Phase angle in the assessment of intensive outpatient treatment of primary lower limb lymphedema
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Introduction: Lymphedema is a clinical condition resulting from the accumulation of macromolecules in the interstitial space that leads to an accumulation of fluids.

Objective: The aim of this study was to evaluate changes in the phase angle during the intensive treatment of primary lymphedema of the lower limbs.

Methods: Using the phase angle of bio impedance, the intensive clinical treatment of primary lower limb lymphedema was evaluated at the Clínica Godoy in 2013 and 2014. The participants were 15 male and 40 female patients with a mean age of 40.43 years (range: 25-73; median: 37 years). Only patients with stage II and III primary lymphedema were included in this study; patients with stage I lymphedema and those with edema due to other causes were excluded.

The objective of this study was to evaluate the evolution of the phase angle during intensive treatment of primary lymphedema of the lower limbs.

INTRODUCTION

Lymphedema is a clinical condition resulting from the accumulation of macromolecules in the interstitial space that leads to an accumulation of fluids. Lymphedema is characterized as primary when the patient is born with some alteration of the lymphatics and secondary when the patient’s lymphatic system is damaged during their lifetime [1].

Diagnosis is based on the patient’s clinical history and physical examination but when there is doubt, complementary examinations should be requested. Lymphoscintigraphy allows a functional and anatomical evaluation, but evaluations of the volume of the limb are necessary to confirm the condition of clinical lymphedema. Volumetry, perimetry and bioimpedance are commonly used for this evaluation [2,3].

A combination of therapies is recommended for the treatment of lymphedema allowing a faster result; however, isolated techniques are indicated in specific cases.

This combination generally includes Manual and Mechanical Lymphatic Therapy, compression and myolymphokinetic exercises and activities [4-7]. Intensive therapy in an outpatient setting has been described with this method producing reductions of around 50% of the volume of edema within five days [2].

Electrical bio impedance, by evaluating impedance, reactance and phase angle, is a method of assessing body composition and nutritional status. The phase angle is a clinically applicable method that reflects cell vitality and integrity with higher values indicating better preserved cell activity [8,9]. It is obtained from bio impedance and is derived from the ratio between the resistance (R) and reactance (Xc) [10-13]. The phase angle has been interpreted as an indicator of prognosis and a predictor of survival in some clinical situations [14].

Diagnosis was based on patient history and physical examination. Patients were submitted to intensive treatment consisting of 8 hours/day of Mechanical Lymphatic Therapy – lymph drainage using an electromechanical device that performs ankle flexion and extension – together with 15 minutes of cervical stimulation and a grosgrain stocking alternated with elastic bandages as compression therapy. The phase angle was evaluated using the InBody S10 bio impedance apparatus before and after five days of treatment at frequencies of 5, 50 and 250 kHz. The Kruskal-Wallis and all pairwise comparisons (Conover-Inman) tests were used for statistical analysis with an alpha error of 5% being considered acceptable.

Results: Significant improvements in the phase angle were detected at all frequencies (Kruskal-Wallis: p-value <0.005).

Conclusion: The cellular pattern of lymphedematous limbs as evaluated by the phase angle of bio impedance improves with the treatment of lymphedema.
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METHODS

The intensive clinical treatment of primary lower limb lymphedema was evaluated in 15 male and 40 female patients with a mean age of 40.43 years (range: 25-73; median: 37 years) at the Clínica Godoy in 2013 and 2014.

Only patients with primary stage II and III lymphedema were included in this study; patients with stage I lymphedema and those with edema due to other causes were excluded. All patients were included consecutively on arrival at the clinic for treatment. Diagnosis was based on patient history and physical examination.

Patients were submitted to intensive treatment consisting of 8 hours/day of Mechanical Lymphatic Therapy – lymph drainage using an electromechanical device (RAGodoy®) to perform ankle flexion and extension – together with 15 minutes of Cervical Stimulation Therapy and a grosgrain stocking alternated with elastic bandages as compression therapy.

Cervical Stimulation Therapy is a lymph drainage technique developed by Godoy & Godoy that uses stimulation of the cervical region. The phase angle was evaluated using the InBody S10 bio impedance apparatus before and after five days of treatment at frequencies of 5, 50 and 250 kHz.

The Kruskal-Wallis and all pairwise comparisons (Conover-Inman) tests were used for statistical analysis with an alpha error of 5% being considered acceptable. This study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee in of the Medicine School in São José do Rio Preto (# 019539/2014 / CAAE 27823014.8.0000.5415) and all participants signed written consent forms before being included in the study.

¹Department of the Medicine, School in São José do Rio Preto, SP and CNPq (National Council for Research and Development)-Brazil; ²Medicine School of São Jose do Rio Preto-FAMERP; ³Universidade Federal do Mato Grosso Cuiabá, MT, SP; ⁴Medicine School in São José do Rio Preto (FAMERP), Brazil.

*Correspondence: Jose Maria Pereira de Godoy, Av. Constituição, 1306, São José do Rio Preto, SP-Brazil, Tel: 15025120, E-mail: mailto:godoyjmp@gmail.com

Received: September 15, 2017, Accepted: October 10, 2017, Published: October 12, 2017

This open-access article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (CC BY-NC) (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits reuse, distribution and reproduction of the article, provided that the original work is properly cited and the reuse is restricted to noncommercial purposes. For commercial reuse, contact reprints@pulsus.com
Phase angle in the assessment of intensive outpatient treatment of primary lower limb lymphedema

RESULTS

Table 1: Shows the descriptive statistics of Phase Angle control, before and after intensive treatment of lower limb lymphedema for one week.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>variables</th>
<th>5 Khz before</th>
<th>5 Khz-after</th>
<th>5 Khz before</th>
<th>5 Khz-after</th>
<th>5 Khz before</th>
<th>5 Khz-after</th>
<th>5 Khz before</th>
<th>5 Khz-after</th>
<th>250 Khz before</th>
<th>250 Khz-after</th>
<th>250 Khz before</th>
<th>250 Khz-after</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Valid data</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>2.74</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>2.82</td>
<td>4.99</td>
<td>7.23</td>
<td>6.41</td>
<td>3.72</td>
<td>4.39</td>
<td>4.88</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard deviation</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>9.52</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variance coefficient</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper quartile</td>
<td>2.95</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>6.75</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>4.65</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>median</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>4.65</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower quartile</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>4.85</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1 (Box & whisker plot) shows the interquartile variations of phase angle control, before and after treatment at the various frequencies used.

Table 2: Statistical evaluation of phase angle variations before and after intensive treatment of primary lower limb lymphedema. Kruskal-Wallis: all pairwise comparisons (Conover-Inman), Critical t (756 df)=1.963107.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lower Limb Phase Angle</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Before 5 kHz</td>
<td>P&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After 5 kHz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P&lt;0.0005</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250 kHz</td>
<td>P=0.002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Significant improvements were detected (Kruskal-Wallis: p value <0.005) for all frequencies before and after intensive treatment (Table 2).

DISCUSSION

The current study evaluated the phase angle of bio impedance during the intensive treatment of primary stage II and III lymphedema of the lower limbs and detected an improvement at all frequencies evaluated (5, 50 and 250 kHz). No study in the literature describes this evaluation. Even so, the phase angle has been used to assess prognosis in the evaluation of some inflammatory, infectious and neoplastic diseases correlating a good prognosis with a better phase angle [14-16].

Intensive treatment caused significant changes during the five days of treatment reducing the limb volume by about 50%. However, the edema was not completely reduced and the phase angle did not normalize. Complete reduction of the edema may be associated with an even better phase angle. Therefore, an evaluation of the phase angle may demonstrate the therapeutic evolution of these patients.

This study was performed in patients with primary lymphedema, however, a study currently being prepared for publication shows that lymph mobilization is different between primary and secondary leg lymphedema suggesting different patterns of the phase angle with the different therapeutic responses. Impedance and reactance data may also contribute to a better understanding of the evolution of lymphatic therapy. Therefore, this study created new opportunities for research in respect to the real importance of the phase angle in the diagnosis and evolution of lymphedema treatment.

CONCLUSION

The cellular pattern of lymph edematous limbs as evaluated using the phase angle of bio impedance improves with lymphedema treatment.

REFERENCES

7. Godoy JMP, Godoy MFG, Braile DM, et al. Dynamic evaluation of working pressures with gorgurão sleeves used in the treatment of...


