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Varicocele is defined as dilated testicular veins in the scrotum, and is the most common identifiable pathology in infertile men. Although it is accepted that varicocele exerts a negative influence on male fertility potential, the effect of varicocelectomy on the restoration of fertility in men is the subject of ongoing controversy. The present article is not intended to resolve controversial issues regarding varicocele, but rather should provide the reader with a basic overview of the subject, with emphasis on the pathophysiology, diagnosis and treatment of this condition.

INCIDENCE

The incidence of varicocele in the general male population is approximately 15% (1-4). The incidence in men presenting for infertility is about 35%, and in men with secondary infertility it is 50% to 80% (5-7). Although varicocele is almost always larger and more common on the left side (5,8), the incidence of bilateral varicocele is approximately 50%. The rare, isolated, right-sided varicocele generally suggests that the right internal spermatic vein enters the right renal vein, but it should prompt further investigation because this finding may be associated with situs inversus or retroperitoneal tumours. Oster (9) observed that no varicocele was detected in 188 boys six to nine years of age, but was detected with increasing prevalence in boys 10 to 14 years of age, suggesting that varicocele develops at puberty (9). More recently, it has been shown that the prevalence of varicocele in young boys is associated directly with the lev-
ETIOLOGY
The etiology of varicocele is probably multifactorial. The anatomical differences between the left and right internal spermatic veins, the absence or incompetence of venous valves resulting in the reflux of venous blood, and increased hydrostatic pressure are among the most likely causes of varicocele. The left vein is approximately 8 to 10 cm longer than the right, and this is believed to result in an increase in hydrostatic pressure (12). This pressure is transmitted to the internal spermatic vein at the level of the pampiniform plexus, causing dilatation of the veins. The report by Braedel et al (13) on the venographic pattern of 659 consecutive men with varicocele revealed that the majority of these men (484 of 659) had absent venous valves. Compression of the left renal vein between the aorta and the superior mesenteric artery (‘nutcracker effect’) may also contribute to the increased internal spermatic venous pressure. A number of radiological studies have documented a relative distension of the proximal left renal vein, suggesting partial distal obstruction (14).

MECHANISMS
A number of theories have been proposed to explain the observed pathophysiology of varicocele. Increased scrotal temperature has been demonstrated in men with varicocele and in animals with surgically induced varicocele, and is the most widely accepted mechanism believed to be responsible for varicocele-induced pathology (15). The meticulous work of Zorgniotti and Macleod (15) revealed that men with varicocele have higher intrascrotal temperatures than do controls. However, the observed elevation in intrascrotal temperatures in men with varicocele is probably nonspecific because men with idiopathic infertility also often demonstrate elevated intrascrotal temperature readings. The sensitivity of spermatogenesis to temperature elevations supports the mechanistic theory (15-17).

The theory of adrenal and renal metabolite reflux stems from early anatomical radiographic studies documenting the reflux of blood from the renal vein into the internal spermatic vein. Despite the reports demonstrating correlations between increased concentrations of these metabolites in the internal spermatic vein and the presence of varicocele, few of these metabolites have been clearly shown to be gonadotoxic (18-20). Increased hydrostatic pressure in the internal spermatic vein from renal vein reflux may be an additional mechanism for varicocele-induced pathology (11).

PATHOPHYSIOLOGY
This section outlines the main features of varicocele-associated pathophysiology.

Testicular atrophy
Testicular atrophy has been well documented in men with varicocele. Lipschultz and Corriere (21) demonstrated that the left testicular size in men with a left varicocele was significantly decreased compared with controls without varicocele. The World Health Organization (WHO) (11) presented similar results in a multicentre study that evaluated the physical findings and semen characteristics of men presenting for infertility. The WHO study reported that varicocele (most of which were on the left side) was associated with relative left testicular atrophy compared with the contralateral testis. Using scrotal ultrasonography to accurately measure testicular volume, left varicocele has been shown to be associated with relative left testicular atrophy (22). In contrast, it was reported that right and left testicular volume was not significantly different in men without varicocele (22).

Testicular histology and biochemical function
A number of studies have attempted to characterize the changes in testicular histology associated with varicocele (23-27). Most of these studies documented the bilateral nature of these changes. The histological findings have ranged from normal spermatogenesis to Sertoli cell only pattern, with most studies reporting varying degrees of hypospermatogenesis. Additionally, histological features that have been identified in a number of studies include premature sloughing of germ cells into the seminiferous tubule lumen and Leydig cell hyperplasia (23).

Leydig cell function
Leydig cell dysfunction has been documented in men with varicocele. A WHO multicentre study (11) on the influence of varicocele on fertility parameters demonstrated that the mean testosterone concentration of men older than 30 years of age with varicocele was significantly lower than that of younger patients with varicocele, whereas this trend was not observed in men without varicocele. Comhaire and Vermeulen (28) evaluated 10 patients with decreased testosterone, impotence and varicocele, and observed that, after varicocelectomy, the serum testosterone increased in all cases. Su et al (29) also observed a significant increase in mean testosterone levels after...
varicocelectomy in a group of 53 infertile men with varicocele.

**Semen characteristics and sperm function**

Semen parameter abnormalities in infertile men with varicocele were first described by Macleod in 1965 (30). In that study, Macleod observed that the vast majority of semen samples, obtained from 200 infertile men with varicocele, had an increased number of abnormal forms, decreased motility and lower mean sperm counts. This 'stress pattern', which is also characterized by an increased number of tapered forms and immature cells, may not be specific to varicocele (31-34).

**DIAGNOSIS**

Varicocele is generally diagnosed on physical examination. A warm examining room, promoting relaxation of the scrotal dartos muscle, facilitates accurate evaluation for varicocele. Varicocele grades are defined as follows: grade I – palpable only with Valsalva; grade II – palpable without Valsalva; and grade III – visible.

A number of modalities have been used to diagnose varicoceles, including venography, Doppler stethoscope, radionuclide angiography, scrotal thermography and scrotal ultrasonography. The availability, reproducibility and non-invasiveness of scrotal ultrasonography have led to its increased use in the diagnosis of varicocele. However, the significance of subclinical varicocele (one that is not clinically palpable) remains controversial (35). The lack of standardized criteria for diagnosis and the conflicting treatment outcome reports on subclinical varicocele raise questions about the existence and significance of this entity.

**VARICOCELECTOMY**

**Indications**

Varicocelectomy is indicated in men with clinical varicocele, abnormal semen parameters and couple infertility. This is based on the demonstration that varicocele is associated with a progressive decline in testicular function and that the repair of varicocele can improve spermatogenesis (36-39). Varicocelectomy is also indicated in men with clinical varicocele and testicular pain (40,41). Typically, the pain is throbbing in nature, is localized to the testis and/or varicocele, and is worse with increased physical activity. Finally, varicocelectomy is indicated in the child or adolescent with clinical varicocele and decreased ipsilateral testicular volume (greater than 2 mL difference between the right and left testis) (42-44).

**Techniques**

A variety of surgical approaches have been advocated for varicocelectomy, including retroperitoneal and conventional inguinal open techniques, microsurgical inguinal and subinguinal approaches, laparoscopic repairs and radiographic embolization. The importance of using a varicocelectomy technique that minimizes the risk of complications and recurrences cannot be overemphasized. The microsurgical technique, regarded as the "gold standard", is described (45,46).

The subinguinal microsurgical varicocelectomy is the most popular surgical approach. The advantages of this approach are that it enables the surgeon to easily identify the spermatic cord structures, and, if necessary, access the testis, epididymis and the external spermatic and gubernacular veins with the delivery of the testis.

The subinguinal approach described by Marmar and Kim (45) obviates the need for opening any fascial layer and a more rapid recovery. However, at the subinguinal level, significantly more veins are encountered, the artery is more often surrounded by a network of tiny veins that must be ligated, and the testicular artery has often divided into two or three branches, making its identification and preservation more difficult.

The subinguinal microsurgical varicocelectomy begins with a 2 to 3 cm oblique skin incision centred over the external inguinal ring. The incision is deepened through Camper’s and Scarpa’s fascias, and the spermatic cord is then grasped with a nontraumatic ring clamp, delivered and placed over a large (1") silastic drain. The testicle is then delivered, and the gubernacular veins and external spermatic perforators are isolated and divided. The testicle is returned to the scrotum and the spermatic cord is elevated on a large Penrose drain. The microscope is then brought into the operating field and the cord is examined under eight to 15 power magnification. The internal and external spermatic fascias are incised longitudinally and the cord structures are again examined.

The contents of the spermatic cord are then dissected under microscopic control. Subtle pulsations usually reveal the location of the underlying internal spermatic artery or arteries. Once identified, the artery is dissected free of all surrounding veins and encircled with a 2-0 silk ligature or vessel loop for identification. Care is taken to identify a number of lymphatics (usually two to five channels are preserved) and these are also encircled with a 2-0 silk ligature or vessel loop. All internal and external spermatic veins are clipped or ligated (with 4-0 silk) and divided. The vas deferens and its associated vessels are readily identified and preserved. At the completion of varicocelectomy, the cord should contain only the testicular artery or arteries, vas deferens, and associated vessels (artery and vein) and spermatic cord lymphatics. The wound is irrigated with 1% neomycin irrigation, and Scarpa’s and Camper’s fascias are closed with a single 3-0 chromic catgut suture. The incision is infiltrated with 0.5% marcaine solution with adrenaline and the skin is closed with a running 4-0 vicryl subcuticular closure reinforced with steristrips. A dry, sterile dressing is applied.

**Outcomes**

A large number of studies have evaluated the outcome of varicocelectomy on fertility parameters, and most of these studies have demonstrated an improvement in semen qual-
is reported in approximately 50% of these men, a clinically significant improvement in semen analysis in 60% to 80% of men, and pregnancy rates after varicocelectomy vary from 20% to 60% (39). In Kamal et al’s (47) report of close to 200 microsurgical operations, nearly 50% of couples were pregnant at two years’ follow-up and the most important predictor of successful outcome was the initial sperm concentration. Pregnancy rates were 60% in those couples in whom the man’s initial sperm concentration was greater than 5 million/mL and only 8% when the man’s initial sperm concentration was 5 million/mL or less.

Only a relatively small number of controlled studies (mostly nonrandomized) have evaluated the outcome of varicocelectomy on male fertility potential. About half of those studies showed significantly higher pregnancy rates in the treatment arm (39). The obvious flaw with the studies was that the control groups were not the same as the treatment groups. Indeed, in most of these studies, men who refused surgery (and, therefore, were possibly less motivated) served as controls.

Similarly, the outcome of controlled, randomized studies has been variable (48-52). In 1979, Nilsson et al (48) reported lower pregnancy rates for men treated by varicocelectomy (n=51) compared with 45 randomized controls. The major criticisms of this study were the wide standard deviations, the wide variations in the serial semen analyses and the remarkably low pregnancy rates reported. Laven et al (49) evaluated the results of varicocelectomy in adolescents with varicoceles (n=67) in a prospective randomized fashion. They demonstrated improved semen parameters in the surgically treated group, but not in the control group. Unfortunately, due to the patient population, Laven et al could not assess the effect of varicocelectomy on fertility. Nieschlag et al (50) reported no significant difference in pregnancy rates between the control (n=48) and treatment (n=47) arms, although semen parameters improved significantly only in the treatment arm. Nieschlag et al’s (51) larger follow-up study reported similar results. In perhaps the best randomized, crossover study, Madgar et al (WHO-sponsored) (52) demonstrated significantly higher pregnancy rates in the early and delayed varicocelectomy groups than in the control, nonoperated group. The major weakness of the Madgar study was the small sample size (n=45).

**Varicocelectomy and azoospermia**

Studies have indicated that there may be some benefit in repairing varicoceles in infertile men with azoospermia and clinical varicoce (53,54). Although significant improvement in semen quality (appearance of sperm in the semen) is reported in approximately 50% of these men, a clinically significant outcome (with spontaneous pregnancy) is reported in less than 20% of these cases (53,54). Preoperative testicular biopsy is predictive of the outcome in these cases. Only men with mature spermatids or spermatozoa on testicular biopsy had a good outcome (appearance of sperm in the semen). Men with maturation arrest or Sertoli cell only pattern on testicular biopsy remained azoospermic postoperatively (54).

**Complications of varicocele repair**

Complications of varicocelectomy (hydrocele, varicocele recurrence, testis atrophy) are technique specific. The microsurgical varicocelectomy is associated with the lowest complication rates and, as such, is considered to be the ‘gold standard’ approach. Hydrocele formation is a common complication of nonmicrosurgical varicocelectomy. The incidence of this complication varies from 3% to 33%, with an average incidence of approximately 7% (55). The difficulty in identifying and preserving lymphatics using nonmicrosurgical approaches (especially retroperitoneal) results in the development of this complication. Analysis of the hydrocele fluid has clearly indicated that hydrocele formation following varicocelectomy is due to the ligation of the lymphatics (55). The effect of hydrocele formation on sperm function and fertility is unknown. The use of magnification to identify and preserve lymphatics virtually eliminates the development of hydrocele after varicocelectomy (45,46). In addition, radiographic embolization is not complicated by hydrocele formation.

The incidence of testicular artery ligation during varicocelectomy is unknown, but some studies suggest it is common (56,57). The identification and preservation of the 0.5 to 1 mm testicular artery via the retroperitoneal approach is difficult, especially in children, whose arteries are small. Injury or ligation of the testicular artery carries with it the risk of testicular atrophy and impaired spermatogenesis. Penn et al’s (58) transplant group reported a 14% incidence of frank testicular atrophy when the testicular artery was intentionally ligated. In humans, atrophy after artery ligation is probably unlikely due to the contribution of the cremasteric and vasal arteries. In children, the potential for neovascularization and compensatory hypertrophy of the vasal and cremasteric vessels is probably greater than in adults, making atrophy after testicular artery ligation even less likely. The use of magnifying loupes or, preferably, an operating microscope, facilitates the identification and preservation of the testicular artery and, therefore, minimizes the risk of testicular injury. Radiographic embolization is not complicated by testicular atrophy.

The incidence of varicocele recurrence following surgical repair varies from 0.6% to 45%. Recurrence is more common after the repair of pediatric varicoceles (59-61). Venographic studies of recurrent varicoceles have identified periarterial, parallel inguinal, midretroperitoneal, or, more rarely, trans-scrotal collaterals (62). Retroperitoneal operations are associated with the highest rate of varicocele recurrence. Recurrence rates after retroperitoneal varicocelectomy are approximately 15% (63,64). Failure is
usually due to the preservation of the periairialplexus offine veins (venae committantes). Less commonly, failure is due tothe presence of parallel inguinal or retroperitonealcollaterals that may exit the testis, bypass the retroperitonealarealand join the internal spermatic vein proximal to the sites ofligation (65,66). Cremasteric veins cannot be identified using aretropitoneal approach and may be a potential site of varicocelev recurrence (67). The recurrence rate after balloon occlusion variesfrom 4% to 11% (62,65,68,69). The microsurgical approach with delivery of the testis lowers the incidence of varicocele recurrence toless than 1% (46).

**Alternative to varicocelectomy – assisted reproduction**

For many men with specific causes of male-factor infertility (ie, varicocele), conventional therapy (varicocelectomy) is not successful in restoring fertility potential. Only assisted reproduction (AR) helps these men contribute to a pregnancy. It has recently been reported that a significant percentage of couples in whom the man has undergone a varicocelectomy (approximately 25%) seek AR, and most of these couples (approximately 80%) achieve a pregnancy with AR (47). Alternatively, many men opt for AR instead of varicocelectomy in the hope of achieving a more immediate pregnancy.

Fortunately, recent advances in AR have revolutionized the management of infertile couples, and in particular, those couples with severe male-factor infertility (70). However, the pregnancy rates with AR (eg, in vitro fertilization [IVF] or intracytoplasmic sperm injection [ICSI]) are modest, with most centres reporting IVF and ICSI pregnancy rates of 30% to 40% when female factors are excluded (ie, advanced female age). A study on the cost effectiveness of AR versus varicocelectomy for varicocele-induced infertility suggests that varicocelectomy may be more cost effective than AR (71).

**SUMMARY**

Varicocele is a very common entity. It is present in 15% of the male population, in approximately 35% of men with primary infertility and in 50% to 80% of men with secondary infertility. A substantial body of evidence suggests that a varicocele causes elevated testicular temperature and this results in endocrine and exocrine dysfunction in the testis. There is good evidence to show that varicocele causes a progressive decline in testis function and that varicocelectomy can restore fertility in some men. Refined methods of varicocele repair, namely, the microsurgical technique, have reduced the incidence of complications following varicocelectomy.
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