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Introduction

Gastric diverticulum (GD) is an uncommon condition seen and is outpouching of the gastric wall. It is being detected incidentally during routine diagnostic testing of the upper gastrointestinal tract. The prevalence of GD ranges from 0.04% in contrast study radiographs, 0.1-2.6% in autopsy series and 0.01%-0.11% at esophagogastroduodenal endoscopy [1-3]. GD may stimulate other pathologies. Imaging is crucial in detecting GD and also in differential diagnosis.

In this article, cross-sectional imaging and endoscopic findings of a patient with gastric diverticulum is presented.

Case Report

A 33-year-old male patient presented with complaint of abdominal pain. Physical examination and laboratory test were revealed normal (WBC: 5500 cells/μL, CRP:0.4 mg/L, ALT:20 U/L, AST:17 U/L). Intravenous contrast enhanced computed tomography (CT) demonstrated a 2x2 cm sized nodular structure at the location posterior to gastric fundus and closed to the left adrenal gland; the nodular structure was extending to the stomach (Figure 1). Because the examination was not an oral-contrast study, for differential diagnosis of other conditions (such as adrenal masses, cystic-necrotic tumoral lesions, pancreatic pseudocyst, gastric wall duplication) abdominal magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) study was performed. In MRI, gastric diverticulum extending to the stomach was shown (Figure 2). The patient was underwent endoscopic examination, revealing a 2 cm diameter gastric diverticulum (Figure 3). There was no gastric content within the diverticulum. The patient was conservatively treated and followed.

Discussion

Gastric diverticulum usually occurs in middle-aged people (20-60 years of age), with its equal distribution in both sex [3]. They are often asymptomatic; however, they may be sometimes symptomatic presenting with dyspepsia, vomiting and abdominal pain. Complications such as ulceration, perforation, hemorrhage, torsion and malignancy are rare possibilities [4,5]. The etiology of GD may be acquired or congenital. Embryologically stomach is transformed from a fusiform swelling of the foregut into its adult form between the 20-50th days of gestation. Here, there is a 90-degree rotation of the stomach occurs. It carries the duodenal loop, the
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Figure 1. Intravenous contrasted CT scanning (A) axial (B) sagittal planes shows a 2x2 cm sized round shaped fluid density of diverticulum which is located in the posterior gastric fundus (arrows).

Figure 2. Abdominal MRI study: (A) coronal out-of-phase sequence (B) coronal T1-weighted imaging (C) sagittal T2-weighted imaging (D) axial T2-weighted imaging (E) axial out-of-phase sequence shows an outpouching diverticulum located in the posterior gastric fundus. The hyperintense signal of gastric fluid in T2-WI (C, D) and not any intracellular fatty suppression in out-of-phase sequences (A, E) suggest the gastric diverticulum (arrows).

Figure 3. Endoscopic examination shows a 2 cm sized diverticulum in the fundus location.

pancreas, and the dorsal mesentery. A diverticulum of the posterior gastric wall could herniate through an area of dorsal mesentery before its fusion with the left posterior body wall. At first, the diverticulum would lie superior to the pancreas. With further extension, the diverticulum could
project posterior to the pancreas. The inferior aspect of the diverticulum would be adjacent to Gerota’s fascia and the left adrenal gland. If migration of the diverticulum occurs before renal ascent, the diverticulum would indent Gerota’s fascia and interdigitate between the left kidney and the left adrenal gland. However, after fusion of the dorsal mesentery it might lie within the lesser sac [3].

About 75% of GD are located in the posterior wall of the gastric fundus, 2 cm below the esophagogastric junction and 3 cm from the lesser curve. Diverticula are usually less than 4 cm in size (3-11 cm). False diverticula are either traction or pulsion and may be associated with inflammation or other diseases [6,7].

Radiologic studies (contrast radiographic study, CT, MRI) and endoscopic studies (esophagogastroduodenoscopy) may be used to depict the gastric diverticulum. Upper gastrointestinal contrast radiographic study (UGI) or esophagogastroduodenoscopy (EGD) are the most reliable diagnostic tests, however, according to the literature the false negative results of these studies (particularly especially for a diverticulum with a narrow neck) have been reported [3,8]. Endoscopic evaluation is operator dependant. Therefore, to further clarify the pathology, cross sectional imaging modalities such as CT or MRI is needed.

CT scanning may be effective, however, the accuracy of CT because of the possible misdiagnosis is not widely accepted [3,9]. However, Schwart et al. Reported that diagnosis of a gastric diverticulum with a sectional imaging modality like CT is highly sensible [10].

CT may show an abnormally thin-walled rounded cystic mass with an air-fluid level in the region of the left adrenal and paravertebral region. Adrenal, pancreatic, and renal cysts, duplication cysts and diverticula of bowel are the conditions may be considered in differential diagnosis. Gas containing cystic masses may suggest infection, necrotic tumor, or a structure communicating with the gastrointestinal tract. Lesions such as abscess and necrotic neoplasm more commonly have a thick, shaggy wall [3].

Thanks to sequences (Fat-suppressed T2W short tau inversion recovery (STIR), half-Fourier acquisition single-shot turbo spin echo (HASTE), T1 weighted spin echo (T1W SE), Out-of-Phase sequence images) using in MRI, they provide possibility to detect and differentiate structures and lesions from other underlying conditions. Thin walled cystic lesion with air-fluid level and a connection to the gastrointestinal tract is the typical appearance of GD. However, as in our case, if there is no air containing diverticulum, it may be misinterpreted as a different structure. The visualization of its connection of the gastrointestinal tract in three-dimensional reconstruction imaging is crucial in differential diagnosis [11].

Conclusion
GD have variable symptoms and are often asymptomatic. Modalities such as CT and MRI may be useful in making differential diagnosis especially for the lesion in the left paravertebral region.
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