Commentary Article

Phenotypic Evolutionary Mutant Models (EMMs)

Patric Jean Marie*

Marie P J(2021) Phenotypic Evolutionary Mutant Models (EMMs). J of Genet Disord and Genet Med. 5(5)

INTRODUCTION

Evolutionary mutant models (EMMs) are species with evolving phenotypes that takeoff human disease. EMMs complement traditional laboratory models provide unique way to study gene-by- interactions of environment, modular mutations in regions of evolved compensations and their so called noncoding. Evolutionary mutant models can increase our developmental basis genetic human diseases and basic understanding.

DISCUSSION

Induced mutations in forward screens of genetic in animals of Laboratory provide most useful models of human phenotypic variation and disease that have led to previously unsuspected insights into human pathology. Whatever; this approach is without the limitations? Screens of mutagenesis often identified the phenotypes that are have earlier or more severe onset than the human diseases they model. Because researchers moreover in laboratory screens identify the most visible mutations, induced mutations are predominantly in the genes of coding regions and lead to the complete abrogation function of gene or severe attenuation. Mutant models of evolutionary have the energy to release into the basis of genetics novel insight an array of human diseases of the different types from simple to complex. For example a natural system which models a human simple disease is known as anemia in ice fish. Observe that the ice fish not having the red blood cells (seen in gills) compared to mostly relate to rock cod (top panel). Mutants of evolutionary can also complex are model human diseases including ice fish having osteopenia. Here we have to discuss some selectively examples of evolutionary mutant models that can inform our understanding of disease of human. Here we propose a complementary approach to genes discover and mechanisms that may be contribute to disorders of human: the evolutionary mutant analysis models whose are adaptive phenotypes maladaptive mimic human diseases. Human diseases present through a continuum from 'simple' to 'complex'. On the one side end of the spectrum are which is having a disease of monogenic conditions that exhibit mendelian of simple inheritance and express some of the simple low variation of inheritance in individuals with the same allele, such as albinism or cystic fibrosis. On the other end of the spectrum are polygenetic diseases, such as cancers or heart disease, whose severity and expression are highly variable and depend on another individual's at multiple locations of genotype as well as the environment. At the middle are traits of disease those are affected by the alleles should be major effect, but whose expression is variable and affected by both the environment and genetic background, for example we have to take the cleft palate. Sometimes, evolution by genetic drift or evolutionary has resulted in populations with evolved phenotypes that take off human disease, but other than that of those were adapted to their environment. Here we can discuss some of examples of evolutionary mutant models that can inform our understanding of disease of the human. But our focus will be more likely be on models of fish, other systems of metazoan will provide undoubtedly and additional evolutionary mutant models of the phenotypes for human disease, and some principles discuss and will apply across many species.

CONCLUSION

While induce mutant models have been most importantly useful in study small diseases, they have been less successful in reducing the and pathophysiology of etiology and complex diseases. We propose that evolutionary models can complement traditional induced models of mutant to understand the genetic basis of both complex and simple human diseases. Traits of genetic analysis in evolutionary mutant models is similar to that used for induced mutations in that require both the recovery of offspring from defined matings, which can best occur in control crosses in the laboratory. In present its equal to single mutation induced genetic mapping of a with high penetrance.

REFERENCES

1. Houle D, Bolstad GH, van der Linde K, Hansen TF. Mutation predicts 40 million years of fly wing evolution. Nature. 2017;548:447-450
2. Futuyma DJ. Evolutionary biology today and the call for an extended synthesis. Interface focus. 2017;7:20160145.
3. Hinohara K, Polyak K. Intratumoral heterogeneity: more than just mutations. Trends in cell biology. 2019;29:569-579.
4. Barrett RD, Laurent S, Mallarino R, Pfeifer SP, Xu CC, Foll M, Wakamatsu K, Duke-Cohan JS, Jensen JD, Hoekstra HE. Linking a mutation to survival in wild mice. Science. 2019;363:499-504.
5. Yi S, Lin S, Li Y, Zhao W, Mills GB, Sahni N. Functional variomics and network perturbation: connecting genotype to phenotype in cancer. Nature Reviews Genetics. 2017;18:395-410.
6. Chan-Seng-Yue M, Kim JC, Wilson GW, Ng K, Figueroa EF, O'Kane GM, Connor AA, Denroche RE, Grant RC, McLeod J, Wilson JM. Transcription phenotypes of pancreatic cancer are driven by genomic events during tumor evolution. Nature genetics. 2020;52:231-240.

University of Nijmegen, Burundi.

***Correspondence to:** *Patric Jean Marie, University of Nijmegen, Burundi; E-mail: Jeanmarie@yahoo.com*

Citation: *Marie P J(2021) Phenotypic Evolutionary Mutant Models (EMMs). J of Genet Disord and Genet Med. 5(5)*

Received date: *August 12, 2021*; **Accepted date:** *November 09, 2021*; **Published date:** *November 18, 2021*