Hybrid endoluminal treatment of incompetence of the great saphenous vein with radiofrequency and foam sclerotherapy: initial experience
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Abstract

Background: The treatment of incompetence of the great saphenous vein (GSV) by radio frequency (RF) or laser has been associated with neuralgia and other complications due to the extension of thermal injury. These techniques require anesthesia and have a major disadvantage in the management of tortuous trunks due to the stiffness of the catheter or optical fiber used in the treatment. Foam sclerotherapy is a new option to treat larger veins, painlessly reaching varicosities, however the treatment of the GSV raises the possibility of a sudden reopening of the saphenofemoral junction (SFJ). Our group developed an approach that combines the benefits of thermal ablation and foam sclerotherapy.

Methods: Forty-six patients (39 female) classified as C2-C4 (CEAP classification) with a mean age of 58.4 years and incompetence and tortuosity of the GSV as seen by duplex ultrasound where included in this study. Two patients were lost during follow-up. The hybrid procedure was performed using ultrasound guided percutaneous access of the GSV with a new short RF catheter (VNUS ClosureFAST) two centimeters distal to the SFJ under local anesthesia. Thermal occlusion of the saphenofemoral junction (SFJ) and the subjacent segment of the GSV were achieved. Before retracting the device, 8 mL of 1% Lapidium Chloride foam (Sklerol, ICV Pharma, Colombia) was injected using physiological gas (70% CO2/30% O2); the region was gently massaged with the transducer to spread the foam distally. The patient was followed-up for 6 months which including duplex ultrasound to check the ligation of the GSV and SFJ.

Results: Forty-four patients completed the follow-up period. There were no major complications. Seven patients reported pain in the groin region immediately after the procedure. Two cases presented with superficial phlebitis in the calf due to lack of compression and required microthrombectomy. At six months, the GSV and SFJ were occluded in forty-one patients as demonstrated by duplex ultrasound but the other three required a second dose of foam in the recanalized segment.

Conclusions: This is a preliminary report of a hybrid procedure that involves the use of heat to completely ligate the SFJ and, at the same time, foam to treat the GSV and associated varicosities, without the need of further thermal ablation of the venous trunk or the use of phlebectomy. This hybrid procedure combines the best of two techniques, minimizing their disadvantages and potentiating their advantages. The occlusion rate seems promising but further studies should evaluate the true effectiveness over time.
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Introduction
Venous surgery has undergone a transformation over the last 15 years, leaving behind the traditional saphenectomy for varicose veins which had been the therapy of choice for 100 years [1]. At the end of the last century, new techniques based on endoluminal thermal ablation using radiofrequency (RF) and laser were created to occlude circulation to the affected venous trunk using minimally invasive surgical procedures [2,3]. These new techniques increase the treatment options for venous disease after a long time without novelties. Soon other forms of treatment began to appear such as foam sclerotherapy, the injection of cyanoacrylate or steam at the saphenofemoral junction which, when introduced through a catheter guided by ultrasound, generates chemical injury resulting in occlusion [4,6,20].

With minimal manipulation of the tissue and little injury, there will be low angiogenic response after the intervention thus the probability of relapse will be lower than in surgical procedures that have rates that exceed 50% of cases in 5 years [17,21]. Moreover, the outpatient nature of endovascular interventions reduces costs, allows the patient to return to his normal activities promptly and drastically reduces morbidity [10].

Laser and RF are the most popular of the endoluminal techniques and are established as the gold standard to treat incompetence of the GSV according to the American Venous Forum [22] and the German Vascular Surgery Society. However, the catheter or the optical fiber used to transmit thermal energy has a certain degree of rigidity that does not allow easy navigability in tortuous segments of veins. Additionally if the saphenous trunk is significantly dilated, the temperature used varies and the rate of occlusion tends to be lower [23,24,22].

In our experience, it is very common to find saphenous trunks presenting tortuosity or major dilation, especially in patients who consult late and treatment often requires a second or even a third insertion of a catheter guided by ultrasound. Ambulatory phlebectomy is used as an adjunct therapy in more severe cases, especially for infragenicular segments where derivations are more common and in failure of one or more epifascial leg veins [14,31]. It is in these cases that foam sclerotherapy has an important role. This technique evolved from traditional sclerotherapy, which uses liquid for sclerotherapy, to a new pharmaceutical foam that offers advantages in vessels larger than telangiectasias, with independence from the vessel diameter and the concentration of the sclerosing agent [35,30]. This allows foam sclerotherapy to be used as a therapeutic tool in large trunks [1,2]. The scientific literature reports interesting findings in this regard, although a doubt still remains as to whether this conduct is enough or whether there will be a recurrence rate similar to techniques that are a little more aggressive such as RF which affects the media and adventitia layers by thermal ablation [8,20].

Our group has been working since the appearance of ultrasound-guided thermal ablation and chemical ablation techniques at the end of the 20th century. An interesting idea is that we can easily associate the advantages of both techniques to maximize their individual effects. This study presents our experience using RF and foam sclerotherapy in one intervention. The thermal ablation of the SFJ and the immediately subadjacent GSV, followed by an ultrasound-guided injection of foam along the same via in the rest of the GSV and associated epifascial veins, enabling a more effective occlusion of the affected saphenous trunk, without the use of tumescent anesthesia in the saphenous fascia or the transmission of heat to the GSV trunk which could potentially affect adjacent nerve structures or add discomfort to the procedure [11,5,6,27,29,34]. The difficulties of tortuosity and greatly dilated segments of vessels are easily manageable with foam sclerotherapy which is applied through the same catheter prior to its retraction. Foam acts independently of the diameter of the vessel, and is not impeded by tortuosity; the vessel is filled without difficulty by a single injection [33,28,7].

Materials and methods

During the first half of 2012, 46 patients were included in this case study. The inclusion criteria were age between 25 and 80 years, of both genders, with the capability to sign an informed consent form. Exclusion criteria were previous venous surgery, use of oral anticoagulants, previous deep vein thrombosis, trauma to the legs, and absence of venous reflux and dilatation of the GSV.

The study prospectively documented data over 6 months for each patient. Follow up ultrasounds were performed after two weeks, 3 months and 6 months. All complications were noted. The frequency and presentation of complications were analyzed case by case and the procedure was performed in the same way for all 46 patients.

Using the Seldinger technique and local anesthesia, the SF was channeled at the knee using a 7-F introducer to avoid damage to the saphenous nerve that emerges at this point. The RF catheter was advanced guided by ultrasound to a point 2 cm distal to the SFJ. Tumescent anesthesia was injected around the GSV to isolate the GSV along its entire bed using ultrasound. Thermal ablation was applied along the canalized vein following the instructions of the manufacturer. Prior to the retraction of the introducer, the rest of the GSV was infiltrated with 8 mL of 1% Lidocaine Chloride foam (Sklerol, ICV Pharma, Colombia) which spread distally to penetrate into the distal GSV and its tributaries.
The introducer was retracted, microspore tape was used to protect the puncture site and the patient used elastic compression class II stockings for 48 consecutive hours. In addition, the patients were requested to walk around for 20 minutes immediately after the procedure and to perform day-to-day activities normally.

Ultrasound monitoring was carried out by the same surgeon who evaluated the patient in the second postoperative week and third and sixth months. The purpose of this follow-up was to verify the presence or absence of occlusion of the SFJ and the treated GSV.

Results
A total of 46 patients were recruited, but two patients were lost to follow-up because of difficulty in monitoring as they lived far from the clinic.

Two cases of groin pain were reported along the route of the GSV in the thigh which warranted single-doses of non-steroidal intramuscular painkillers on the second and third postoperative days. At the first follow-up visit, seven cases of superficial phlebitis were reported without disabling pain, with erythema and a lump in the plexus of epifascial veins of the medial side of the leg in all cases; all were submitted to thrombectomy in the clinic.

Forty-one patients had occlusion of the GSV and SFJ in the sixth postoperative month. Three cases required a second procedure just with foam which was injected in a similar manner to the first procedure but without the use of thermal ablation.

Discussion
The RF has proven to be an excellent method to treat venous disease associated to truncal saphenous insufficiency (25). Its use, similar to other thermal ablative techniques, offers easy and safe occlusion of the GSV. Among its disadvantages is the indiscriminate burning of the adventitia and occasionally of the adjacent nerve structures as it requires tumescent or another type of anesthesia (25). It is important to remember that the venous intima does not have innervation and therefore the presence of pain is explained by alterations in the outermost layer of the vessel, an undesired result for the vascular surgeon. Although costs are dropping, they still are an item of concern. Comparative studies show that RF is as good as or better than conventional surgery; as it is an endoluminal technique, the concept of neophlebogenesis is void and therefore relapse should be minimal. Thus this procedure has become the gold standard in the management of incompetence of the GSV by the American Venous Forum (22).

On the other hand, foam sclerotherapy, a highly cost-effective method that is affordable to patients in South America, has become more common in the treatment of incompetence of the GSV; this is an alternative to other expensive endoluminal techniques (3). Possible thrombotic and embolic complications, as well as the release of inflammatory endothelial factors, are its biggest disadvantage (12). It must be used with extreme caution by qualified professionals capable of solving any complications that may occur; we emphasize that this is a technique that must be performed only by vascular surgeons.

The use of physiological gas has given a substantial reduction in the adverse reactions of sessions; this is the reason that our group uses a special mixture of CO₂ (70%) and O₂ (30%) which minimizes the phosphenes, coughing, chest tightness, and other phenomena that appear more frequently with ambient air (19). Currently Interleukin-6 and C-reactive protein are being analyzed to objectively understand these phenomena.

The synergy of these two techniques, thermal ablation of the GSV by RF in an attempt to guarantee a perfect seal of the SFJ combined with foam sclerotherapy of the tortuous and dilated tributaries where access using a catheter is cumbersome and pointless, allows us to perform both procedures in a single session without losing the minimally invasive character in the outpatient clinic as preferred by the patient today.

Postoperative pain continues to be a source of discomfort of RF and even though it is substantially less than the pain using other endoluminal thermal ablative techniques, it merits further studies.

Phlebitis is a variable to take into account in the management of foam sclerotherapy. Phlebitis is very dependent on the use of and the quality of elastic compression stockings. Our group found a greater adherence to treatment and therefore a lower rate of complications when microfiber pressure gradient class II stockings were used up to the thigh as they are very tolerable in a predominantly hot country, are relatively easy to put on and are more in line with the stature of the average Colombian, short with large hips and thighs. A 93% occlusion rate at 6 months is attainable and extremely desirable. Obviously such a short follow-up is not proof of the outcome in 5 or 10 years, forcing us as a research group to continue monitoring.

Even though 6.8% of the patients required a second session of ultrasound-guided foam sclerotherapy in the outpatient clinic, this is an easily performed procedure which is very well tolerated by the patient. Occlusion after this procedure is demonstrated by an intraluminal hypoechoic image of the GSV with the presence of heterogeneous echoes within two weeks of the procedure, no pain on exerting compression on the vessel and without fluids (evaluated using contrast).
By combining these two ultrasound-guided endoluminal techniques, the margin of safety is greater for the vascular surgeon with the occlusion rate being high. Further monitoring is necessary and studies on larger samples are necessary to determine the true value of this association.

Conclusion
Low elastic compression sleeves have a synergistic effect with controlled exercising to reduce the volume of lymphedematous limbs.
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