Involutive Hom-Lie triple systems

Amir Baklouti

Baklouti A. Involutive Hom-Lie triple systems. J Pur Appl Math. whether simple or semi-simple. Moreover, we prove that an involutive simple 2018;2(1):20-21. Lie triple system give a rise of InvolutiveHom-Lie triple system. Key Words: Jordan triple system; Lie triple system; Casimir operator; Quadratic lie ABSTRACT algebra; TKK construction In this work we we prove that all involutive Hom-Lie triple systems are

The classification of semisimple Lie algebras with involutions can be

The classification of semisimple Lie algebras were initially introduced by Hartwig, found in (1). The Hom-Lie algebras were initially introduced by Hartwig,

Larson and Silvestrov in (2) motivated initially by examples of deformed Lie

algebras coming from twisted discretizations of vector fields. The Killing

form K of g is nondegenerate and $\hat{I}\hat{y}$ is symmetric with respect to K. In (3), the author studied Hom-Lie triple system using the double extension and gives an inductive description of quadratic Hom-Lie triple system. In this work we recall the definition of involutive Hom-Lie triple systems and some related structure and we prove that all involutive Hom-Lie triple systems are whether simple or semi-simple. Moreover, we prove that an involutive simple

A Hom-Lie triple system is a triple $(L, [-, -, -], \alpha)$ consisting of a linear

space L, a trilinear map $[-, -, -]: L \times L \times L \to L$ and a linear map $\alpha: L \to L$

 $= [[u, v, x], \alpha(y), \alpha(z)] + [\alpha(x), [u, v, y], \alpha(z)] + [\alpha(x), \alpha(y), [u, v, z]],$

 $\alpha([x, y, z]) = [\alpha(x), \alpha(y), \alpha(z)]$ (resp. $\alpha^2 = id_L$) for all $x, y, z \in L$,

we say that $(L, [-, -, -], \alpha)$ is a multiplicative (resp. involutive) Hom-

A Hom-Lie triple system $(L, [-, -, -], \alpha)$ is said to be regular if α is an

When the twisting map α is equal to the identity map, we recover the usual

notion of Lie triple system (4,5). So, Lie triple systems are examples of Hom-Lie triple systems. If we introduce the right multiplication R defined for all

x, $y \in L$ by R(x, y)(z) := [x, y, z], then the conditions above can be written as

If

(ternary

Moreover

Iacobi

identity)

lpha satisfies

Lie triple system give a rise of Involutive Hom-Lie triple system.

Definition 0.1

[x, y, z] = 0 (skewsymmetry)

 $[\alpha(u), \alpha(v), [x, y, z]]$

all

Lie triple system.

automorhism of L.

R(x, y) = -R(y, x),

 $R(\alpha(u), \alpha(v))[x, y, z]$

R(x, y)z + R(y, z)x + R(z, x)y = 0,

[x, y, z] + [y, z, x] + [z, x, y] = 0

 $x, y, z, u, v \in L$.

such that

for

follow:

= $[R(u,v)x, \alpha(y), \alpha(z)] + [\alpha(x), R(u,v)y, \alpha(z)] + [\alpha(x), \alpha(y), R(u,v)z]$. We can also introduce the middle (resp. left) multiplication operator

M(x,z)y := [x, y, z](resp.L(y, z)x := [x, y, z]) for all $x, y, z \in L$. The equations above can be written in operator form respectively as follows:

$$M(x, y) = -L(x, y)$$
^[1]

$$M(x, y) - M(y, x) = R(x, y) \text{ for all } x, y \in L.$$
[2]

We can write the equation above as one of the equivalent identities of operators:

 $R(\alpha(u), \alpha(v))R(x, y) - R(\alpha(x), \alpha(y))R(u, y)$ $= (R(R(u,v)x,\alpha(y)) + R(\alpha(x),R(u,v)y))\alpha.$

$$R(\alpha(u), \alpha(v))M(x, z) - M(\alpha(x), \alpha(z))R(u, v)$$

$$= (M(R(u,v)x,\alpha(z)) + M(\alpha(x),R(u,v)z))\alpha.$$

Definition 0.2

Let $(L[-, -, -], \alpha)$ and $(L', [-, -, -]', \alpha')$ be two two Hom-Lie triple systems (6). A linear map $f: L \to L'$ is a morphism of Hom-Lie triple systems if

$$f([x, y, z]) = [f(x), f(y), f(z)]' \text{ and } f \circ \alpha = \alpha' \circ f.$$

In particular, if f is invertible, then L' and L' are said to be isomorphic.

Definition 0.3

Let $(L, [-, -, -], \alpha)$ be a Hom-Lie triple system and *I* be a subspace of *L*. We say that I is an ideal of L if $[I, L, L] \subset I$ and $\alpha(I) \subset I$.

Definition 0.4

A Hom-Lie triple system L is said to be simple (resp. semisimple) if it contains no nontrivial ideal ($resp.Rad(L) = \{0\}$).

According to a result in [?], if A is a Malcev algebra, then (A, [-, -, -]) is a Lie triple system with triple product

$$[x, y, z] = 2(xy)z - (zx)y - (yz)x.$$
[3]

Thus, if A is a Malcev algebra and $\alpha : A \rightarrow A$ is an algebra morphism, then, $A_{\alpha} = (A, [-, -, -]_{\alpha} = \alpha \circ [-, -, -], \alpha$ is a multiplicative Hom-Lie triple system, where [-, -, -] is the triple product in [3].

Department of Mathematics, College of Preliminary Year, Umm Al-Qura University, P.O. Box 14035, Makkah Al-Mukarramah 21955, Saudi Arabia.

Correspondence: Amir Baklouti, Department of Mathematics, College of Preliminary Year, Umm Al-Qura University, P.O. Box 14035, Makkah Al-Mukarramah 21955, Saudi Arabia. e-mail: ambaklouti@uqu.edu.sa

Received: March 30, 2018, Accepted: April 06, 2018, Published: April 09, 2018

OPEN 6 ACCESS

This open-access article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (CC BY-NC) (http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits reuse, distribution and reproduction of the article, provided that the original work is properly cited and the reuse is restricted to noncommercial purposes. For commercial reuse, contact reprints@pulsus.com

Baklouti

Proposition 0.5

Let L be a Lie triple system and $\pmb{\alpha}$ be an automorphism of L . If L is simple, the L is also simple.

Proof: Since *L* is not abelian, then L_{α} is also not abelian. Moreover, let *I* be an ideal of L_{α} . For all *x*, *y* \in *L* and a $\alpha \in I$ we have,

 $[a, x, y]_{\alpha} \varepsilon I.$

That is,

 $[\alpha(a), \alpha(x), \alpha(y)] \in I.$

Consequently, I is an ideal of L because α is an automorphism. Thus, $I = \{0\}$.

Theorem 0.6

Let $(L, [.,.,.], \theta)$ be an involutiveHom-Lie triple system. Then,

 $(L, [..., .], \theta)$ is simple or semi-simple. Moreover, in the second case L can be wrien as $L := L_{\theta} = \mathfrak{T} \oplus \theta(\mathfrak{T})$ where \mathfrak{T} is a simple ideal of L. Conversely, If $(L, [..., .], \theta)$ is an involutive simple Lie triple system, then $(L, [..., .]_{\theta}, \theta)$ is an involutive Hom-Lie triple system.

Proof: Suppose that L_{θ} is not simple and put \mathfrak{T} a minimal ideal of L_{θ} . We get $[L_{\theta}, L_{\theta}, \mathfrak{T}]_{\theta}$ is an ideal of L_{θ} which is contained on \mathfrak{T} . Thus,

 $[L_{\theta}, L_{\theta}, \mathfrak{I}]_{\theta} = \{0\} \text{ or } [L_{\theta}, L_{\theta}, \mathfrak{I}]_{\theta} = \mathfrak{I}.$

Now, firstly, if $[L_{\theta}, L_{\theta}, \mathfrak{I}]_{\theta} = \{0\}$, then $\theta([L_{\theta}), \theta(L_{\theta}), \theta(\mathfrak{I})]_{\theta} = \{0\}$. That is, $[L, L, \theta(\mathfrak{I})] = \{0\}$, because θ is a bijective linear map. which mean that $\theta(\mathfrak{I}) \subset Z(L) = \{0\}$. Thus, $[L_{\theta}, L_{\theta}, \mathfrak{I}]_{\theta} = \mathfrak{I}$. Hence, $[L, L, \theta(\mathfrak{I})] = \mathfrak{I}$. Which implies that $\theta([L, L, \theta(\mathfrak{I})]) = [\theta(L), \theta(L), \theta^2(\mathfrak{I})] = \theta(\mathfrak{I})$. Conservation

 $\theta([L, L, \theta(\mathfrak{I})]) = [\theta(L), \theta(L), \theta^2(\mathfrak{I})] = \theta(\mathfrak{I}).$ Consequently,

$$[L, L, \mathfrak{T} + \theta(\mathfrak{T})] \subset \mathfrak{T} + \theta(\mathfrak{T})$$

Furthermore,

 $\theta(\mathfrak{T} + \theta(\mathfrak{T})) = \theta(\mathfrak{T}) + \theta^2(\mathfrak{T}) = \theta(\mathfrak{T}) + \mathfrak{T}.$

Thus $\mathfrak{T} + \theta(\mathfrak{T})$ is an ideal of $(L, [.,.,.], \theta)$. Since $\mathfrak{T} + \theta(\mathfrak{T}) \neq \{0\}$, then $L = \mathfrak{T} + \theta(\mathfrak{T})$.

Now, we have to prove that the summation is direct. In fact, since θ is an automorphism of L_{θ} , then $\theta(\mathfrak{T})$ is an ideal of L_{θ} . Thus, $\mathfrak{T} \cap \theta(\mathfrak{T}) = \mathfrak{T}$ or $\mathfrak{T} \cap \theta(\mathfrak{T}) = \{0\}$ because \mathfrak{T} is minimal. Suppose that $\mathfrak{T} \cap \theta(\mathfrak{T}) = \mathfrak{T}$, then $\mathfrak{T} = \theta(\mathfrak{T})$ because θ is bijective. On the other hand,

 $[L,L,\mathfrak{I}] = \theta([\theta(L),\theta(L),\theta(\mathfrak{I})]) = \theta([L,L,\mathfrak{I}]_{\theta}) \subset \theta(\mathfrak{I}) = \mathfrak{I}.$

Thus, \mathfrak{T} is an ideal of $(L, [..., .], \theta)$ and $\mathfrak{T} = L$ because (L, [..., .])which contradict the fact that $\mathfrak{T} \neq L$ and $\mathfrak{T} \neq \{0\}$. Consequently, $\mathfrak{T} \cap \theta(\mathfrak{T}) = \{0\}$ and $L = \mathfrak{T} \oplus \theta(\mathfrak{T})$.

Let us prove that \mathfrak{T} is a simple ideal of $(L_{\theta}, [.,.,.]_{\theta})$. In fact, $L = L_{\theta} = \mathfrak{T} \oplus \theta(\mathfrak{T})$. Since θ is an automorphism of L then θ is an automorphism of L_{θ} .

 $[\theta(\mathfrak{I}),L,L] = \theta([\theta(\mathfrak{I}),L,L] = \theta([\mathfrak{I},\theta(L),\theta(L)] = \theta([\mathfrak{I},L,L]) \subset \theta(\mathfrak{I}).$

Thus, $heta(\mathfrak{J})$ is an ideal of $L_{ heta}$. Furthermore,

 $[\mathfrak{T},\mathfrak{T},\mathfrak{T}]_{\theta} = [\mathfrak{T} \oplus \theta(\mathfrak{T}), \mathfrak{T} \oplus \theta(\mathfrak{T}), \mathfrak{T}]_{\theta} = [L_{\theta}, L_{\theta}, \mathfrak{T}] = \mathfrak{T}. _{\mathrm{Thus}}, \\ \mathfrak{T} \text{ is a simple ideal of } L_{\theta} \text{ because it is simple with } [\mathfrak{T},\mathfrak{T}]_{t} heta = \mathfrak{T}. \\ \text{Consequently, } L_{\theta} \text{ is semi-simple.}$

Corollary 0.7

Let (L, [., ., .]) be a Lie triple system with involution θ . Such

that, $L = \mathfrak{T} \oplus \theta(\mathfrak{T})$ where \mathfrak{T} is a simple ideal of (L, [.,.,.]). Then the Hom-Lie triple system $(L_{\theta}, [.,.,.]_{\theta}\theta)$ is simple.

Proof: Let \mathcal{J} be an ideal of L_{θ} such that $\mathcal{J} \neq \{0\}$. We have

$$[L, L, \theta(\mathcal{J})] = [\theta(L), \theta(L), \theta(\mathcal{J})] = [L, L, \mathcal{J}]$$

because $L = \theta(L)$ and \mathcal{J} is an ideal of L_{θ} . Moreover,

 $[L, L, \mathcal{J}] = \theta([\theta(L), \theta(L), \theta(\mathcal{J})]) = \theta([L, L, \mathcal{J}]_t heta) \subset \theta(\mathcal{J}) = \mathcal{J},$

because \mathcal{J} is stable under θ since it is an ideal of the Hom-Lie triple system of L_{θ} . Consequently, \mathcal{J} is an ideal of L. Thus, $\mathcal{J} = \mathfrak{F}$ or $\mathcal{F} = \theta(\mathfrak{F})$ or $\mathcal{J} = L$. Since $\theta(\mathcal{F}) \subset \mathcal{F}$, then $\mathcal{J} \neq \mathfrak{F}$ and $\mathcal{J} \neq \theta(\mathfrak{F})$. Thus, $\mathcal{J} = \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{F} \oplus \theta(\mathfrak{F}) = L$.

Moreover, since [L, L, L] = L, then $[L_{\theta}, L_{\theta}, L_{\theta}] = L_{\theta}$. Thus $(L_{\theta}, [.,.,.]_{\theta}\theta)$ is a simple Hom-Lie triple system.

REFERENCES

- 1. Lister WG. A structure theory of Lie triple systems. Trans Am Math Soc. 1952;72:217-242.
- 2. Hartwig JT, Larsson D, Silvestrov SD. Deformations of Lie algebras using σ derivations. J Algebra 2006;295:314-361.
- Baklouti A. Quadratic Hom-Lie triple systems. J Geom Phys. 2017;121:166-175.
- Meyberg K. Lectures on algebras and triple systems. University of Virginia. 1972.
- 5. Jacobson N. Lie and Jordan triple systems. Amer J Math. 1949;71:149-170.
- Benayadi S, Makhlouf A. Hom-Lie algebras with symmetric invariant nondegen-erate bilinear forms. J Geom Phys. 2014;76:38-60.