlimited proliferation possibility from a single colony, and homogenicity.

ABBREVIATIONS

hBM-MSCs: Human Bone Marrow-derived Mesenchymal Stem Cells; hASCs: Human Adipose Tissue-derived Stem Cells; hESC-MSCs: Human Embryonic Stem Cell-derived Mesenchymal Stem Cells; HGF: Hepatocyte Growth Factor; ALB: Albumin; TDO2: Tryptophan 2,3-Dioxygenase; CYP2E1: Cytochrome P450 Family 2 Subfamily E Member 1; HNF40: Hepatocyte Nuclear Factor 4 Alpha; PAS staining: Periodic Acid-Schiff staining

INTRODUCTION

As human lifespan increases, the number of patients with liver failure has been increasing. But until now, there is no cure except liver transplantation. Recently, human Mesenchymal Stem Cells (hMSCs) therapy has been extensively studied to treat liver damage and help liver regeneration. hMSCs have differentiation potentials into ectoderm lineage cells (ex. neural cell) and endoderm lineage cell (ex. hepatocytes) as well as mesoderm lineage cells (ex. adipocytes, osteocytes, chondrocytes) [1,2]. Besides, hMSCs are characterized by easy isolation and expansion, safety, homing effect, immunomodulatory function, absence of ethical issue [2,3]. Therefore, hMSCs were widely used of source of cell therapy in the field of regenerative medicine [2,4,5]. However, hMSCs have limited cell numbers and replicative lifespan, and different differentiation potential dependent on individual [3,6].

In a while, hMSCs were manipulated by specific genes to maximize their therapeutic efficacy. Especially, it was known that MSCs overexpressing Hepatocyte Growth Factor (HGF) were more effective to treat myocardial infarction [7], bladder fibrosis by bladder outlet obstruction [8] and ischemia reperfusion-induced acute lung injury [9], compared to non- treated MSCs.

Methods: hESC-MSCs and hASCs were cultured under condition of hepatogenic differentiation *in vitro*. And then various experiments including quantitative real-time PCR, immunoblotting, ELISA, immunofluorescence, Periodic acid-Schiff staining and flow cytometry analysis were performed to evaluate expression level of HGF and the extent of differentiation into hepatocyte-like cells.

Results: We found that hepatocyte growth factor (HGF), important for maintaining hepatocyte activity, is highly expressed in hESC-MSCs. Besides, it was confirmed that the expression of ALB, TDO2, and CYP2E1, which are the hepatocyte-specific genes when hepatogenic differentiation was performed under conditions even without HGF in hESC-MSCs, was higher than that when hASCs was differentiated into hepatocytes. Likewise, we concluded that hESC-MSCs could be well-differentiated into hepatocyte-like cells compared to hASCs through staining data.

Key Words: Human embryonic stem; Hepatocyte growth factor; Hepatocyte; Differentiation; Liver failure; Stem cell therapy

Actually, HGF, initially identified as a potent mitogen for hepatocytes, plays an essential role as a potent anti-fibrotic factor that inhibit tissue fibrosis in various organs, including liver, lung and kidney [10-13]. Besides, there is also the following report that HGF/c-Met signaling pathway is critically involved in MSC-induced liver repair.

Recently, research on human Embryonic Stem Cell-derived Mesenchymal Stem Cells (hESC-MSCs) has been actively conducted to compensate for the shortcoming of hMSCs as a cell source of stem cell therapy [14,15]. They express typical MSC surface markers such as CD29, CD44, and CD90 and can differentiate into mesenchymal cells including adipocytes,

osteocytes, and chondrocytes [16,17]. These cells have also been proven to be safe for therapeutic application and show therapeutic benefits in regenerative medicine [14,17,18]. In other words, hESC-MSCs not only have all the advantages of hMSCs but also can produce unlimited amounts of early passage hMSCs with the consistent quality [16]. In this study, we aimed to determine how much hES-MSCs express HGF and investigate whether hESC-MSCs have the differentiation potential into hepatocyte-like cells.

Aim of the study

To identify the clinical and histological patterns and correlates of the small fiber neuropathy in lung sarcoidosis and lung tuberculosis patients.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell maintenance and hepatogenic differentiation in vitro

hESC-MSCs, kindly provided by Eun Ju Lee (Seoul National University Hospital, Republic of Korea), were cultured in endothelial cell basal medium MV2 (C-22221, Promocell, Heidelberg, Germany). hASCs, provided by

¹Hangang Sacred Heart Hospital, Seoul, Republic of Korea, South Korea;²Department of Surgery, Hallym University, Seoul, Republic of Korea, South Korea

Correspondence: Wook Chun, Department of Surgery, Hallym University, Seoul, Republic of Korea, South Korea, Email: chun0414@hallym.ac.kr

Received: 01 November, 2021, Accepted: 15 November, 2021, Published: 22 November, 2021

This open-access article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (CC BY-NC) (http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits reuse, distribution and reproduction of the article, provided that the original work is properly cited and the reuse is restricted to noncommercial purposes. For commercial reuse, contact reprints@pulsus.com Anterogen Co., Ltd. (Seoul, South Korea), and hBM-MSCs (PT-2501; Lonza, Basel, Switzerland, http://www.lonza.com) were cultured in low-glucose Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM; cat. no.: SH30021.01; Hyclone, Logan, UT, USA) containing 0.1% gentamicin and 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS). hESC-MSCs (1.3 × 106 cells) and hASCs (1 × 106 cells) were seeded on fibronectin (F1141- 2MG; Sigma)-coated 100-mm tissue culture plates. The next day, the cells were washed with HBSS twice, and the medium was changed to low-glucose DMEM (in case of hASCs) or endothelial cell basal medium MV2 (in case of hESC-MSCs) containing 0.1% gentamicin, 20 ng/mL epidermal growth factor (EGF; CYT-217; ProSpec, East Brunswick, NJ, USA), and 10 ng/mL bFGF (CYT-218; ProSpec) for 2 days. The medium was then changed to low- glucose DMEM or endothelial cell basal medium MV2 containing 0.1% gentamicin, 1% FBS, 20 ng/ mL oncostatin M (OSM; CYT-231; ProSpec), w/ or w/o 20 ng/mL HGF (CYT-244; ProSpec), and 5 × 10-3 M nicotinamide for 7 days. The medium was then changed to low- glucose DMEM containing 0.1% gentamicin, 1 × Insulin Transferrin Selenium (ITS) supplement (100 ×), 20 ng/mL OSM (CYT-231; ProSpec), w/ or w/o 20 ng/mL HGF (CYT-244; ProSpec), 10 ng/ mL bFGF, 1 × 10-6 M dexamethasone, 5 × 10-3 M nicotinamide, and 0.1% DMSO for an additional 14 days. The medium was replaced every 2-3 days.

RNA isolation and quantitative real-time Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) analysis

Total RNA was isolated using Easy-Blue reagent (Intron Biotechnology, South Korea) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Gene-specific human primers were as follows: HGF (forward: 5'-AAGGTGACTCTGAATGAGTC-3', reverse: 5'- GGCACATCCACGACCAGGAACAATG-3'), ALB (forward: 5'- GTCACCAAATGCTGCACAGA-3', reverse: 5'-ACGAGCTCAACAAGTGCAGT-3'),

TDO2 (forward: 5'-GTGTGCATGGTGCACAGAAT-3', reverse: 5'-GGGTTCATCTTCGGTATCCA-3'), CYP2E1 5'-TTCCTCCTGCTGGTGTCCAT-3', (forward: reverse: 5'-CCCACGTACAGCGTGAACA-3') and β-actin (forward: 5'-GTCCTCTCCCAAGTCCACAC-3', 5'reverse: GGGAGACCAAAAGCCTTCAT-3').

All amplifications were conducted in a premixture (20 μ L) containing of 5 μ M gene-specific primers 4 μ L, 2× SYBR 10 μ L, and 6 μ L template, under the following conditions: denaturation at 95°C for 5 min; 40 cycles of 95°C for 10 s, 59°C for 34 s; and a final extension at 72°C for 5 min. The reactions were carried out using a Roche LC96 instrument (Roche Diagnostics, Penzberg, Germany). For validation of real-time PCR, PCR was conducted under the following conditions: denaturation at 95°C for 5 min; cycles (34 for ALB, 34 for TDO-2, 31 for CYP2E1, and 18 for β-actin) of 95°C for 30 s, 59°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 30 s; and a final extension at 72°C for 5 min using the same cDNA and primers. PCR products were separated on 2% agarose gels and visualized by ethidium bromide staining.

Immuno-blotting

Cells were washed twice with ice-cold Phosphate-Buffered Saline (PBS), lysed with an appropriate amount of tissue lysis buffer (RIPA buffer containing protease and phosphatase inhibitor cocktail), incubated on ice for 30 min, and centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 10 min at 4°C. Next, 30 μ g of total protein was loaded and separated by sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. Proteins were transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride membranes, blocked for 1 h with 5% nonfat dry milk in Tris-buffered saline (TBS) with 0.05%

Tween-20 (TBS-T), and incubated with the appropriate primary antibodies in TBS containing 1% bovine serum albumin overnight at 4°C. Membranes were washed several times with TBS-T and incubated with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies (0.1 µg/mL; Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, West Grove, PA, USA). Immunoreactivity was detected using an enhanced chemiluminescence detection system (WSE 6100 LuminoGraph I; ATTO, Tokyo, Japan).

Human Hepatocyte Growth Factor (HGF) ELISA

To evaluate levels of HGF secretion, 200 μL of conditioned media were obtained from each group. HGF ELISA was conducted using RayBio Human HGF ELISA kit (RayBiotech, ELH-HGF) according to manufacturer's instructions. HGF standards and undiluted supernatant from each group were added to the assay microplate and were allowed to incubate for 2.5

hours at room temperature. After washing, biotinylated anti-human HGF antibody and HRP-conjugated streptavidin were then applied for an hour and 45 minutes. Absorbance at 450 nm was read using an Epoch microplate spectrophotometer (Bio Tek Instruments).

Immunofluorescence staining

Cells grown or differentiated on round glass coverslips in 24-well plates were fixed and permeabilized with 100% cold methanol for 10 min. Fixed cells were incubated for 1 h in PBS containing 3% bovine serum albumin for blocking, followed by 2 h of incubation with specific primary antibodies. Cells were washed three times with TBS-T, then incubated with Cy2-conjugated goat anti-rabbit/mouse IgGs (Jackson Immunoresearch Laboratories) or Alexa 594-conjugated goat anti-rabbit/mouse IgGs (Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR, USA) as required according to the primary antibody. Cellular DNA was counterstained with 4',6- diamidino-2-phenylindole (0.2 µg/mL in PBS).

Periodic Acid-Schiff (PAS) staining

For PAS staining (1.01646.0001; Merck Millipore, Germany), hASCs, hESC-MSCs and their individual hepatogenic differentiated cells attached to coverslips were washed twice with PBS, fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 10 min, and washed with water. The cells were incubated with 0.5% periodic acid solution for 5 min. After rinsing with tap water, the cells were incubated with Schiff's solution for 15 min and counterstained with hematoxylin solution for 2 min. The stained cells were observed and photographed under a microscope.

Flow cytometry analysis

Cells (5 × 10⁵) were washed with PBS two times and stained with the following secondary antibodies conjugated with fluorophores: PE-CD105 (560839, BD Pharmigen), PE-CD135 (558996, BD Pharmigen) and PE-ASGRP-1 (Asialoglycoprotein receptor-1, 563655, BD Pharmigen) for 1 h. Information on the antibody for the negative control is PE-IgG (555749, BD Pharmigen). The cells were washed with PBS two times and measured by flow cytometry on a FACS Calibur (BD Biosciences). The acquired data were analyzed using BD FACS Calibur software.

Statistics

Graphical data were presented as means ± Standard Errors of the Means (SEMs). Statistical significance among the three groups and between groups was determined using one-way or two-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) followed by Bonferroni post-tests. Differences with p values of less than 0.05 were considered significant.

RESULTS

High expression of HGF in hESCs

First of all, we performed real-time PCR and analyzed the expression patterns of HGF gene among mesenchymal stem cells derived from different tissues (hASCs, hBM-MSCs, hESC-MSCs) since expression level of HGF is very crucial for hepatogenic differentiation. As a result, expression of HGF was increased approximately 3.4-fold in hASCs, 7.6-fold in hESC-MSCs, compared to hBM-MSCs (Figure 1A). Besides, western blot and ELISA analysis confirmed that the protein expression level of HGF was similar to mRNA pattern (Figures 1B and 1C).

Hepatogenic differentiation of hESC-MSCs

Based on our previous study, we tried to differentiate hESC-MSCs into hepatocyte with or without HGF during hepatogenic differentiation. To test the potential of hESC-MSCs to differentiate into hepatocytes, we performed real-time PCR and analyzed the expression patterns of hepatocyte-specific genes, such as albumin (ALB), tryptophan 2,3-dioxygenase (TDO2) and Cytochrome P450 Family 2 Subfamily E Member 1 (CYP2E1) during the hepatogenic differentiation of hASCs and hESC-MSCs [19]. Hepatocyte-specific genes to be identified have the following characteristics: ALB plays a critical role of formation of liver organ in early embryo [20]. TDO2 plays a central role in tryptophan metabolism, a major function of hepatocytes, and its expression is normally restricted to the liver [21]. CYP2E1, one of monooxygenases, catalyze many reactions involved in drug metabolism and synthesis of cholesterol, steroids and other lipids [22]. As shown in Figure 2A, expression of ALB was increased approximately 20-fold in hASCs-derived hepatogenic differentiated cells and 30~40-fold in hESC-MSCs-derived

Human embryonic stem cell-derived mesenchymal stem cells have potential of high differentiation into hepatocyte-like cells through increased level of hepatocyte growth factor

hepatogenic differentiated cells with or without HGF, compared to nontreated hASCs. And the expression of TDO2 was increased approximately 40fold in hASCs-derived hepatogenic differentiated cells and 130^{-140-fold} in hESC-MSCs- derived hepatogenic differentiated cells with or without HGF, compared to non-treated hASCs. Similarly, the expression of CYP2E1 was increased approximately 4-fold in hASCs- derived hepatogenic differentiated cells and 10-fold in hESC-MSCs-derived hepatogenic differentiated cells and 10-fold in hESC-MSCs-derived hepatogenic differentiated cells with or without HGF, compared to non-treated hASCs. Moreover, we confirmed that PCR bands specific for ALB, TDO-2 and CYP2E1 were denser in samples from hepatogenic-differentiated hESC-MSCs than in samples from hepatogenic-differentiated hASCs (Figure 2B). Therefore, we concluded that even without HGF, hESC- MSCs can differentiate into hepatocyte-like cells more efficiently than hASCs.

Furthermore, we performed flow cytometry to determine the expression of cell surface markers of hepatogenic-differentiated hESC-MSC cells (Figure 3). The results showed that hESC-MSCs-derived hepatogenic differentiated cells (V1-R 9.1% of the total cells in case of hepatogenic differentiation without HGF, V1-R 10.4% of the total cells in case of hepatogenic differentiation with HGF) express less mesenchymal stem cells-specific cell surface markers such as CD105, compared to hESC-MSCs (V1-R 51.2% of the total cells). In contrast, their hepatogenic differentiated cells highly expressed cell surface markers expressed in mainly hepatocyte, such as CD135 (Flt-2) cells (V1-R 92.6% of the total cells in case of hepatogenic differentiation with HGF) and ASGRP-1 (V1-R 93.7% of the total cells in case of hepatogenic differentiation with HGF) and ASGRP-1 (V1-R 94.3% of the total cells in case of

hepatogenic differentiation with HGF), compared to hESC-MSCs (V1-R 54.6% of the total cells in CD135, V1-R 54.7% of the total cells in ASGRP1) [23-25].

HNF4 α expression of hepatocyte-like cells differentiated from hES-MSCs

Next, we confirmed the expression level of HNF4 α hepatocyte nuclear factor 4 alpha α , a nuclear transcription factor which regulates the expression of several hepatic genes. In that, it controls the expression of hepatic genes during the transition of endodermal cells to hepatic progenitor cells, facilitating the recruitment of RNA pol II to the promoters of target genes [26]. Therefore, considering that HNF4 α was highly expressed in their hepatogenic- differentiated cells, HNF4 α immunofluorescent staining was performed to test whether hASCs or hESC-MSCs were well-differentiated cells (hASCs, hESC-MSCs) were negative for HNF4 α by immunofluorescence staining, while their hepatogenic-differentiated cells were positively stained for HNF4 α .

Characteristics of hepatocyte-like cells differentiated from hES-MSCs

Likewise, undifferentiated cells (hASCs, hESC-MSCs) were negative for ALB by immunofluorescence staining, while their hepatogenic-differentiated cells were positively stained for ALB (Figure 5A). Moreover, their hepatogenic-differentiated cells, but not undifferentiated cells, exhibited strong PAS staining, indicating the presence of polysaccharides such as glycogen (Figure 5B). These data suggested that hESC-MSCs could be differentiated into functional hepatocyte-like cells as well as hASCs.





Figure 2) Hepatogenic differentiation of hESC-MSCs in vitro Human ASCs and ESC-MSCs were differentiated under hepatogenic differentiation conditions for 25 days. (A) ALB, TDO-2 and CYP2E1 mRNA levels were determined by real-time PCR after culture under hepatogenic differentiation conditions compared with those in untreated cells. Lactin was used as an internal control (n=3, one-way ANOVA; **p<0.01, ***p<0.001). (B) For validation of real-time PCR, PCR was conducted and agarose gel images were shown. Hepatogenic differentiation of hESC-MSCs in vitro Human ASCs and ESC-MSCs were differentiated under hepatogenic differentiation conditions for 25 days. (A) ALB, TDO-2 and CYP2E1 mRNA levels were determined by real-time PCR after culture under hepatogenic differentiation conditions for 25 days. (A) ALB, TDO-2 and CYP2E1 mRNA levels were determined by real-time PCR after culture under hepatogenic differentiation conditions compared with those in untreated cells. Bactin was used as an internal control (n=3, one-way ANOVA; **p<0.01, ***p<0.01, ***p<0.001). (B) For validation of real-time PCR after culture under hepatogenic differentiation conditions compared with those in untreated cells. Bactin was used as an internal control (n=3, one-way ANOVA; **p<0.01, ***p<0.001). (B) For validation of real-time PCR, PCR was conducted and agarose gel images were shown.



Figure 3) Flow cytometry analysis of hESC-MSC-driven hepatogenic differentiated cells in vitro Human ESC-MSCs were differentiated under hepatogenic differentiation conditions for 21 days. hESC-MSCs and their hepatogenic differentiated cells were trypsinized, stained with specific markers (CD105, CD135 and ASGRP-1) and measured by flow cytometry.

Human embryonic stem cell-derived mesenchymal stem cells have potential of high differentiation into hepatocyte-like cells through increased level of hepatocyte growth factor





and their individual hepatogenic differentiated cells.

DISCUSSION

Liver transplantation is the ultimate treatment for end-stage liver failure and severe acute liver failure [5,27]. However, there were many problems including shortage of liver allografts, the risk of rejection, and the high cost of the transplantation procedure and postoperative care. Recently, the following possibilities were suggested that stem-cell-based therapy might be a viable alternative therapy in patients suffered from liver diseases, considering that a number of different types of stem cells can differentiate into hepatocytes [28-30]. Besides, there is known that hMSCs themselves are effective in treating liver diseases, enhancing repopulation of endogenous hepatocytes [5,31-33]. Actually, primary hepatocyte transplantation has rarely produced therapeutic effects because mature hepatocytes cannot be effectively expanded in vitro, and the availability of hepatocytes is often limited by shortages of donor organs [34,35]. Therefore, mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) are currently considered as the most ideal cell sources for treatment of liver diseases since MSCs derived from various tissue origins appear to be therapeutically effective in the rat liver injury model, which may ultimately provide a therapeutic alternative to liver transplantation in human patients [32,33,36]. Among origin tissues of MSCs, human adipose-derived stem cells (hASCs) were found to have the best capacity to differentiate into hepatocyte-like cells and the best therapeutic effect in treating liver diseases [5]. Nevertheless, the commercialization of hASCs still has some problems including limited cell numbers and replicative lifespan, and different differentiation potential dependent on individual [37-39]. Recently developed hESC-MSCs have characteristics to overcome disadvantages of MSCs as well as several advantages of MSCs (safety for teratoma formation, unaltered karyotype, and multi-differentiation potential) [16,17]. In other words, they can generate sufficient amounts of early passage MSCs with the consistent quality. Moreover, previous studies also have shown that they have a therapeutic effect in various animal disease models and even could outperform primary MSCs [40,41]. Accordingly, we would like to test how much hES-MSCs express HGF and investigate whether hESC-MSCs have the differentiation potential into hepatocyte-like cells [14,16,17,39]. Interestingly, we achieved that expression level of HGF, a crucial factor for hepatogenic differentiation, was noticeably increased in hESC-MSCs, compared to hBM-MSCs and hASCs. Considering that HGFoverexpressing hMSCs are effective for tissue regeneration, it is crucial to express endogenously high HGF [8,9]. And then, we investigated whether hESC-MSCs have better hepatogenic differentiation potential than hASCs. After 4 weeks of hepatogenic differentiation, it was observed that hepatocyte specific genes including albumin, TDO-2, CYP2E1 were highly expressed in hepatocyte-like cells derived from hESC-MSCs, compared to hepatocyte-like cells derived from hASCs. Besides, we confirmed that hESC-MSCs could be better differentiated into hepatocyte through immunofluorescent staining of $\mbox{HNF}\alpha$ and PAS staining. However, we think that it would have been more ideal experimental design if more hASCs from diverse patients was used to compare the hepatocyte differentiation capacity with hESC- MSCs since differentiation capacity of hASCs varies according to the lot number and age of the fat donor.

CONCLUSION

Taken together, the results of this study demonstrate that hES-MSCs, highly expressing HGF, could be easily differentiated into hepatocyte-like cells even without HGF *in vitro* than hASCs. Therefore, we surmised that engraft with hESCMSCs attenuated expression of inflammatory genes at the injured sites, leading to inhibition of liver cirrhosis considering that hES-MSCs could be easily differentiated into hepatocyte-like cells. Therefore, our findings show that hESC-MSCs might have promising potential as a commercial cell therapy source to treat liver failure.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

ThESC-MSCs were kindly provided by Ph.D. Eun Ju Lee (Seoul National University Hospital, Republic of Korea).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

JSL: Design of the research study, Data analysis, Draft of the manuscript; Interpretation DJY: Collection and assembly of data, Performance, Data analysis; DGY: Collection and assembly of data CHY: Collection and assembly of data; WC: Design of the research study, Interpretation.

AVAILABILITY OF DATA AND MATERIAL

The all data used to support the findings of this study are included within the article and therefore are available from the corresponding author upon request.

COMPETING INTEREST

The authors declare no potential conflicts of interest.

FUNDING STATEMENT

This research was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF; grant no.: NRF-2021R1A2C2006033). This research was also supported by Hallym University Research Fund (grant no.: HURF-2015-39). Besides, this research was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the NRF funded by the Ministry of Education (2021R111A1A01042733).

ETHICS DECLARATIONS

This study including all experimental procedures for human ESC-MSCs was approved by the Ethics Committee of Hangang Sacred Heart Hospital.

CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION

Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study.

REFERENCES

- Anghileri E, Marconi S, Pignatelli A, et al. Neuronal differentiation potential of human adipose-derived mesenchymal stem cells. Stem Cells Dev. 2008; 17(5):909-916.
- Chamberlain G, Fox J, Ashton B, et al. Concise review: mesenchymal stem cells: their phenotype, differentiation capacity, immunological features, and potential for homing. Stem cells. 2007; 25(11):2739-2749.
- Kim HJ, Park JS. Usage of human mesenchymal stem cells in cell-based therapy: advantages and disadvantages. Dev Reprod. 2017; 21(1):1-10.
- Barry FP, Murphy JM. Mesenchymal stem cells: clinical applications and biological characterization. Int J Biochem Cell Biol. 2004 ;36(4):568-584.
- Manzini BM, Da Silva Santos Duarte A, Sankaramanivel S, et al. Useful properties of undifferentiated mesenchymal stromal cells and adipose tissue as the source in liver-regenerative therapy studied in an animal model of severe acute fulminant hepatitis. Cytotherapy. 2015; 17(8):1052-1065.
- Lee JS, Lee MO, Moon BH, et al. Senescent growth arrest in mesenchymal stem cells is bypassed by Wip1-mediated downregulation of intrinsic stress signaling pathways. Stem cells. 2009; 27(8):1963-1975.
- Zhao L, Liu X, Zhang Y, et al. Enhanced cell survival and paracrine effects of mesenchymal stem cells overexpressing hepatocyte growth factor promote cardioprotection in myocardial infarction. Exp Cell Res. 2016; 344(1):30-39.
- Song YS, Lee HJ, Doo SH, et al. Mesenchymal stem cells overexpressing hepatocyte growth factor (HGF) inhibit collagen deposit and improve bladder function in rat model of bladder outlet obstruction. Cell Transplant. 2012;21(8):1641-50.
- Chen S, Chen X, Wu X, et al. Hepatocyte growth factor-modified mesenchymal stem cells improve ischemia/reperfusion-induced acute lung injury in rats. Gene Ther. 2017;24(1):3-11.
- Liu Y. Hepatocyte growth factor in kidney fibrosis: therapeutic potential and mechanisms of action. Am J Physiol Renal Physiol. 2004;287(1):7-16.
- Matsuda Y, Matsumoto K, Yamada A, et al. Preventive and therapeutic effects in rats of hepatocyte growth factor infusion on liver fibrosis/ cirrhosis. Hepatology. 1997; 26(1):81-89.
- Xia JL, Dai C, Michalopoulos GK, et al. Hepatocyte growth factor attenuates liver fibrosis induced by bile duct ligation. Am J Pathol. 2006; 168(5):1500-1512.
- Mizuno S, Matsumoto K, Li MY, et al . HGF reduces advancing lung fibrosis in mice: a potential role for MMP-dependent myofibroblast apoptosis. FASEB J. 2005; 19(6):580-582.

Human embryonic stem cell-derived mesenchymal stem cells have potential of high differentiation into hepatocyte-like cells through increased level of hepatocyte growth factor

- Lee EJ, Xu L, Kim GH, et al. Regeneration of peripheral nerves by transplanted sphere of human mesenchymal stem cells derived from embryonic stem cells. Biomaterials. 2012; 33(29):7039-7046.
- Yoon D, Yoon D, Sim H, et al . Accelerated Wound Healing by Fibroblasts Differentiated from Human Embryonic Stem Cell-Derived Mesenchymal Stem Cells in a Pressure Ulcer Animal Model. Stem Cells Int. 2018; 2018(1): 4789568.
- Lee EJ, Lee HN, Kang HJ, et al. Novel embryoid body- based method to derive mesenchymal stem cells from human embryonic stem cells. Tissue Eng Part A. 2010; 16(2):705-715.
- Hwang NS, Varghese S, Lee HJ, et al. In vivo commitment and functional tissue regeneration using human embryonic stem cell-derived mesenchymal cells. Proc Natl Acaof Sci U S A. 2008; 105(52):20641-20646.
- Ninagawa N, Murakami R, Isobe E, et al . Mesenchymal stem cells originating from ES cells show high telomerase activity and therapeutic benefits. Differentiation. 2011; 82(3):153-164.
- Lee JS, Yoon H, Yoon D, et al. Development of hepatic blocks using human adipose tissue-derived stem cells through three-dimensional cell printing techniques. J Mater Chem B. 2017; 5(5):1098-1107.
- Cascio S, Zaret KS. Hepatocyte differentiation initiates during endodermal- mesenchymal interactions prior to liver formation. Development. 1991; 113(1):217-125.
- 21. Rodrigues ML, Pereira IA, Archer M. The Nrf H Cytochrome c Quinol Dehydrogenase. Handbook of Metalloproteins. 2006.
- 22. Fer M, Corcos L, Dreano Y, et al. Cytochromes P450 from family 4 are the main omega hydroxylating enzymes in humans: CYP4F3B is the prominent player in PUFA metabolism. J Lipid Res. 2008;49(11):2379-2389.
- 23. Hong SH, Gang EJ, Jeong JA, et al. *In vitro* differentiation of human umbilical cord blood-derived mesenchymal stem cells into hepatocytelike cells. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2005;330(4):1153-1161.
- 24. Peters DT, Henderson CA, Warren CR, et al. Asialoglycoprotein receptor 1 is a specific cell-surface marker for isolating hepatocytes derived from human pluripotent stem cells. Development. 2016; 143(9):1475-1481.
- Mallanna SK, Cayo MA, Twaroski K, et al. Mapping the cell-surface N-glycoproteome of human hepatocytes reveals markers for selecting a homogeneous population of iPSC-derived hepatocytes. Stem cell Reports. 2016;7(3):543-556.
- DeLaForest A, Di Furio F, Jing R, et al. HNF4A Regulates the Formation of Hepatic Progenitor Cells from Human iPSC-Derived Endoderm by Facilitating Efficient Recruitment of RNA Pol II. Genes (Basel). 2018;10(1):21.

- Khan SA, Shah N, Williams R, et al. Acute liver failure: a review. Clinics in Liver Disease. 2006;10(2):239-258.
- Lee KD, Kuo TKC, Whang-Peng J, et al. *In vitro* hepatic differentiation of human mesenchymal stem cells. Hepatology. 2004; 40(6):1275-1284.
- Sgodda M, Aurich H, Kleist S, et al. Hepatocyte differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells from rat peritoneal adipose tissue *in vitro* and in vivo. Exp Cell Res. 2007; 313(13):2875-2886.
- Seo MJ, Suh SY, Bae YC, et al. Differentiation of human adipose stromal cells into hepatic lineage *in vitro* and in vivo. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2005; 328(1):258-264.
- Lee MJ, Jung J, Na KH, et al. Anti-fibrotic effect of chorionic platell derived mesenchymal stem cells isolated from human placenta in a rat model of CCl4-injured liver: Potential application to the treatment of hepatic diseases. J Cell Biochem. 2010; 111(6):1453-1463.
- Kuo TK, Hung SP, Chuang CH, et al. Stem cell therapy for liver disease: parameters governing the success of using bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells. Gastroenterology. 2008; 134(7):2111-2121.
- Forbes SJ, Newsome PN. New horizons for stem cell therapy in liver disease. J Hepatol. 2012; 56(2):496-499.
- Lazaro CA, Croager EJ, Mitchell C, et al. Establishment, characterization, and long-term maintenance of cultures of human fetal hepatocytes. Hepatology. 2003; 38(5):1095-1106.
- Lloyd TD, Orr S, Skett P, et al. Cryopreservation of hepatocytes: a review of current methods for banking. Cell Tissue Bank. 2003; 4(1):3-15.
- Takami T, Terai S, Sakaida I. Stem cell therapy in chronic liver disease. Curr Opin Gastroenterology. 2012; 28(3):203-208.
- Kassem M, Abdallah BM, Yu Z, et al. The use of hTERT-immortalized cells in tissue engineering. Cytotechnology. 2004; 45(1-2):39-46.
- Truong NC, Bui KH T, Van Pham P, et al. Characterization of senescence of human adipose-derived stem cells after long-term expansion. Tissue Engineering and Regenerative Medicine; 2019: Springer:109-128.
- Thiel A, Yavanian G, Nastke MD, et al. Human embryonic stem cellderived mesenchymal cells preserve kidney function and extend lifespan in NZB/W F1 mouse model of lupus nephritis. Sci Rep. 2015;5(1):17685.
- Wang X, Kimbrel EA, Ijichi K, et al. Human ESC- derived MSCs outperform bone marrow MSCs in the treatment of an EAE model of multiple sclerosis. Stem Cell Reports. 2014; 3(1):115-130.
- Hawkins KE, Corcelli M, Dowding K, et al. Embryonic stem cell-derived mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) have a superior neuroprotective capacity over fetal MSCs in the hypoxic-ischemic mouse brain. Stem Cells Transl Med. 2018; 7(5):439-449.