

On KC spaces and Wallman compactification

Karim Belaid*

Karim Belaid. On KC spaces and Wallman compactification. J Pure Appl Math. 2025;9(2):1-4.

ABSTRACT

This article deals with a characterization of spaces with a KC Wallman compactification. A description of a such space is given. We also establish

necessary and sufficient conditions on particular topological spaces to have their Wallman compactification KC-spaces.

Keywords: Clinical; Biochemical; Therapeutic; Goats

INTRODUCTION

A topological space is called a KC-space if every compact set of it is closed. Since Hausdorff spaces are KC-spaces and every KC-space is a T_1 -space, it is natural to see the KC property as a separation axiom.

In Hajek proved that if A is a compact set of the Wallman compactification of a T_3 -space X then $A \cap X$ is a closed set of X .

The characterization of spaces such that their Wallman compactification satisfy a given separation axiom was a subject of several recent research papers (see for example) [1-6]. Hence it is natural to wonder what conditions should check a topological space to have its Wallman compactification a KC-space

The first section of this paper contains some remarks and properties of the Wallman compactification of a T_1 -space.

The second section deals with a characterization of spaces such that their Wallman compactification are KC-spaces.

In the third section (resp. fourth section) we establish a necessary and sufficient conditions on a w -space (resp. space with finite Wallman remainder) in order to have its Wallman compactification a KC-space.

In section five we give some remarks about spaces such that their one-point compactification is KC-space.

Some remarks about the Wallman compactification First, recall that the points of the Wallman compactification wX of a T_1 -space X are the closed ultrafilters on X [7,8]. The base for the open sets of a topology on wX is $\{U^* \mid U \text{ is an open set of } X\}$ with $U^* = \{F \in wX \mid F \subseteq U \text{ for some } F \text{ in } \mathcal{F}\}$, and $\{D^* \mid D \text{ is a closed set of } X\}$ with $D^* = \{F \in wX \mid D \subseteq F\}$ is a base for closed sets of the topology on the Wallman compactification wX .

In authors called an open cover U of a topological space X a good covering (g-covering, for short) of X if it has a finite subcover, and U is called a bad covering (b-covering, for short) of X if it is not a g-covering [2].

The following remarks are frequently useful.

Remarks 1.1: Let X be a non-compact T_1 -space.

If U is a g-covering of X and $F \in wX \setminus X$ then there exists $U \in U$ such that $F \in U^*$. In fact, since U is a g-covering of X , there exists a finite subcollection U' of U such that $X = \bigcup \{U : U \in U'\}$. Hence $wX = \bigcup \{U^* : U \in U'\}$. Thus, there exists $U \in U'$ such that $F \in U^*$.

Let $F \in wX \setminus X$. The collection U of open sets U of X such that $U^* \subseteq wX \setminus \{F\}$ is a b-covering of X and $wX \setminus U^* = \{F\}$.

We need the following definition to describe a particular class of b-covering of a T_1 -space.

Definition 1.2: Let X be a non-compact T_1 -space. A b-covering U of X is called a 1-b-covering of X if for each two open sets O_1 and O_2 of X such that $U \cup \{O_1, O_2\}$ is a g-covering of X , either $U \cup \{O_1\}$ or $U \cup \{O_2\}$ is a g-covering of X .

Proposition 1.3: Let X be a non-compact T_1 -space. An open cover U of X is a 1-b-covering of X if and only if there exists $F \in wX \setminus X$ such that $wX \setminus (U^* : U \in U) = \{F\}$.

Proof: Necessary condition: That $wX \setminus (U^* : U \in U) \neq \emptyset$ follows immediately from the fact that U is a 1-b-covering of X . Suppose that there exist two distinct elements $F, G \in wX \setminus X$ such that $\{F, G\} \subseteq wX \setminus (U^* : U \in U)$. Let O_1 be an open set of X such that $F \in O_1^*$ and $G \notin O_1^*$. So there exists a closed set $F \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $F \subseteq O_1$ and $F \in G$. Set $O_2 = X \setminus F$. Since $O_1 \cup O_2 = X$, $\{O_1, O_2\}$ is a g-covering of X . Hence $U \cup \{O_1, O_2\}$ is a g-covering of X , contradicting the fact that U of X is a 1-b-covering of X , since either $U \cup \{O_1\}$ and $U \cup \{O_2\}$ are a b-covering of X . Thus $wX \setminus (U^* : U \in U)$ is a singleton.

Sufficient condition: Let O_1 and O_2 be two open sets of X such that $U \cup \{O_1, O_2\}$ is a g-covering of X . Then $F \in O_1^* \cup O_2^*$; so that either $U \cup \{O_1\}$ or $U \cup \{O_2\}$ is a g-covering of X . Therefore, U of X is a 1-b-covering of X .

Let X be a non-compact T_1 -space and U be a 1-b-covering of X . The element F of $wX \setminus X$ such that $\{F\} = wX \setminus (U^* : U \in U)$, will be denoted by F_U .

The following corollaries are immediate consequences of proposition 1.3 and remarks 1.1.

Corollary 1.4: Let X be a non-compact T_1 -space. For all $F \in wX \setminus X$, there exists a 1-b-covering U of X such that $F = F_U$.

Corollary 1.5: Let X be a non-compact T_1 -space. For all subset K of $wX \setminus X$, there exists an ordered pair (A, U) with A is a subset of X and U is a collection of 1-b-covering of X such that $K = A \cup \{F_U \mid U \in U\}$.

Remark 1.6: Let U and V be two 1-b-covering of a non-compact T_1 -space X such that $F_U = F_V$. An open set O of X is such that $U \cup \{O\}$ is a g-covering of X if and only if $V \cup \{O\}$ is a g-covering of X .

Definition 1.7. Let X be a non-compact T_1 -space. Two 1-b-covering U and V of X are said w -equivalent, and denoted $U \sim_w V$ if for each open set O of X , $U \cup \{O\}$ is a g-covering of X if and only if $V \cup \{O\}$ is a g-covering of X . Two non w -equivalent 1-b-covering U and V of X will be denoted by $U \not\sim_w V$.

Department of Mathematics, ESST Tunis, 5 Avenue Taha Hussein, Tunis B.P. 56, Bab Mnara 1008, Tunisia

Correspondence: Karim Belaid, Department of Mathematics, ESST Tunis, 5 Avenue Taha Hussein, Tunis B.P. 56, Bab Mnara 1008, Tunisia; E-mail: belaid412@yahoo.fr

Received: 06-Jun-2024, Manuscript No. PULJPAM-24-7064; **Editor assigned:** 10-Jun-2024, PreQC No. PULJPAM-24-7064 (PQ); **Reviewed:** 24-Jun-2024, QC No. PULJPAM-24-7064; **Revised:** 05-Apr-2025, Manuscript No. PULJPAM-24-7064 (R); **Published:** 12-Apr-2025, DOI: 10.37532/2752-8081.25.9(2).01-04



This open-access article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (CC BY-NC) (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits reuse, distribution and reproduction of the article, provided that the original work is properly cited and the reuse is restricted to noncommercial purposes. For commercial reuse, contact reprints@pulsus.com

LITERATURE REVIEW

Proposition 1.8: Let U and V be two 1-b-covering of a non-compact T_1 -space X . Then U and V are w -equivalent if and only if $wX \setminus U (U^*: U \in U) = wX \setminus U (V^*: V \in V)$.

Wallman compactification and KC-spaces

Our goal in the present section is to give necessary and sufficient conditions on a T_1 -space in order to have its Wallman compactification a KC-space. First, we need the following definition.

Definition 2.1: Let X be a T_1 -space, A be a subset of X and U be a collection of 1-b-covering of X . The ordered pair (A, U) is said to be w -closed if the following properties hold.

A is a closed set of X .

For all $x \in X \setminus A$, there exists an open set O of X such that $x \in O$ and $U \cap \{O\}$ is a b-covering of X , for each $U \in U$.

If V is a 1-b-covering of X such that $U \sim_w V$, for each $U \in U$, then there exists an open set O of X such that $V \cup \{O\}$ is a 1-g-covering V of X , $O \cap A = \emptyset$ and $U \cup \{O\}$ is a 1-b-covering of X , for each $U \in U$.

Proposition 2.2: Let X be a T_1 -space. A subset K of wX is closed if and only if $(K \cap X, U)$ is w -closed with U is a collection of 1-b-covering of X such that $K \cap (wX \setminus X) = \{FU \mid U \in U\}$.

Proof: Necessary condition.

Since K is a closed set of wX , $K \cap X$ is a closed set of X .

Let $x \in X \setminus (K \cap X)$. Since K is a closed set of wX , there exists an open set O of X such that $x \in O$ and $O^* \cap K = \emptyset$. Hence $FU \in O^*$, for each $U \in U$. Thus $U \cup \{O\}$ is a b-covering of X , for each $U \in U$.

Let V be a 1-b-covering V of X such that $U \sim_w V$, for each $U \in U$. Then $FV \in K$. Since K is a closed set of wX , there exists an open set O of X such that $FV \in O^*$ and $O^* \cap K = \emptyset$. Hence $O \cap (K \cap X) = \emptyset$ and $FU \in O^*$, for each $U \in U$. Thus $U \cup \{O\}$ is a 1-b-covering of X , for each $U \in U$.

Therefore $(K \cap X, U)$ is w -closed. Sufficient condition. Let K be a subset of wX such that $(K \cap X, U)$ is w -closed with U is a collection of 1-b-covering of X such that $K \cap (wX \setminus X) = \{FU \mid U \in U\}$.

Let $x \in X \setminus (K \cap X)$. Since $(K \cap X, U)$ is w -closed, $K \cap X$ is a closed set of X , so there exists an open set O of X such that $x \in O$ and $O \cap (K \cap X) = \emptyset$, and there exists an open set O' of X such that $x \in O'$ and $U \cup \{O'\}$ is a b-covering of X , for each $U \in U$. Hence $O^* \cap (K \cap (wX \setminus X)) = \emptyset$. Thus $(O \cap O')^*$ is an open neighborhood of x such that $(O \cap O')^* \cap K = \emptyset$.

Let $F \in (wX \setminus X) \cap (wX \setminus K)$. Then there exists a b-covering V of X such that $F = FV$ and $U \sim_w V$, for each $U \in U$. Since $(K \cap X, U)$ is w -closed, then there exists an open set O of X such that $V \cup \{O\}$ is a g-covering of X , $O \cap (K \cap X) = \emptyset$ and $U \cup \{O\}$ is a 1-b-covering of X , for each $U \in U$. Hence $FV \in O^*$, $O^* \cap (K \cap X) = \emptyset$ and $FU \in O^*$, for each $U \in U$. Thus O is an open neighborhood of x such that $O^* \cap K = \emptyset$. Therefore, K is a closed set of wX .

We need the following definitions:

Definition 2.3: Let X be a T_1 -space, A be a subset of X and U be a collection of 1-b-covering of X .

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A collection O of open sets X is said to be a w -cover of the ordered pair (A, U) if $A \subseteq \bigcup \{O : O \in O\}$ and for each $U \in U$ there exists $O \in O$ such that $U \cup \{O\}$ is a g-covering of X .

The ordered pair (A, U) is said to be w -compact if for each w -cover O of (A, U) there exists a finite subcollection O_0 of O such that O' is a w -cover of (A, U) .

Proposition 2.4: Let X be a T_1 -space. A subset K of wX is compact if and only if the ordered pair $(K \cap X, U)$ is w -compact with U is a collection of 1-b-covering such that $K \cap (wX \setminus X) = \{FU \mid U \in U\}$.

Proof: Necessary condition. Let O be a w -cover of $(K \cap X, U)$ with U is a collection of 1-b-covering such that $K \cap (wX \setminus X) = \{FU \mid U \in U\}$. Then $K \cap X \subseteq \bigcup \{O : O \in O\}$ and for each $U \in U$ there exists $O \in O$ such that $U \cup \{O\}$ is a g-covering of X , so that $FU \in O^*$. Since for each $F \in K \cap (wX \setminus X)$, there exists $U \in U$ such that $F = FU$, $K \subseteq \bigcup \{O^* : O \in O\}$. Then there exists a finite subset O_0 of O such that $K \subseteq \bigcup \{O^* : O \in O_0\}$, since K is compact. Hence $K \cap X \subseteq \bigcup \{O : O \in O_0\}$ and for each $U \in U$ there exists $O \in O_0$ such that $U \cup \{O\}$ is a g-covering of X . Thus $(K \cap X, U)$ is w -compact.

Sufficient condition. Let K be a subset of X such that $(K \cap X, U)$ is w -compact with U is a collection of 1-b-covering such that $K \cap (wX \setminus X) = \{FU \mid U \in U\}$, and O be a collection of open sets of X such that $K \subseteq \bigcup \{O^* : O \in O\}$. Hence $K \cap X \subseteq \bigcup \{O : O \in O\}$ and for each $F \in (wX \setminus K) \cap (wX \setminus X)$ there exists $O \in O$ such that $F \in O^*$, so that $U \cup \{O\}$ is a g-covering of X with U is a 1-b-covering of X such that $FU = F$. Thus for each 1-b-covering U of X such that $FU = F$, $U \cup \{O\}$ is a g-covering of X . Then O is a w -cover of the ordered pair $(K \cap X, U)$. Since $(K \cap X, U)$ is w -compact, there exists a finite subset O_0 of O such that O_0 is a w -cover of $(K \cap X, U)$. So $K \cap X \subseteq \bigcup \{O : O \in O_0\}$ and for each $U \in U$ there exists $O \in O_0$ such that $U \cup \{O\}$ is a g-covering of X , it follows that $K \subseteq \bigcup \{O^* : O \in O_0\}$. Therefore, K is a compact set of wX .

Now, we are in position to give a characterization of spaces such that their Wallman compactification is a KC-space.

Proposition 2.5: Let X be a T_1 -space. Then the following statements are equivalent:

wX is a KC-space.

For each subset A of X and each collection U of 1-b-covering of X such that (A, U) is w -compact, (A, U) is w -closed.

Proof: (1) \Rightarrow (2) Let A be a subset of X and U be a collection of 1-b-covering of X such that (A, U) is w -closed. Set $K = A \cup \{FU \in wX \setminus X \mid U \in U\}$. Since (A, U) is w -compact, K is compact, by Proposition 2.4. Hence K is closed. Thus (A, U) is w -closed by Proposition 2.2.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) Let K be a closed set of wX . By Proposition 2.4, $(K \cap X, U)$ is closed with U is a collection of 1-b-covering such that $K \cap (wX \setminus X) = \{FU \mid U \in U\}$. Then $(K \cap X, U)$ is w -compact. Hence $(K \cap X, U)$ is w -compact. Therefore, wX is a KC-space.

Case of w-spaces

The goal of the present section is to give a characterization of w -spaces such that their Wallman compactification are KC-spaces.

First, let us recall that in order to give a characterization of T_1 -spaces such that their Wallman compactification is a Whyburn space, authors of [3] introduced the notion of 1-closed set and a class of w -spaces as follows:

A subset C of a topological space X is called a 1-closed set if every two non-compact closed sets F_1 and F_2 of C meets.

A T_1 -space X is said to be a w -space if for each collection C of non-compact closed sets of X with the FIP there exists a 1-closed set N of X such that $C \cup \{N\}$ has also the FIP.

Authors of proved that every closed ultrafilter of a w -spaces contains 1-closed set, and that spaces with finite Wallman remainder are w -spaces. We adopt notations of, two subsets A and B of a T_1 -space X are said to be of w -intersection nonempty, and we denote $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$, if there exists a non-compact closed set N of X such that $N \subseteq A \cap B$. It is immediate that if $F \in wX \setminus X$, $F \in F$ and O is an open set of X such that $F \in O^*$ then there exists a non-compact closed set F_0 of X such that $F_0 \subseteq F$ and $F_0 \subseteq O$. Then $F \cap O \neq \emptyset$.

The following proposition highlights the very close relationship between covering and 1-closed of w -spaces.

Proposition 3.1: Let X be a w -space and U be a b-covering of X . The following statements are equivalent:

U is a 1-b-covering of X .

There exists a 1-closed set F such that $U \cup \{O\}$ is a g -covering, for each open set O of X such that $O \cap F \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Since U is a 1-b-covering of X , there exists a unique $F \in wX \setminus X$ such that $wX \setminus (U^* : U \in U) = F$. Then there exists a 1-closed set F of X such that $F \in F$, since X is a w -space. Let O be an open set of X such that $O \cap F \neq \emptyset$. Hence $F \in O^*$. Thus $U \cup \{O\}$ is a g -covering of X .

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) Let F be a 1-closed set of X such that $U \cup \{O\}$ is a g -covering of X , for each open set O of X such that $O \cap F \neq \emptyset$. Set F be the unique element of $wX \setminus X$ such that $F \in F$ (that F is unique is immediate from [3]). Since $O \cap F \neq \emptyset$, $F \in O^*$.

Suppose that there exists $U \in U$ such that $F \in U^*$. Since U is a b -covering of X , there exists $G \in wX \setminus X$ such that $G \in wX \setminus (U^* : U \in U)$. Hence $F \neq G$. Thus there exists an open set O of X such that $F \in O^*$ and $G \in O$. Then $F \cap O \neq \emptyset$ and $U \cup \{O\}$ is a b -covering, contradicting hypothesis. So that $F \notin U^*$, for all $U \in U$. Let O_1 and O_2 open sets of X such that $U \cup \{O_1, O_2\}$ is a g -covering of X . Then $U \cup (U^* : U \in U) \cup \{O_1^*, O_2^*\} = X$. Then either $F \in O_1^*$ or $F \in O_2^*$. Without loss of generality, we consider that $F \in O_1^*$. Hence $O_1 \cap F \neq \emptyset$. Thus $U \cup \{O_1\}$ is a g -covering of X . Therefore, U is a 1-b-covering of X .

Now, we are in position to give a characterization of w -spaces such that their Wallman compactification is a KC -space.

Proposition 3.2: Let X be a w -space. Then the following statements are equivalent:

wX is a KC -space.

If A is a subset of X and F is a collection of 1-closed sets of X satisfying the following properties.

If U is an open cover of A such that, for each $F \in F$, there exists $U \in U$ such that $F \cap U \neq \emptyset$, then there exists a finite subcollection U' of U such that U' is a cover of A and, for each $F \in F$, there exists $U \in U'$ such that $U \cap F \neq \emptyset$.

Then

For each $x \in X \setminus A$ there exists an open neighborhood O of x such that $A \cap O = \emptyset$ and $F \cap O \neq \emptyset$, for each $F \in F$.

For each 1-closed set H of X such that $H \cap F = \emptyset$, for each $F \in F$, there exists an open set O of X such that $H \cap O \neq \emptyset$, $A \cap O = \emptyset$ and $F \cap O = \emptyset$, for each $F \in F$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Let A be a subset of X and F be a collection of 1-closed sets of X satisfying the following properties.

If U is an open cover of A such that, for each $F \in F$, there exists $U \in U$ such that $F \cap U \neq \emptyset$, then there exists a finite subcollection U' of U such that U' is a cover of A and, for each $F \in F$, there exists $U \in U'$ such that $U \cap F \neq \emptyset$.

Set $K = A \cup \{F \in wX \setminus X \mid \exists F \in F \text{ and } F \in F\}$. Then K is a compact set of wX . In fact, let V be an open cover of K . Hence V is an open cover of A such that, for all $F \in F$, there exists $V \in V$ such that $F \cap V \neq \emptyset$. Thus there exists a finite subcollection V' of V such that V' is a cover of A and, for each $F \in F$, there exists $V \in V'$ such that $V \cap F \neq \emptyset$. Then V' is a finite subcover of K , so K is compact.

Now, since wX is a KC -space, K is a closed set of wX . Let $x \in X \setminus A$. Then $x \in X \setminus A$. Hence there exists an open neighborhood O of x such that $K \cap O = \emptyset$. Thus $A \cap O = \emptyset$ and $F \cap O \neq \emptyset$, for each $F \in F$.

Let H be a 1-closed set of X such that $H \cap F = \emptyset$, for each $F \in F$. Set H be the element of $wX \setminus X$ such that $H \in H$. Then $H \notin K$. Hence there exists an open set O of X such that $H \in O^*$ and $O^* \cap K = \emptyset$. Thus $H \cap O \neq \emptyset$, $A \cap O = \emptyset$ and $F \cap O = \emptyset$, for each $F \in F$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) Let K be a compact subset of wX . Set $A = K \cap X$ and F a collection of 1-closed sets of X such that for each $F \in K \cap (wX \setminus X)$ there exists $F \in F$ and $F \in F$.

Let U be an open cover of A such that, for each $F \in F$, there exists $U \in U$ such that $F \cap U \neq \emptyset$. Then $\{U^* \mid U \in U\}$ is an open cover of K .

Since K is compact, there exists a finite sub collection U' of U such that $\{U^* \mid U \in U'\}$ is an open cover of K . Hence U' is a cover of A and for each $F \in K \cap (wX \setminus X)$ there exists $U \in U'$ such that $F \in U^*$. Thus for each $F \in F$, there exists $U \in U_0$ such that $U \cap F \neq \emptyset$.

Let $x \in wX \setminus K$. We discuss two cases:

Case 1: $x \in X \setminus K$, so $x \in X \setminus A$. Then there exists an open neighborhood O of x such that $A \cap O = \emptyset$ and $F \cap O = \emptyset$, for each $F \in F$. Hence $O^* \cap K = \emptyset$.

Case 2: $x \in (wX \setminus X) \setminus K$, so there exists $H \in wX \setminus X$ such that $x = H$. Since X is a w -space there exists a 1-closed set such that H such that $H \in H$. Since $H \notin K$, $H \cap F = \emptyset$, for each $F \in F$. Hence there exists an open set O of X such that $H \cap O \neq \emptyset$, $A \cap O = \emptyset$ and $F \cap O = \emptyset$, for each $F \in F$. Thus O^* is an open neighborhood of H such that $O^* \cap K = \emptyset$.

Therefore, K is a closed set of X .

Case of spaces with finite Wallman remainder

The goal of this section is to give a necessary and sufficient conditions on a T_1 -space X with a finite Wallman remainder (that is, $wX \setminus X$ is finite) in order to get its Wallman compactification a KC -space. First recall that, Kovar [5] has proved that a T_1 -space X has a finite Wallman remainder if and only if there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that every family of non-compact pairwise disjoint closed sets of X contains at most n elements, and X has a collection of n pairwise disjoint non-compact closed sets.

The following remarks give relationships between a collection of non-compact pairwise disjoint closed sets and 1-b-covering of a T_1 -space with a finite Wallman remainder. We use notations adopted in the first section.

Remarks 4.1. Let X be a T_1 -space such that $\text{Card}(wX \setminus X) = n$ and F be a collection of n non-compact pairwise disjoint closed sets of X . Then the following statements hold.

For each $F \in wX \setminus X$, there exists a unique $F \in F$ such that $F \in F$.

For each subset K of wX there exist a subset A of X and a subcollection F' of F such that $K = A \cup \{F \in wX \setminus X \mid \exists F \in F', \text{ and } F \in F\}$.

An open cover U of X is a 1-b-covering of X if and only if there exists unique $F_U \in F$ such that $\{F\} = wX \setminus (U^* : U \in U)$ with F is the unique $F \in wX \setminus X$ such that $F_U \in F$.

If U and V are two 1-b-covering of X such that $F_U = F_V$ then $F_U = F_V$.

For each $F \in F$ there exists a 1-b-covering U of X such that $F = F_U$.

Let $A \subseteq X$, $F_0 \subseteq F$ and U be collection of 1-b-covering of X such that $F \in F_0$ if and only if there exists $U \in U$ with $F = F_U$. Then the ordered pairs (A, F') and (A, U) describe the same subset of wX . Hence we can say that an open collection O is a w -cover of (A, F') if O is a w -cover of (A, U) , (A, F') is w -compact if (A, U) is w -compact and (A, F') is w -closed if (A, U) is w -closed.

Proposition 4.2: Let X be a T_1 -space such that $\text{Card}(wX \setminus X) = n$, F be a collection of n non-compact pairwise disjoint closed sets of X and O be a collection of open sets of X . Then for each $A \subseteq X$ and $F_0 \subseteq F$, the following statements are equivalent.

(1) O is a w -cover of (A, F') .

(2) $A \subseteq \bigcup (O : O \in O)$ and for each $F \in F_0$ there exists $O \in O$ such that $O \cap F \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Since O is a w -cover of (A, F') , $A \cup \{F \in wX \setminus X \mid \exists F \in F_0 \text{ and } F \in F\} \subseteq \bigcup (O^* : O \in O)$. Hence $A \subseteq \bigcup (O : O \in O)$ and for each $F \in wX \setminus X$ such that there exists $F \in F_0$ and $F \in F$, there exists $O \in O$ such that $F \in O^*$. Thus there exists $F' \in F$ such that $F' \subseteq O$. So $O \cap F \neq \emptyset$.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) Let O be a collection of open sets of X such that $A \subseteq \bigcup (O : O \in O)$ and for each $F \in F'$ there exists $O \in O$ such that $O \cap F \neq \emptyset$. Then for each $F \in wX \setminus X$ such that $F \in F_0$ and $F \in F$, there exists $O \in O$ such that $F \in O^*$; so that $A \cup \{F \in wX \setminus X \mid \exists F \in F_0 \text{ and } F \in F\} \subseteq \bigcup (O^* : O \in O)$. Therefore, O is a w -cover of (A, F') .

Proposition 4.3: Let X be a T_1 -space such that $\text{Card}(wX \setminus X) = n$, F be a collection of n non-compact pairwise disjoint closed sets of X and O be a collection of open sets of X . Then for each $A \subseteq X$ and $F \cap O \neq \emptyset$, the following statements are equivalent.

(1) (A, F) is w -closed.

(2) A is a closed set of X and for each $F \in F \setminus F'$, there exists an open set O of X such that $F \cap O \neq \emptyset$ and $O \cap A = \emptyset$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Since (A, F) is w -closed, $A \cup \{F \in wX \setminus X \mid \exists F \in F' \text{ and } F \in F\}$ is a closed set of wX . Hence A is a closed set of X and $\text{cl}_wX(A) \setminus X \subseteq \{F \in wX \setminus X \mid \exists F \in F \text{ and } F \in F\}$. Thus for each $F \in wX \setminus X$ such that there exists $F \in F$ with $F \in F \setminus F'$, $F \in \text{cl}_wX(A)$. Then there exists an open set O of X such that $F \in O^*$ and $O \cap A = \emptyset$. So $F \cap O \neq \emptyset$ and $O \cap A = \emptyset$.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) Let A be a closed set of X and $F \cap O \neq \emptyset$ such that for each $F \in F \setminus F'$, there exists an open set O of X such that $F \cap O \neq \emptyset$ and $O \cap A = \emptyset$. Then for $F \in wX \setminus X$ such that there exists $F \in F \setminus F'$ and $F \in F$ then $F \in \text{cl}_wX(A)$. It turns out that $\{F \in wX \setminus X \mid \exists F \in F \setminus F' \text{ and } F \in F\} \subseteq wX \setminus \text{cl}_wX(A)$. Now, since A is a closed set of X , $A \cup \{F \in wX \setminus X \mid \exists F \in F' \text{ and } F \in F\} = \text{cl}_wX(A) \cup G$ with $G \subseteq wX \setminus X$. That G is a closed set of wX is immediate from the fact that $wX \setminus X$ is finite. So $\text{cl}_wX(A) \cup G$ is a closed set of wX . Therefore (A, F) is w -closed.

Now, we are in position to give a characterization of spaces with finite Wallman remainder such that their wallman compactification is a KC -space.

Proposition 4.4: Let X be a T_1 -space such that $\text{Card}(wX \setminus X) = n$ and F be a collection of n non-compact pairwise disjoint closed sets of X . Then the following statements are equivalent.

(1) wX is a KC -space.

(2) For each $F \cap O \neq \emptyset$ and $A \subseteq X$ such that (A, F) is w -compact, (A, F) is w -closed.

Proposition 4.5: Let X be a T_1 -space such that $\text{Card}(wX \setminus X) = n$. Then the following statements are equivalent.

wX is a KC -space.

If A is a subset of X such that $A \cap (X \setminus O)$ is compact for each open neighborhood O of $\bigcup \{F : F \in F\}$ with F is a collection of n disjoint non-compact closed sets of X , then A is closed.

Proof: (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Let A be a subset of X such that $A \cap (X \setminus O)$ is compact for each open neighborhood O of $\bigcup \{F : F \in F\}$ with F is a collection of n disjoint non-compact closed sets of X . Set $K = A \cup (wX \setminus X)$ and let U be a collection of open sets of X such that $K \subseteq \bigcup \{U^* : U \in U\}$. Since for all $F \in wX \setminus X$ there exists $U \in U$ such that $F \in U^*$, there exists a collection of n disjoint non-compact closed sets G of X such that for each $G \in G$ there exists $U_G \in U$ such that $G \subseteq U_G$. Set $O = \bigcup \{U_G : G \in G\}$. Hence $A \cap (X \setminus O)$ is compact. Since $A \cap (X \setminus O) \subseteq \bigcup \{U : U \in U\}$, there exists a finite subcollection U' of U such that $A \cap (X \setminus O) \subseteq \bigcup \{U : U \in U'\}$. Then $A \subseteq \bigcup \{U : U \in U'\} \cup \bigcup \{U_G : G \in G\}$, so K is a compact of X . Since wX is a KC -space, K is a closed set of wX . Therefore, A is a closed set of X .

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) Let K be a compact set of wX . Set $A = K \cap X$ and let O be an open neighborhood of $\bigcup \{F : F \in F\}$ with F is a collection of n disjoint non-compact closed sets of X . Then $wX \setminus X \subseteq O^*$. Let V be a collection of open sets of X such that $A \cap (X \setminus O) \subseteq \bigcup \{V : V \in V\}$. Hence $K \subseteq O^* \cup \bigcup \{V : V \in V\}$. Since K is a compact set of wX , there exists a finite subcollection V' of V such that $K \subseteq O^* \cup \bigcup \{V : V \in V'\}$. Thus $A \cap (X \setminus O) \subseteq \bigcup \{V : V \in V'\}$, so A is compact. Then A is closed set of X and K is a closed set of wX .

Space such that its one-point compactification is a KC -space

It is immediate that if $\text{Card}(wX \setminus X) = 1$ then wX coincide with the one-point compactification. The following result is immediate consequence of Proposition 4.5.

Corollary 5.1. Let X be a T_1 -space such that each two non-compact closed sets meet. Then the following statements are equivalent.

The one-point compactification of X is a KC -space.

If A is a subset of X such that $A \cap (X \setminus O)$ is compact for each open neighborhood O of a non-compact closed sets of X , then A is closed.

In Wilansky proved that the one-point compactification X^* of X is a KC -space if and only if X is a KC -space and for each $S \subseteq X$, if $S \cap K$ is closed, for all closed compact K , then S is closed.

CONCLUSION

Proposition 5.2: Let X be a topological space. Then the following statements are equivalent.

The one-point compactification of X is a KC -space.

X is a KC -space and if A is a subset of X such that $A \cap C$ is compact, for all compact closed set C of X , then A is compact.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) That X is a KC -space is immediate, since compact subsets of X are compact subset of X . Let A be a subset of X such that $A \cap C$ is compact, for each compact closed set C of X . Let U be an open cover of $K = A \cup \{\infty\}$. Hence there exists $U \in U$ such that $\infty \in U$. Thus $X \setminus U$ is a compact closed set of X , so $A \cap (X \setminus U)$ is a compact set. Then K is a compact set of X . Now, since X^* is a KC -space, K is a closed set of X , so that A is a closed set of X .

\Rightarrow (i) Let K be a compact set of X . We discuss two cases.

Case 1: $\infty \notin K$. Then K is a compact set of X . Thus K is a compact closed set of X . Hence K is a closed set of X .

Case 2: $\infty \in K$. Let C be compact closed set of X . Then C is a closed set of X . Hence $K \cap C$ is compact set of X and of X^* . Thus $K \cap X$ is a compact set of X . Since X is a KC -space, $K \cap X$ is a closed set of X . Then K is a closed set of X . Therefore, X is a KC -space.

REFERENCE

1. Belaid K, Dridi L, Echi O. Submaximal and door compactifications. *Topology Appl.* 2011;158:1969-75.
2. Belaid K, Dridi L. I -spaces, nodec spaces and compactifications. *Topology Appl.* 2014;161:196-205.
3. Belaid K, Lazaar S, Nacib S. Whyburn spaces and compactifications.
4. Hajek DW, Jiménez AE. A note on KC Wallman compactifications. 1976;61(1):176-8.
5. Kovár MM. Which topological spaces have a weak reflection in compact spaces?. 1995;36(3):529-36.
6. Künzi HP, McCluskey AE, Richmond TA. Ordered separation axioms and the Wallman ordered compactification. *Publ Math Debrecen* 2008;73:361-77.
7. Wallman H. Lattices and topological spaces. *Ann Math.* 1938;39(1): 112-26.
8. Wilansky A. Between T_1 and T_2 . *Amer Math Monthly.* 1967;74(3):261-6.