

# Is minimal invasive technique in cardiac surgery preferable over traditional technique?

Praveen Kumar

---

Citation: Kumar P. Is minimal invasive technique in cardiac surgery preferable over traditional technique? *Surg Case Rep.* 2021; 5(5):1-1.

---

## EDITORIAL

Patients, doctors, and referral doctors are becoming interested in minimally invasive Aortic Valve Replacement (AVR). Especially in comparison to standard median sternotomy, it's uncertain if Mini Sternotomy (MS) or right anterior Mini Thoracotomy (MT) leads in little discomfort, decreased blood transfusion requirement, quicker recovery, and lower death rate in patient within a month after operation. Presently no guidelines or suggestions are there to help the physicians to decide on. Although the technique mentioned is not complex, it does require the development of new and distinctive learning but also a high learning graph.

Furthermore, generally cardiologists conduct a significant subset of aortic valve operations annually, so they may be reluctant to switch. In bulk facilities keep promoting this technique to maintain good recuperation rate, despite the fact that it is not used in routine clinical practice. Many advantages of a less intrusive technique have been described in various articles. Ultimately, traditional median sternotomy for AVR has indeed been demonstrated to yield good long-term outcomes. MS has been linked to quicker operating hours, decreased ICU requirements and hospitalization, and better outcomes.

Because the sole component with in aforementioned instances was really the incision, there was no reasonable clarification for the differences in results.

Also discovered that MT was linked to prolonged operating durations, the requirement for a complete sternotomy, higher groyne problems from femoral artery annulation, and reduced pulmonary recuperation from thoracic zone infringement. In spite of the retrospective nature and shortcomings, various researches indicate that several facilities, with practitioners whom have varying levels of expertise and inclinations choose for one technique or another.

Regardless of reality that a higher number of AVR is done using approach, various researchers have compared standard sternotomy outcomes with MS and MT. It's likely that median sternotomy scored higher than MS and MT if statistics are provided. Nevertheless, TAVR is the real low invasive treatment in today's field of cardiac surgery, as it does not require the use of a heart-lung machine. In current fatality and paravalvular leakage study, TAVR was associated to a reduced risk of acute renal damage and reduced hospitalization.

A few advocates believe that actual assessment is between MT and TAVR is required, although additional randomized prospective designed trials studies are required. It'll be particularly imperative for treating low-risk aortic stenosis patients with surgery or intervention. The outcomes of various researches associated to this topic might change how a cardiac department handles aortic valvular disease management for patients.

---

Department of Pharmacy, Osmania University, Telangana, India.

Correspondence: Praveen Kumar, Department of Pharmacy, Osmania University, Telangana, India. Telephone: 8498811303, e-mail: boinipk@gmail.com

Received: September 07, 2021, Accepted: September 12, 2021, Published: September 17, 2021

---



This open-access article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (CC BY-NC) (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits reuse, distribution and reproduction of the article, provided that the original work is properly cited and the reuse is restricted to noncommercial purposes. For commercial reuse, contact [reprints@pulsus.com](mailto:reprints@pulsus.com)