

In biomedicine and pulmonology, the use of propensity

Wei Gao

Gao W. In biomedicine and pulmonology, the use of propensity. *J. Pulmonol.* 2023; 7(1):1-3.

ABSTRACT

The principles of association and causality are frequently misunderstood in scientific study. Although researchers have been aware of this conceptual distinction for some time, it is nonetheless common practise to analyse biomedical data improperly and assert causal correlations. The underlying premise of this discussion is that establishing a causal relationship cannot be accomplished by following a magic formula, and more crucially, that there cannot be

causality without a causality theory. Theory, data, and statistics are three crucial components that determine whether causal analyses are successful. In order to speculate on causative mechanisms, the direction of causality paths, and intricate interactions between numerous variables, we must first have a theory of causation. Data quality is crucial since some of the underlying assumptions of statistical methods could be flawed

Key Words: *Bronchoscopy; Pleural disease; Interventional pulmonology; Prostate cancer*

INTRODUCTION

In the developed world, males are most frequently diagnosed with Prostate Cancer (PrCa). Approximately 10% of individuals with PrCa acquire metastatic disease with terrible survival rates, despite the fact that the majority of cases are low- or intermediate-risk. However, knowledge of potential heritable genetic variables leading to tumor growth is limited. Genetic predisposition to the overall illness risk of PrCa of any severity is thoroughly explored. About 25% of patients who have radical prostatectomy experience Biochemical Recurrence (BCR), which is frequently utilized as a PSA-based predictor of progression to a poor prognosis phenotype (RP). The option of triaging therapy intensification utilizing existing or new systemic medicines would be presented by the identification of individuals with a high risk of illness progression to deadly disease and who are likely to relapse after first treatment. The majority of BCR research to date has been solely on the expression of particular candidate genes, mutational signatures in prostate tumor tissue, or both. In this study, we examine whether uncommon germline variations across the entire exome are predictive of a poor prognosis following radical therapy for the first time. When it comes to diagnosis, staging before or after treatment, and prognostication, this information may be useful in the clinical management of the illness. For PrCa patients from Pan Prostate Cancer Group member nations, Whole-Genome Sequencing (WGS) data generated from whole blood samples were compiled; further characteristics are provided Supplementary. This study

incorporates information from patients who received RP and a small number of samples who also had radical radiation. We refer to the samples as having undergone radical treatment as a whole. The criteria listed in the Supplementary material were followed in the collection of samples. The International Cancer Genome Consortium's (ICGC) ethical consent guidelines were followed during collection. Sequencing data was aligned to the human genome using the Burrows-Wheeler Aligner after polymerase chain reaction duplicates were eliminated. Sequencing information is available upon request and has been deposited at the Genome-phenome Archive. With the exception of the samples that were called using Free Bayes and processed as described, normalized, and analyzed using the Genome Analysis Toolkit pipeline, variant calling was carried out in accordance with GATK best practice recommendations for germline single nucleotide variant and indel calling (Supplementary material) (Supplementary material). According to GENCODE, this research was limited to variations found inside protein-coding transcript sequences. Based on established Quality Control (QC) protocols, subpar variations and samples were eliminated. With respect to samples from the Genomes Project, we didn't include samples from people who were related to us (using the R package SNPRelate method identification by descent) or who had non-European ancestry. The Variant Effect Predictor (VEP v101) and Loss-of-Function Transcript Effect Estimator (LOFTEE) packages were used to annotating post-QC variations. Only variants classified as

Editorial Office, *Journal of Pulmonology*, United Kingdom

Correspondence: Wei Gao, Editorial office, *Journal of Pulmonology*, United Kingdom, e-mail id: pulmonol@escientificjournals.com

Received: 04 January 2023, Manuscript No. *puljp-23-6124*; Editor assigned: 08 January 2023, PreQC No. *puljp-23-6124* (PQ); Reviewed: 19 January 2023, QC No. *puljp-23-6124* (Q); Revised: 25 January 2023, Manuscript No. *puljp-23-6124* (R); Published: 29 January 2023, DOI: [10.37532/puljp.2023.7\(1\).1-3](https://doi.org/10.37532/puljp.2023.7(1).1-3)



This open-access article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (CC BY-NC) (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits reuse, distribution and reproduction of the article, provided that the original work is properly cited and the reuse is restricted to noncommercial purposes. For commercial reuse, contact reprints@pulsus.com

deleterious/loss of function were kept for further analysis, including those causing protein-truncating mutations (nonsense, frameshift, and splice site variants) in the first 95% of the protein as well as Predicted Deleterious (PD) missense variants with a CADD PHRED score. All 50 "Hallmark" gene sets from GSEA MsigDB, the BROCA extended panel of 66 genes, and 175 curated DNA repair genes were taken into account for a pathway-level study. Models were stratified per trial to account for varying baseline risks, and analyses were done on the combined post-QC dataset and a subgroup of patients with high Gleason score tumors. By keeping track of the existence of any gene with PD mutations in the chosen gene sets across all samples, gene set predictors of the Cox PH model were created. Stages 1-2 and stages 3-4 were the baselines for the pathological T stage, respectively. The clinical T stage was applied to RT patients. Age at the time of operation and preoperative PSA were continuous factors. There was an initial Gleason score. The interval between radical therapy and BCR was measured. For samples with RP, BCR was defined as two successive post-RP PSA readings on the latest known follow-up date. BCR was outlined as a rise in PSA concentration above the nadir, backdated to the first PSA if PSA continues to grow, for the Canadian samples with RT. The major risk-elevating gene sets discovered were unaffected by a sensitivity analysis we conducted on a subset that excluded RT samples. The least absolute shrinkage and selection operator (LASSO)-based Cox regression method was used to choose the variables that would be used in the final models. The standard error of the optimum from the mean of fold cross-validation models was used to estimate the ideal penalty factor. We only kept features with nonzero coefficients. Following that, Cox regression without penalization was used to create the final prediction models. P values were adjusted for multiple testing using the false discovery rate for each gene set, together with clinical variables (preoperative PSA, pathological T stage, Gleason score, and age) (FDR). Validation On germline PrCa samples from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) PRAD project, we conducted standardized variant filtering for PD mutations. 383 samples were used in the analysis from the initial TCGA PRAD samples after those from contributing institutions with samples were eliminated and models were stratified by the institution. In the examination of the high-Gleason subset, were among these. To compare the hazard ratios (HRs) in the two sets, we applied the variations to the predictors chosen from the Cox model constructed using the combined PPCG samples. The influence of mutations within important gene sets on the risk of BCR was visualized using a Kaplan-Meier plot that measures the time to BCR in the event of a relapse. This was done individually for the entire dataset and the high-Gleason subgroup, and the results were published along with the p values for the log-rank test. In order to determine potential additive effects on a patient's time to relapse, we conducted a combined analysis, taking into account mutations in any of the gene sets relevant to the corresponding analyses. The effect of mutations within important gene sets on the risk of BCR was depicted using a Kaplan-Meier plot calculating time to BCR in the event of a relapse. The results of this, together with the log-rank test p values, were applied individually to the entire dataset and the high-Gleason subset. We conducted a combined analysis, taking into account any mutations in the gene sets reant for the related analyses, and we divided the results to look for potential additive effects on a patient's time to relapse. We created new datasets with the same sample size, randomly selected

samples with replacement, and no stratification, and then constructed a Cox regression model using the resulting dataset to test the robustness of the model. To determine a distribution of coefficients, this was done repeatedly. For each predictor, the percentage of iterations where the coefficient was moving against expectations was used to calculate the p values. We investigated germline determinants of PrCa progression as evaluated by BCR following radical therapy using patient germline WGS data from five trials in the PPCG consortium and Supplementary. In order to accomplish this, we examined gene sets, such as the expanded BROCA gene panel, the DRG panel, which contains DNA repair genes, and Hallmark gene sets from the MsigDB database, which contain over 4000 genes in groups of varied sizes. The best model for predicting time to BCR after variable selection by LASSO includes gene sets, three of which were substantially linked with time to BCR PH model across all samples; p-value. Preoperative PSA, pathological T stage, age, and Gleason score were clinical variables at the time of radical treatment that were included in the model as covariates. Strong evidence that germline changes in genes within this pathway contribute to clinical development can be found in the consistency of significance and the same direction of the coefficient of hypoxia in individuals with more addiseasesdisease. For the all-sample and high-Gleason sets, visualizedesd the additive effect of mutations using Kaplan-Meier plots for the relevant risk-elevating gene sets. We demonstrate a significant difference in survival when many gene sets have PD mutations in both plots. In the analysis of all samples, patients had one significant gene set mutated and 58 had mutations in two or more gene sets, whereas in the analysis of the high-Gleason subset, patients had one significant gene set mutated, had mutations in two gene sets, and had mutations in three or more gene sets. We assessed the Odds Ratio (OR) between the BCR-positive and BCR-negative groups in order to look for specific genes that were more commonly mutated in patients with BCR. The main goal of genetic profiling of germline tumor DNA is to assist clinical decisions, such as focused screening of asymptomatic people and treatment options for cancer patients. The benefit of stratifying patients in more-and post-operative scenarios is one that germline signatures in particular would have. Predicting which patients are most likely to acquire prostatumorsurs, advance to clinically severe disease, or relapse may help with follow-up tactics and decisions about additional therapies this work, which is the first of its kind to assess the correlation between rare germline mutations over the entire exome as opposed to just a few potential candidate genes, shows that the presence of germline mutations is a predictor of BCR following severe PrCa treatment. Our multifactor Cox model discovered that BCR in a subset of individuals with more aggressive phenotypes at diagnosis is linked with uncommon PD variations in five gene sets and time to BCR following radical treatment in three Hallmark gene sets and Inflammatory. Beyond the addition of clinical factors, we further demonstrate that these gene sets continued to function as independent time-to-BCR prognostic indicators. These signals could aid clinical judgment and prognosis with further verification and improvement. Genes implicated and KRAS signaling remained significant in all PPCG samples as well as when restricted to patients with high-Gleason tumors, and they were among the gene sets linked to a higher probability of BCR in PrCa patients. AKT expression and phosphorylation have been related to BCR after RP risk and a worse

prognosis in patients with metastatic castrate-resistant PrCa in somatic investigations. Poorer PrCa and disease recurrence are also linked to somatic loss of PTEN, a tumor suppressor that inhibits the AKT signaling pathway. These gene sets' lack of significance in the TCGA replication set may be due to power issues brought on by the smaller sample size. The Hypoxia gene set was confirmed at statistical significance in the PPCG cohort and reproduced in the independent TCGA validation cohort in the analysis of patients with high-Gleason tumors. This provides compelling evidence that recurrence in patients with more severe disease is influenced by germline mutations within this gene set. When analyzing tumor samples, hypoxia has been shown to contribute to progression. A gene mRNA profile for hypoxia has been shown to predict BCR and metastases following RP or RT and to provide independent prognostic value after adjusting for clinical characteristics. Our findings demonstrate for the first time that PrCa patients are more likely to develop BCR and to do so more quickly due to heritable mutations in genes that are activated in response to low-oxygen environments. A few other gene sets were also significant in just one analysis (inflammatory response in all PPCG samples, TNFA signaling via NFkB, pancreas-beta cells, a high-Gleason fraction of PPCG, Myc targets and coagulation in the TCGA validation cohort). Although the significance of these gene sets in germline susceptibility to BCR is less convincing because of their less consistent selection, they would still be prospective gene sets of interest to be examined in future, more extensive replication studies. In this investigation, we found that people with mutations in many risk-increasing gene sets had much shorter times to BCR than no carriers and people with mutations in just one of the risk-increasing gene sets.