Multi-environment evaluation and genotype x environment interaction analysis of sorghum [sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench] genotypes in highland areas of ethiopia
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INTRODUCTION

Sorghum is a drought tolerant C4 tropical crop with broad agro-ecological adaptations such as poor soil fertility and hot temperature conditions which makes this crop unique from other cereal crops. It is grown in all regions of Ethiopia between 400m and 2500m altitude. According to Gorfu and Ahmed sorghum is cultivated in dry lowland, intermediate and highland agro-ecological zones of Ethiopia. Most of the highland agro-ecological sorghum growing areas of the country are characterized by high altitude (more than 1900 masl), high annual rainfall (~1000 mm) and low temperature [1].

Globally, sorghum is the fifth most important cereal crop after rice, maize, wheat and barley and its production is estimated to be 62.3 million tons from 42 million hectares of land. Whereas, in Ethiopia it ranks third in area production constraint (more than 1900 masl), high annual rainfall (~1000 mm) and low temperature[1].

Exploitation of genetic variability is the most important tool in plant breeding and this has to be inferred from phenotypic expressions. Phenotype refers to physical appearance or discernible trait of an individual which is dependent on expression of a genotype in environments or the physical or visible characteristics resulting from the interaction between the genetic makeup and the environment. Phenotypes can be observed, measured, classified, or counted. Gene expression is subjected to modification by the environment, therefore genotypic expression of the phenotype is environmentally dependent. The consequences of the phenotypic variation depend largely on the environment. This variation is further complicated by the fact that all genotypes do not react in similar way to change in environment. If relative performance of genotypes is dissimilar in different environments, then Genotype by Environment (G×E) interaction becomes a serious constraint on the efficiency of plant breeding process [2].

Despite its importance, the current rate of yield increase and genetic gain in sorghum is inadequate to meet the ever-increasing population. Even though a number of biotic and abiotic stresses are limiting factors for productivity gains, leaf and gain diseases are considered as one of the major biotic factors hindering sorghum productivity in the highland and intermediate areas of Ethiopia. In addition, lack of suitable, diseases and striga tolerant and stable varieties across diverse environments is a challenge of sorghum production in the highland and intermediate parts of the country. In order to curve these production constraints and challenges, emphasis has been given by sorghum breeders and pathologists to develop sorghum varieties which are tolerant/resistant to leaf and grain diseases and widely adapted. Hence, developing of tolerant/resistant varieties that can withstand a wide array of stresses through introgression of resistant traits are the strategies which have been implemented in the sorghum breeding in Ethiopia.
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major challenge to crop improvement [5]. To reduce GxE interaction problem, trials are usually tested over wide environments to confirm that the selected genotypes have a high and stable performance over diverse range of environments. Varieties with stable yield performance cross range of environments is one of the challenges facing plant breeders in generating broadly adapted varieties with superior yield performance.

Different statistical models have been used to estimate the GxE interactions component including the classical analysis of variance (ANOVA), Various regression models, univariate stability analysis and multivariate analysis. Additive Main effect and Multiplicative Interaction (AMMI) model can also be used to quantify stability of the genotypes across locations using the Interaction Principal Component Analysis (IPCA). Crosa stated that the AMMI model proved to be a powerful tool in analyzing GxE interaction patterns. Moreover, the Genotype plus Genotype by Environment Interaction (GGE) biplot model is an important model to analyze multi-environment trial data and to interpret complex GxE interactions (Yan, 2001). It can effectively differentiate and display the interaction pattern graphically and also identifying ‘which-win-where’ and delineation of mega-environments among the testing sites. GGE biplot analysis partitions G +GxE into principal components through singular value decomposition of environmental centered yield data [6,7].

In Ethiopia, various researchers have analyzed the nature of GxE interaction effects of sorghum genotypes performance across different sorghum growing agro-ecologies and they reported the existence of high GxE interaction and limited the release of widely adapted sorghum varieties. Many research institutions have been conducting research to identify superior sorghum varieties for better yield performance and wide adaptability for different agro-ecologies of Ethiopia. In line with this, the national and regional sorghum improvement programs have released more than 60 improved sorghum varieties, among these 10 varieties were released for the highland areas of Ethiopia. However, these varieties did not meet the demands of the farmers and thus there is a need to develop varieties that could meet the preference of the farmers. Furthermore, quantifying the nature and extent of GxE interaction of sorghum genotypes is valuable to determine superior and stable variety in highland areas of Ethiopia. However, there is no sufficient information on GxE interaction of highland sorghum genotypes developed by the national research program. Hence, the objectives of the experiments were to study the nature and the magnitude of GxE interaction and to identify widely adapted and stable highland sorghum genotypes using various statistical methods.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Description of the Study Area

The study areas are found in the main highland sorghum growing agro-ecologies of Ethiopia. These locations include Negele Ars, Haramaya, Kulumsa, Ambo and Tongo. Evaluation of sorghum genotypes were conducted from 2009 to 2013 in fourteen environments (location-year combinations). The location year combinations include Negele-Arsi from 2009-2013 (5 environments), Kulumsa from 2009-2013 (5 environments), Ambo 2010 and 2011 (2 environments), Haramaya in 2010 (1 environment) and Tongo in 2013 (environment) which all trials were conducted in main cropping season. The detailed description, coordinates and agro-ecological features of the testing sites are presented in Table 1.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Altitude in m.a.s.l</th>
<th>Soil type</th>
<th>Annual average rainfall (mm)</th>
<th>Annual average minimum To (°C)</th>
<th>Annual average maximum To (°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Negele Ars</td>
<td>1947</td>
<td>Andosol</td>
<td>915</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kulumsa</td>
<td>2367</td>
<td>Clay</td>
<td>840</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambo</td>
<td>2101</td>
<td>Vertisols</td>
<td>1018</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haramaya</td>
<td>2047</td>
<td>Sandy clay loam</td>
<td>1260</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 presents planting materials and their brief descriptions. The materials for these experiments consisted of twenty-one advanced sorghum genotypes from the pedigree breeding of national sorghum research program based at Melkassa Agricultural Research Center (MARC). These genotypes were generated by crossing and then subsequent selection of the derived segregating generation until they fixed at F6 generation. Subsequent evaluation of the fixed lines has been conducted as preliminary and national variety trials across locations and years. These genotypes were developed for highland sorghum growing areas and we used the standard check Chelenko which was released in 2009 by MARC for highland sorghum growing areas of Ethiopia.

Experimental Design and Trial Management

The experiment was laid out using Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) replicated three times across all locations and years. Each experimental plot area consisted of 2 rows of 5m length with 0.75m spacing between rows (inter-row spacing) and 0.20 m between plants (intra-row spacing). The total area of each plot had a size of 7.5 m2. As per the recommendation for high land sorghum productions areas of Ethiopia, Diammonium Phosphate (DAP) and Urea fertilizers were applied at the rate of 100 kg/ha for each fertilizer type. DAP was applied at the time of seed sowing and well-disturbed with the soil to avoid direct contact with the seed and Urea was applied as side dressing after 35-40 days of seedling emergence (knee height stage). Thinning was conducted after three weeks of planting to maintain the space between plants and to balance the plant density. Other crop management practices were applied following the recommended practices [8-10].

Statistical Analysis Methods

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was carried out for each environment (location-year combinations) to check whether significance variation was observed among the tested genotypes. This was conducted before combined analysis of variance and other multivariate analysis of GxE interaction across the test environments. Furthermore, homogeneity of variance tests (Bartlett’s test) were conducted to determine if data from individual environments could be pooled to conduct a combined ANOVA across environments to analyze GxE interactions. The environments were considered as random and genotypes as fixed effects. The sources of variation were put into blocks, treatments and error terms in individual environment analysis of variance. In combined analysis of variance, the treatment effect was further partitioned into three components: G, E and GxE effects. According to Ding the following linear model was fitted for combined ANOVA for this experiment.

The combined ANOVA method sufficiently identified GxE interaction as a significant source of variation but it is not able to explore the nature of GxE interaction which could not show the true performance of genotypes in certain environments. Combined ANOVA determines only if GxE interaction is a significant source of variation or not and estimates it but does not give clear information about the patterns of genotypes or environments that give rise to the interaction. Hence, this calls for to explore further other methods like AMMI and GGE biplot. Thus, the combined data was also analysed using AMMI and GGE model that further divides GxE into IPCA components. Therefore, the AMMI and GGE model analysis had partitioned the GxE into the first two significant IPCAs [11]. AMMI model was fitted for the grain yield mean data (ton/ha) for each environment (location-year combinations). We used Genstat17thed statistical analysis software package to analyse the data.
GxE interaction analysis was also conducted by GGE biplot which uses Singular Table 5. Combined ANOVA for grain yield (kg/ha) of twenty-two sorghum genotypes evaluated across fourteen environments from 2009-2013 main cropping season [12-15].

Value Decomposition (SVD) to divide genotype plus genotype by environment interaction into two or more principal components. Each principal component consisted of a set of genotype scores multiplied by a set of environment scores to produce a two-dimensional biplot. In GGE interaction biplots was considered together and to accomplish this GGE effects is separated out from the observed mean and eventually the model becomes as $Y=\mu+\alpha \beta+\xi+\eta\xi j$. The genotype plus GxE interaction effect was divided into multiplicative terms using SVD. The model was computed based on Singular Value Decomposition (SVD) of first two principal components as:

$$Y_{ij}=\mu+\alpha \beta_i+\xi_i+\eta_i \xi j$$

Where $\lambda_1$ and $\lambda_2$ are the singular values of the first and second highest principal components, PC1 and PC2, respectively; $\xi_1$ and $\xi_2$ are the eigenvectors of genotype I for PC1 and PC2, respectively, and $\eta_1$ and $\eta_2$ are the eigenvectors of environment j for PC1 and PC2, respectively [16-19].

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Mean Performance of Test Genotypes

Table 4 shows the mean grain yield performance of 22 test genotypes including one standard check across the highland representative testing sites (for the period of 2009-2013. The overall mean grain yield all genotypes across all 14 environments was 3051.5 kg/ha with a range 2170.0 to 3517.5 kg/ha. Comparing the test genotypes with the standard check variety Chelenko, seven genotypes had performed better than the check with a grain yield advantage which ranged from 5.7% to 14.3% (Table 4). The genotype with a code 2006AN7010 gave the highest mean grain yield (3517.2 kg/ha) performance across all the test environments. Furthermore, this genotype 2006AN7010 and another genotype 2006AN7011 showed a yield advantage of 14.3% and 11.0% respectively over the check. These genotypes also showed good heat exertion, grain color and compactness over the standard check Chelenko (data not shown) which all are a trait preferred by sorghum growing farmers [20].

Regarding the environment response, the highest mean grain yield performance was obtained at Negelle Arsi in 2009 and 2012 with 7718.0 kg/ha and 7299.0 kg/ha respectively. But the lowest mean grain yield (215.0 kg/ha) was recorded in 2010 at Ambo. There is a rank change of grain yield advantage which ranged from 5.7% to 14.3% (Table 4).

The combined analysis of variance partitioned the sources of variation into components for grain yield of twenty-two sorghum genotypes evaluated in 14 environments which is presented in Table 5. The results showed that there were highly significant (P<0.001) differences among genotypes, environments and GxE interaction (Table 5). The proportion of the variability accounted for by the environment, genotype and GxE interaction contribution of each sources of variation varies enormously with the largest sources of variation is attributed to environment (66.95%) followed by GxE (22.82%). However, genotype sources of variation contributed the least (4.24%) and the residual is about (5.59%). Large proportions of variability explained by environmental effects obviously indicate that the larger contribution of the environmental effects on the sorghum performance.

The significant effect of environments was due to their variation in rainfall amount and seasonal distribution, temperature and soil type. This indicated that the environments contribution for performance of genotypes accounted for larger proportion. The larger proportion of environmental variation dictates that genotypes need to be tested for their specific adaptation and commercial release. In addition, the presence of highly significant GxE component of variance showed that the performance of the genotypes differs across each target environments. Similarly, there was a greater grain yield variation among test genotypes across environments due to inherent genetic variation of genotypes coupled with variation in amount, pattern and distribution of rainfall. Moreover, the environmental variation is due to soil and year to year climate variation. In line with this, reported that significant variation observed among the performance of genotypes, environment and GxE interaction effects for the low land adapted sorghum genotypes. Since the combined analysis of variance only depicts whether the GxE interaction component is significant or not, further analysis to identify the stable and widely adapted genotypes is required. In this case, we analyzed the data using AMMI and GGE biplot.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of Variation</th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>SS</th>
<th>MS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Genotype</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1E+08</td>
<td>4939212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environment</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1.6E+09</td>
<td>125834067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GxE</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>5.6E+08</td>
<td>2042411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replicate/Environment</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>9885064</td>
<td>353038</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 Combined ANOVA for grain yield (kg/ha) of twenty-two sorghum genotypes evaluated across fourteen environments from 2009-2013 main cropping season.
AMMI analysis

Table 6 shows the combined AMMI model ANOVA of the twenty-two genotypes across fourteen environments for grain yield (kg/ha). The ANOVA revealed highly significant variation (p<0.001) for the environments, genotypes and GxE components. The total percentage of variation which has been explained by the model was 94.01% for treatments and 5.59% for error. The greater contribution of the treatments than the error indicates the reliability of this multi-environment experiment (Table 6). The variation which was accounted for by environments, genotype and GxE was 71.21%, 4.52% and 24.27%, respectively. As stated earlier, the high percentage of the environment variation is an indication that the major factor that affects grain yield performance of sorghum in highland areas of Ethiopia is the environment effect. Similar results have been reported for different sorghum genotypes evaluated in various environments. In the AMMI ANOVA, the GxE source of variation was further partitioned by IPCA. The Gollob F-test was used to estimate significant of the GxE components. The number of IPCA axis to be retained is identified by testing the mean square of each axis with the estimate of error through the F-statistic. The result of the test revealed that the first two IPCA are significant at 0.001 probability level, suggesting retaining of only the first two interactions IPCA axes in the model. Therefore, the best fit AMMI model for this multi-environment yield trial data was AMMI-2.

The interaction principal component 1 (IPCA1) plotted in the x-axis and the interaction principal component 2 (IPCA2) plotted in the y axis revealed that the first IPCA captured 28.92% of the total interaction sum of squares, while the second IPCA explained 16.77% of the interaction sum of squares. Also recommended that the most accurate model for AMMI can be predicted by using the first two IPCAs. In the current study the first two IPCAs accounted for a total of 45.69% of the interaction with 64 degrees of freedom. This shows that the GxE interaction of IPCAs accounted for a total of 45.69% of the interaction sum of squares. Also recommended that the most accurate model for AMMI can be predicted by using the first two IPCAs. In the current study the first two IPCAs accounted for a total of 45.69% of the interaction with 64 degrees of freedom. This shows that the GxE interaction of IPCAs accounted for a total of 45.69% of the interaction sum of squares.

Table 4 AMMI GxE interaction analysis of variance of grain yield (kg/ha) of sorghum genotypes evaluated in fourteen environments from 2009-2013 main cropping season in Ethiopia.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>SS</th>
<th>MS</th>
<th>%Total</th>
<th>%Treatmen t</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>923</td>
<td>24000000000</td>
<td>2647395</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatments</td>
<td>307</td>
<td>2300000000</td>
<td>7482555</td>
<td>94.01</td>
<td>94.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genotypes</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>100000000</td>
<td>4939212</td>
<td>4.52</td>
<td>4.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environments</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>160000000</td>
<td>1.3E+08</td>
<td>71.21</td>
<td>71.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Block</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>9885063</td>
<td>353038</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interactions</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>560000000</td>
<td>2042411</td>
<td>24.27</td>
<td>24.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPCA 1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>160000000</td>
<td>4886161</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPCA 2</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>94000000</td>
<td>3016289</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residuals</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>300000000</td>
<td>1448946</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The AMMI1 biplot displays the means of the main effects of grain yield on the abscissa and IPCA1 values as the ordinates. Hence, genotypes or environments that laid on a vertical line have similar means and those that laid on a horizontal line have similar interaction patterns. The biplot in Figure 1 shows seven of the fourteen environments below average performance and unsuitable to the performance of some of the total genotypes compared to the rest seven environments. The remaining seven environments i.e., Negele-Arsi 2009 and Negele-Arsi 2013, Ambo 2011, Kulumsa 2013, Negele-Arsi 2012, Haramaya University 2010 and Negele-Arsi 2011 have the highest responses and are favorable to the performance of almost all of the genotypes. Similar results for other genotypes in different agro-ecologies has been reported by. AMMI-2 biplot displays the pattern of the first two IPCAs of the interaction effects and helps for visual interpretation of the GxE interaction patterns and determine genotypes or environments that reveal small and large interaction effects. Moreover, in AMMI-2 biplot, environments fall into three sections based on the length of spoke (Figure 2). Among the environments Negele-Arsi 2010, Negele-Arsi 2011, Kulumsa 2009, Kulumsa 2010, Kulumsa 2011, Kulumsa 2013 and Ambo 2010 have very short spoke where as Kulumsa 2012, Ambo 2011, Negele-Arsi 2012 and 2013 have short spokes. They do not exert strong interaction but the environments Haramaya University 2010, Negele-Arsi 2009 and Tongo 2013 have long spokes and hence show the most discriminating environments. In a similar fashion, in AMMI-2 biplot the genotypes G19, G8, G17, G13, G3 and G12 are the best or poorest genotypes in some or all environments because they are farthest from the origin where as the best genotype is G12 with respect to the best enhancing environment Negele-Arsi 2013 and the poor genotype is G3 due to its value below average value.

Figure 1) AMMI 1 biplot showing the grain yield performance of sorghum genotypes evaluated across fourteen environments.

On the other hand, the genotypes, G6, G2, G7, G22, G20, G5, G15, G11, G18, and G21 were close to the origin and therefore were less/non-sensitive
to environmental interaction. However, genotype G15, G5, G11 and G18 were low yielding due to below average grain yield (Figure 2).

Negele-Arsi, Negele-Arsi with winning genotype 12, the third mega-environment contained Kulumsa, Kulumsa with winning genotype 21, and on the other hand the fourth mega-environment contained only two environments of Kulumsa and Kulumsa with winning genotype 20 meaning in the future, costs of multi-environment trials will be reduced by placing that effect into account. The GGE have much information which validates conducive environment for testing and favorable genotypes for identification and recommendation, there was effective testing of environments and genotypes based on the mean performance and stability across environments which is valuable required information for a crop breeder.

Mean Performance and Stability of genotypes using GGE biplot

The average environment coordinate (AEC) view of the GGE biplot: The average tester coordinate (ATC) group genotypes with above average mean from below average means. Therefore, genotypes with above average means were 14, 10, 7, 2, 22, 19, 6, 17, 13, 20, 21 and 12 while 15, 4, 3, 18, 8, 11, 9, 1, 16 and 5 were genotypes which had below average mean performance (Figure 4). The shorter the genotype vector is, the more stable it is than others. Hence, among tested genotypes 13 and 12 were identified as high yielder and stable genotype.

Comparison biplot of fourteen test environments: The average environments coordinate (AEC) is a line that pass through the average environment (represented by small circle) and biplot origin. A test environment that has a small angle with the AEC is more representative of other test environments (Yan et al., 2000 and Yan et al., 2006). Therefore, Kulumsa 2011 was more representative testing environment (Figure 5, 6).

An ideal genotype should have high mean grain yield performance across environments. It is the one which is close or at the center of the concentric circle, and is also a genotype to be on average environmental coordinate (AEC) on positive direction and has vector length equal to the longest vector of the genotype and designated by an arrow pointed to it. The biplot showed that genotype 21 is the most ideal genotype.

GGE Biplot Analysis

Stability analysis of the genotypes based on their IPCA scores using the GGE biplot analysis is shown in Figure 3 and Figure 4. The polygon of lines in Figure 3 is made by connecting vertex genotypes, by connecting straight lines and rest of genotypes fall inside the polygon. The vertex genotypes were G8, G20, G17, G3 and G15 (Figure 3). These genotypes are either the best or poorest genotypes in some or all environments because they are farthest from the origin.

In the current study, the GGE biplot analysis of the twenty-two sorghum genotypes tested at fourteen environments showed that the first two principal components explained 49.32 % of the total variance (Figure 3). Genotypes close to the origin are not sensitive to the environments and those distant from the origin are sensitive to environments and have large interactions. Accordingly, statistically stable genotypes and locations were situated close to the biplot origin, with scores practically zero for the two-interaction axis (IPCA1 and IPCA2). Determining of mega-environments (Figure 3) was also studied and information on which-won-where was also showed in the graph generated. The mega-environment identification contained a condition whereby one or more environments with similar characteristics were partitioned into one large environment. Yan explained mega-environments as a group of locations or environments that constantly share the same best variety. This permits the researcher to have specific and valid explanation to recommend the candidate genotypes which are good for that specific environment.

Which-won-where determined best winner genotypes for the mega-environment. This also means the genotypes can be evaluated in those few mega-environments and still good yield data results can be found. The first mega environment contained environments of HU 2010, Negele-Arsi 2012 with winning genotype 6, were grouped into one environment, the second Mega-environment contained Negele-Arsi, Tongo, Negele-Arsi, Ambo,
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**Figure 3** The which-where view of the GGE biplot to show which sorghum genotypes performed best in which environments (mega-environment identification).

**Figure 4** GGE ranking biplot indicates the mean grain yield and stability performance of 22 evaluated sorghum genotypes.

**Figure 5** GGE-biplot showing a comparison of 14 testing environments with in ideal environment for grain yield (kg/ha).

**Figure 6** GGE-biplot showing a comparison of all genotypes with in ideal genotypes for grain yield (kg/ha).

**CONCLUSION**

Sorghum is very important crop in Ethiopia for food and feed. Highland adapted genotype responses across testing environments is crucial for identifying specific and widely adapted genotypes and identifying suitable environments for future research. Choosing genotypes in various testing environments and identifying yield stability of sorghum genotypes is very essential.

Twenty-two sorghum genotypes including standard check were evaluated in fourteen high land environments from 2. Various statistical models were used to model the GxE interaction sources of variation in highland testing environments. Combined analysis of variance showed highly significant variation for genotypes, environments and GxE interaction suggesting further analysis of the GxE interaction sources of variation. The AMMI analysis for the additive main effect and multiplicative interaction effect showed significant difference for genotype, environment and genotype by environment interaction. The first interaction principal component (IPCA 1) contributed major part of the interaction 28.92% and the second interaction principal component explained additional 16.77% and both together explained 45.69% of the genotype by environment interaction. Additive Main effects and Multiplicative Interaction and Genotype Plus Genotype by Environment Interaction (GGE) biplot were used to determine high yielder and stable genotypes across environments. Genotype 2006 AN 7010 and 2006 AN 7011 were high yielder and stability, and therefore, are the promising ones, while the low yielder and stable genotype was genotype 2006 AN 7017 across the test environments. The GGE biplot showed that genotype 96 AN 4020 is the ideal genotype. Test environment Kulumsa 2011 revealed good discriminating ability and representativeness, making it the most ideal environment which means it provided more information on the performance of the genotypes.
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