Role of Liposuction Combined with Subcutaneous Mastectomy in the Surgical Treatment of Gynecomastia
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ABSTRACT

Background: Gynecomastia is one of the common benign male breast diseases, surgical treatment of which remains a controversial issue. Here, we describe successful combined use of liposuction and subcutaneous mastectomy in the treatment of gynecomastia. Aim: To evaluate the effectiveness of the liposuction combined with subcutaneous mastectomy in the treatment of gynecomastia. Subjects and Methods: In this study, a total of 28 patients were included. All the patients had undergone detailed clinical evaluation and appropriate investigations. Information regarding age of onset, etiology, laterality, nipple discharge was collected from patient’s medical records. Twenty of 28 patients had unilateral gynecomastia whereas 8 patients had bilateral gynecomastia. Based on the clinical evaluation and investigations, secondary causes of gynecomastia were ruled out. Subcutaneous mastectomy using infraareolar skin incision combined with liposuction was performed on 26 patients. Two patients underwent only liposuction. Results: Twenty-four patients (86%) were satisfied with the results of the operative intervention. In 24/28 patients (86%), the indication of surgery was cosmetic reasons and in 4/28 patients (14%), the indication was failure to treatment with danazol. Average age of the patients was 24 (6) years and most of the patients were between 20 and 30 years of their age. The postoperative complications include infection in two patients and contour deformity in two other patients. Conclusions: Liposuction combined with subcutaneous mastectomy is a reliable, versatile, less time consuming and valid procedure for the treatment of gynecomastia. This procedure provides satisfactory aesthetic results.
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INTRODUCTION

Benign enlargement of the male breast is called gynecomastia.[¹] It accounts for 35-65% of lesions in male breasts that depends on age group and diagnostic criteria of gynecomastia.[²,³] The gynecomastia has increasingly become common in recent years. The possible inciting factors could be anabolic steroids, exposure to xenoestrogens present in cosmetic products, pesticides, and industrial chemicals.[⁴] Gynecomastia can be true which is proliferation of ductal and periductal tissue and false (pseudogynecomastia) in which only deposition of adipose tissue occurs.[¹] Majority of gynecomastia has idiopathic origin[⁵] while some of them are caused by hormonal imbalance.[¹] This could be due to increased estrogen, decreased androgen, defects in the receptor, or an altered estrogen sensitivity of the breast.[³]

There is wide range of surgical procedure available for the treatment of gynecomastia but it is difficult to choose a single procedure that may give the best outcome. Many techniques showing unacceptable cosmetic results have widely been used despite advances in surgical technology.[⁵]

Subcutaneous mastectomy is one of the common procedures performed with an infraareolar approach, which can be extended laterally depending upon requirement.[⁵]

It has high complication rate including hematomata and seroma formation, contour irregularities, disfigured scars and reduced nipple sensibility.[⁶-⁸]

Due to frequent complications and disappointing results, this procedure has often been underrated. Suction-assisted liposuction was first described and used by Illouz.[⁹] Since its inception, it has widely been used allowing better
contouring and minimal scarring. On proper planning and meticulous dissection, these modalities can provide satisfactory cosmetic results with minimal complications. To the best of our knowledge, there are a few publications focusing the present combined procedure for surgical treatment of gynecomastia.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

In this study, a total of 28 patients were included. Permission for the study was given by the relevant authority. All the patients had undergone detailed clinical evaluation and appropriate investigations. Information regarding age of onset, etiology, laterality, nipple discharge was collected from patient’s medical records. Twenty of 28 patients had unilateral gynecomastia whereas 8 patients had bilateral. Based on the clinical evaluation and investigations, secondary causes of gynecomastia were ruled out. All the patients were in Simon’s grade I and II.

History of the use of medication, alcohol, symptoms of hepatic and pulmonary as well as sexual dysfunction was taken. During examination, signs of liver, thyroid, lung and renal disease were recorded. Examination of breast and testis was performed and signs of secondary gynecomastia were ruled out. On palpation of breast, a difference was made between true gynecomastia and pseudo-gynecomastia. All the patients with true gynecomastia underwent through investigation. Both liposuction and subcutaneous mastectomy was performed on 26 patients. Two patients underwent only liposuction.

Surgical technique

Preoperatively enlarged breasts were marked in standing position [Figures 1a and 1b, 2a, 3a, 4a]. All the patients underwent surgery under general anesthesia. Using tumescence technique, breasts were infiltrated with normal saline, 1% lidocaine and adrenaline solution.

After 5-10 minutes, a semicircular incision was made along the inferior border of nipple areola complex between 3 O’clock and 9 O’clock position [Figures 1c, 2b]. Using 4 mm and 3 mm cannula, fatty tissue was suctioned through the same incision. Suctioning was continued till no fat was coming into the cannula. Intraoperatively, breasts were inspected to see the symmetry. Then, breasts were manually palpated to find out the glandular tissue. On its presence, we proceed with subcutaneous mastectomy through the same incision.

Initially, dissection was performed inferiorly up to the preoperatively marked line. Then incision was deepened down to the pectoral fascia and dissection extended superiorly up to the marked line preserving 1cm cuff of tissue beneath the nipple-areola complex. Following dissection, breast tissue was excised and following procedures were performed:

- The pectoral fascia was left intact
- Closure was done in two layers after inserting the suction drain; [Figures 1d, 3b]
- Antiseptic dressing was done
- Elastic bandage was used for dressing; and
- All the specimens were sent for histopathological examination.

RESULTS

All the patients were evaluated in terms of indication of surgery, satisfaction, age range and complications. In 24/28 patients (86%), the indication of surgery was for cosmetic reasons while in 4/28 patients (14%), the indication was due to the failure of the treatment with danazol. Twenty-four patients (86%) were satisfied with the results of the operative intervention [Figure 1g]. Mean age of the patients was 24 (±6) years; most of them were between 20 and 30 years old. The postoperative complications include infection in two patients and contour deformity in two patients. No hematoma or seroma were observed in any of the subjects. Histopathological findings were suggestive of benign lesion in all the cases. Average follow-up period was 6 months (range 3 months to 14 months), during which no recurrence was noticed.

All data analysis was conducted using SPSS software (SPSS Inc.). The continuous parameters were calculated as Mean±SD.

DISCUSSION

Gynecomastia is one of the common problems in young adult male. Surgical treatment of this disease has been a contentious issue. A number of surgical modalities are available for the treatment of gynecomastia. However, the combined use of liposuction and open excision in the surgical treatment of gynecomastia was first introduced by Teimourian and Perlman.[10] Since then, this combined method has widely been in vogue due to the various problems occurred in the use of liposuction alone.[11-13]

In this series, we have used liposuction in combination with subcutaneous mastectomy in 28 patients showing good outcomes in terms of cosmetically acceptable appearance and complications [Figures 1e-f, 3b, 4b]. It is important to differentiate physiological gynecomastia from secondary gynecomastia due to some underlying disease and operative treatment is advised only when it is indicated.[14] A majority of patients with gynecomastia have no underlying disease.[15]

In secondary gynecomastia, the underlying disease is...
treated. In our study we excluded all the cases of secondary gynecomastia. Surgical treatment of gynecomastia is indicated in case of failed medical treatment, long-lasting disease, or for cosmetic reason as per the desire of the patient.[16]

We find in our study that the indication of surgery was for cosmetic reasons in 20 patients (71%) while in 4 patients (14%), the indication was due to the failure of danazol treatment.

Figure 1a: Preop photograph showing right sided gynecomastia

Figure 1b: Another preop photograph after painting, drapping and hair shaving

Figure 1c: Infraareolar incision between 3 o’clock and 9 o’clock position (first patient)

Figure 1d: Immediate post op photograph with suction drain in situ taken through the same incision

Figure 1e: Postop photograph at day 7

Figure 1f: Postop photograph at day 7 (ap view)
Several researchers found that liposuction is the first-line treatment for pseudogynecomastia (Simon grade 1, 2 [Table 1][3,17] while subcutaneous mastectomy alone, liposuction alone, or liposuction combined with subcutaneous mastectomy have been described for the surgical treatment of true gynecomastia (grade 1 and 2). In this series, all the patients were in grade 1 and 2 categories. Therefore, no patients required skin removal.
In our series, we also used Webster’s semicircular infraareolar incision, through which, both the procedure liposuction and subcutaneous mastectomy were performed. Use of liposuction may lead to an unacceptable contouring, asymmetry, residual skin and glandular tissue.\[8\]

Subcutaneous mastectomy has its own complications. Colombo-Benkmann et al.\[24\] in their series, find incidence of hematoma formation in 11.4% of patients while hypesthesia, hypertrophic scar and retraction of skin was noted in 53% of patients.

Dolsky finds no complication in using liposuction combined with subcutaneous mastectomy in his series.\[25\] We, in our series, noted no case of hematoma or seroma formation. However, two patients developed infection whereas two others experienced contour deformity.

In terms of psychological outcome, Gabra et al. finds that, 85% patients were satisfied with the results of their series.\[26\] This corresponds to our results, which is showing 86% satisfaction rate.

CONCLUSION

Liposuction combined with subcutaneous mastectomy is a reliable, versatile, less time consuming and valid procedure for the treatment for gynecomastia. This procedure provides satisfactory aesthetic results.
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